JUL 27 1972

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File (Environ)
AEC PDR
Local PDR
MAssar, OGC
Haflich, OGC
ABournia, BWR
EP-1 Reading
EP-1 File
HGearin, EP-1
GKnighton, EP-1
JNorris, EP-1

Docket No. 50-410

ENVIRON

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
ATTN: Thomas J. Brosnan
Vice President and Chief Engineer
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Dear Mr. Brosnan:

The review of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2 Environmental Report conducted to date indicates that additional information relative to the cost-benefit analysis of alternate sites and energy sources is required to continue review of the subject facility.

The information requested in the enclosure is essential to the cost-benefit evaluation of the proposed site and energy sources by a comparative analysis.

For our scheduling purposes, please inform us within 7 days after receipt of this letter of the date by which you expect to respond.

Your reply should consist of three signed originals and 297 additional copies as a sequentially numbered supplement to your Environmental Report.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Daniel R. Muller

Daniel R. Muller, Assistant Director
for Environmental Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information
for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
Unit 2

cc: Eugene B. Thomas, Jr., Esq.
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
1821 Jefferson Place N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

OFFICE ▶		EP-1:L	EP-1:L	EP:L	LB
SURNAME ▶		JNorris:bjm	GKnighton	DMuller	
DATE ▶		7/20/72	7/26/72	7/26/72	

ENCLOSURE

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR
NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 2

1. Discuss in detail the economic, environmental, technical, and other factors that went into your decisions to select sites and energy sources for central power generating stations in your electrical power system.

2. Identify the candidate sites and energy sources you had under consideration when you selected the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station as the site and energy source for the subject facility.

3. Discuss in detail the economic, environmental, technical, and other factors that went into your decision to reject all other candidate sites and energy sources and accept the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station as the site and energy source for the subject facility.