NRC FORM 195 (2-76)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

DOCKET NUMBER: 50-220

FILE NUMBER:

TO: Mr. George Lear

FROM: Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., Syracuse, New York, R. R. Schneider

DATE OF DOCUMENT: 11/3/76

DATE RECEIVED: 11/9/76

[X] LETTER [X] ORIGINAL [ ] COPY [ ] NOTORIZED [X] UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED: One signed

DESCRIPTION

Ltr re 7/7/76 and 8/19/76 meetings and G.E.'s 10/29/76 ltr and our 9/19/76 ltr....concerning Mark I Containment.

(2-P)

PLANT NAME:
Nine Mile Point Unit No. 1

ENCLOSURE

ACKNOWLEDGED

DO NOT REMOVE

SAFETY — FOR ACTION/INFORMATION — ENVIRO 11/10/76 RJL

	ASSIGNED AD:			ASSIGNED AD:
X	BRANCH CHIEF:	Lear (5)		BRANCH CHIEF:
X	PROJECT MANAGER:	Nowicki		PROJECT MANAGER:
X	LIC. ASST.:	Parrish		LIC. ASST.:
X		Vassallo		

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

X	REG FILE		SYSTEMS SAFETY		PLANT SYSTEMS		SITE SAFETY &
X	NRC PDR		HEINEMAN	X	TEDESCO		ENVIRO ANALYSIS
X	I & E (2)		SCHROEDER		BENAROYA		DENTON & MULLER
X	OELD			X	LAINAS		
X	GOSSICK & STAFF		ENGINEERING		IPPOLITO		ENVIRO TECH.
	MIPC		MACCARRY		KIRKWOOD		ERNST
X	CASE		KNIGHT	X	GUIBERT		BALLARD
	HANAUER	X	SIHWEIL		OPERATING REACTORS		SPANGLER
	HARLESS		PAWLICKI		STELLO		
							SITE TECH.
	PROJECT MANAGEMENT		REACTOR SAFETY		OPERATING TECH.		GAMMILL
X	BOYD		ROSS	X	EISENHUT (2)		STEPP
	P. COLLINS		NOVAK	X	SHAO		HULMAN
	HOUSTON		ROSZTOCZY	X	BAER		
	PETERSON		CHECK	X	BUTLER		SITE ANALYSIS
	MELTZ				GRIMES		VOLLMER
	HELTEMES		AT & I				BUNCH
	SKOVHOLT		SALTZMAN				J. COLLINS
			RUTBERG				KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

X	LPDR: Oswego, N.Y.		NAT LAB:		BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB
X	TIC:		REG. VIE		ULRIKSON(ORNL)
X	NSIC:		LA PDR		
	ASLB:		CONSULTANTS		
X	ACRS 16 CYS ~~HOLDING~~/SENT: CAT. B. (11/10/76)				

CONTROL NUMBER: 11230

NRC FORM 195 (2-76)

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

NIAGARA MOHAWK

300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST
SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202

November 3, 1976

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attn: Mr. George Lear, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #3
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 1
Docket No. 50-220
DPR-63

Dear Mr. Lear:

During meetings with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff on July 7, 1976 and August 19, 1976, the Mark I Owners' Group presented its proposal for the Mark I Containment Long Term Program. The first task in this program was the development of the "Program Action Plan." This has been prepared by General Electric and submitted to the Commission by a letter from Mr. L. J. Sobon (General Electric) to Mr. V. Stello (Commission) dated October 29, 1976. We have reviewed this Action Plan and conclude that it will generate the information necessary to complete the evaluation for Nine Mile Point Unit 1.

This Program Action Plan has been prepared as an integrated source of information in terms of specific tasks, objectives, and activity scheduling that will lead to the generation of a Mark I Load Definition Report (LDR). This Action Plan contains descriptions of the tasks outlined for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff at the July and August meetings. Also, consideration is being given to a full-scale two-dimensional steam test in response to Mr. K. R. Goller's (Commission) September 19, 1976 letter to Mr. D. Galle (Chairman, Mark I Owners' Group). This consideration includes an assessment of the ability to obtain representative data from other sources, including reduced scale testing. The Program Action Plan report is structured so that it can be periodically updated to reflect the precise direction or emphasis of the overall program such as load mitigation versus structural modifications.

As a member of the Mark I Owners' Group, we have directed General Electric to proceed with the activities as defined in the Program Action Plan and to perform the test facility design and/or test planning for tasks which have tentative completion dates indicated. The Load Definition Report (LDR) that will be generated from this program will be utilized to complete the evaluation of Nine Mile Point Unit 1. We will be actively involved during the program so that our plant unique evaluation can be completed and structural modifications, if required, can be implemented at the earliest practical opportunity. We expect to be able to document the final evaluation report within three months after the Mark I Load Definition Report is issued by the Mark I Owners' Group.

Very truly yours,

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

R. R. Schneider
Vice President - Electric Production

MGM/sz