

UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

JUL 10 1968

HCP
7/11
File

Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation

NIAGARA MOHAWK - NINE MILE POINT REACTOR VESSEL - DOCKET NO. 50-220

At a meeting held on July 8, 1968, Niagara Mohawk described the status of the investigation of the stub tube region of the Nine Mile Point reactor vessel. The vessel has been fabricated by essentially the same manufacturing techniques used for the Oyster Creek and Tarapur vessels. The salient points resulting from the meeting discussions are outlined below:

1. The dye penetrant tests and metallographic examinations show that the stainless steel is free from the intergranular attack found in the Oyster Creek and Tarapur vessels.
2. Ultrasonic testing of the field welds, between the control rod drive housings and stub tubes, show that a substantial number of these field welds involve lack of fusion and/or porosity in the weld. Based on a criterion established by the General Electric Company, approximately 56 out of 129 field welds must be repaired.
3. Niagara Mohawk is proceeding with the repair of the defective field welds. The repair procedure being followed differs from that being used at Oyster Creek. The defective welds in Oyster Creek are being removed and rewelded whereas the repair of the Niagara Mohawk vessel involves repairing the defective weld by adding sufficient weld metal to compensate for the defect.
4. Niagara Mohawk indicated it would send a letter summarizing the information presented in the meeting and include a commitment to submit a detailed report on these matters in the near future.


Rec'd Off. Dir. of Reg.
Date 7/11/68
Time 11:20
Beth. -



[The text in this section is extremely faint and illegible due to low contrast and noise. It appears to be several lines of a document, possibly containing names and dates, but cannot be transcribed accurately.]

JUL 10 1968

5. We stated that we could not provide any conclusions regarding the adequacy of the proposed repair at the present time and emphasized that they were proceeding at their own risk.


for Peter A. Morris, Director
Division of Reactor Licensing

cc: C. K. Beck
M. M. Mann
R. L. Doan
E. G. Case
F. Schroeder
R. Engelken
R. S. Boyd
S. Levine
R. Tedesco
R. DeYoung
V. Stello
L. Porse
G. Reinmuth



23. 1. 1947

1. 1. 1947
2. 1. 1947
3. 1. 1947
4. 1. 1947
5. 1. 1947
6. 1. 1947
7. 1. 1947
8. 1. 1947
9. 1. 1947
10. 1. 1947
11. 1. 1947
12. 1. 1947
13. 1. 1947
14. 1. 1947
15. 1. 1947
16. 1. 1947
17. 1. 1947
18. 1. 1947
19. 1. 1947
20. 1. 1947
21. 1. 1947
22. 1. 1947
23. 1. 1947
24. 1. 1947
25. 1. 1947
26. 1. 1947
27. 1. 1947
28. 1. 1947
29. 1. 1947
30. 1. 1947
31. 1. 1947
32. 1. 1947
33. 1. 1947
34. 1. 1947
35. 1. 1947
36. 1. 1947
37. 1. 1947
38. 1. 1947
39. 1. 1947
40. 1. 1947
41. 1. 1947
42. 1. 1947
43. 1. 1947
44. 1. 1947
45. 1. 1947
46. 1. 1947
47. 1. 1947
48. 1. 1947
49. 1. 1947
50. 1. 1947
51. 1. 1947
52. 1. 1947
53. 1. 1947
54. 1. 1947
55. 1. 1947
56. 1. 1947
57. 1. 1947
58. 1. 1947
59. 1. 1947
60. 1. 1947
61. 1. 1947
62. 1. 1947
63. 1. 1947
64. 1. 1947
65. 1. 1947
66. 1. 1947
67. 1. 1947
68. 1. 1947
69. 1. 1947
70. 1. 1947
71. 1. 1947
72. 1. 1947
73. 1. 1947
74. 1. 1947
75. 1. 1947
76. 1. 1947
77. 1. 1947
78. 1. 1947
79. 1. 1947
80. 1. 1947
81. 1. 1947
82. 1. 1947
83. 1. 1947
84. 1. 1947
85. 1. 1947
86. 1. 1947
87. 1. 1947
88. 1. 1947
89. 1. 1947
90. 1. 1947
91. 1. 1947
92. 1. 1947
93. 1. 1947
94. 1. 1947
95. 1. 1947
96. 1. 1947
97. 1. 1947
98. 1. 1947
99. 1. 1947
100. 1. 1947