

Distribution:

- AEC PDR
- Local PDR
- Docket File
- RP Reading
- GCR Reading
- R. S. Boyd
- BWR Branch Chiefs
- W. Haass
- A. Bournia
- H. Gearin (2)

Docket No. 50-410

JAN 5 1973

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
 ATTN: Mr. Thomas J. Brosnan
 Vice President & Chief Engineer
 300 Erie Boulevard
 Syracuse, New York 13202

Gentlemen:

This letter is in regard to the established schedule for our review of your application for a construction permit for your Nine Mile Point-2 Nuclear Station located at Oswego, New York. In our meeting with you on September 12, 1972, the review schedule was discussed and we explained that it was developed on the basis of our evaluation of our workload and on the assumption that the time allowed for your preparation and transmittal of your responses would be 40 working days for the first round of questions and 30 working days for the second. Based on these considerations, we have targeted the issuance of our Safety Evaluation Report for August 7, 1973 and our Final Environmental Statement for July 18, 1973. A summary of the more important milestones are provided as an enclosure for your information, including our best estimate for hearing dates based on information available at this time.

It should be realized that the enclosed dates are target milestones which may require some changes as the review process proceeds and better estimates of the time required for the hearing process become available after public safety and environmental issues are identified. Many of these milestones require specific input, preparation, or participation from you, such as responses to questions, site visits, ACRS meetings, responses to public and Agency comments, and hearings. While we will not formally inform you (by letter) of minor changes to the schedule, we will confirm, in writing, significant changes to the schedule.

We are cognizant of the merits that you have indicated of improving the schedule from the standpoint of your projected need for power in 1978 and that to meet this date a construction permit should be obtained

See attached yellow sheet for original concurrences

LB

OFFICE ▶	L:GCR				L:RP	
SURNAME ▶	<i>Koe/By</i> ABournia:nb				<i>OK</i> AGiambusso	
DATE ▶	1/27/73				1/31/73	

JAN 2 1953

11/11/53
11/11/53

Distribution:
 AEC PDR
 Local PDR
 Docket File
 RP Reading
 GCR Reading
 R. S. Boyd
 BWR Branch Chiefs
 W. Haass
 A. Bournia
 H. Gearin (2)

Docket No. 50-410

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
 ATTN: Mr. Thomas J. Brosnan
 Vice President & Chief Engineer
 300 Erie Boulevard
 Syracuse, New York 13202


Gentlemen:

This letter is in regard to the established schedule for our review of your application for a construction permit for your Nine Mile Point-2 Nuclear Station located at Oswego, New York. In our meeting with you on September 12, 1972, the review schedule was discussed and we explained that it was developed on the basis of our evaluation of our workload and on the assumption that the time allowed for your preparation and transmittal of your responses would be 40 working days for the first round of questions and 30 working days for the second. Based on these considerations, we have targeted the issuance of our Safety Evaluation Report for August 7, 1973 and our Final Environmental Statement for July 18, 1973. A summary of the more important milestones are provided as an enclosure for your information, including our best estimate for hearing dates based on information available at this time.

It should be realized that the enclosed dates are target milestones which may require some changes as the review process proceeds and better estimates of the time required for the hearing process become available after public safety and environmental issues are identified. Many of these milestones require specific input, preparation, or participation from you, such as responses to questions, site visits, ACRS meetings, responses to public and Agency comments, and hearings. While we will not formally inform you (by letter) of minor changes to the schedule, we will confirm, in writing, significant changes to the schedule.

We are cognizant of the merits that you have indicated of improving the schedule from the standpoint of your projected need for power in 1978 and that to meet this date a construction permit should be obtained

OFFICE ▶	L:GCR	L:GCR	L	L:BWR	L:RP
SURNAME ▶	ABournia:nb	RAClark	JANorris	RSBoyd	AGiambusso
DATE ▶	12/27/72	12/27/72	12/27/72	12/27/72	12/ /72



Handwritten text at the bottom of the page, possibly a signature or date, including the word "1957" and other illegible characters.

no later than mid-fall 1973. However, as it was indicated at the meeting the proposed schedule is a realistic one and that efforts to improve the schedule are highly dependent on your providing complete information in response to our requests for additional information. Your responses in the two areas requested, thus far, have been very good and we are continually reviewing the possibilities for improving the schedule.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

A. Giambusso

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
Application Review
Schedule

cc: Arvin E. Upton, Esq.
Eugene B. Thomas, Jr., Esq.
James P. McGranery, Jr., Esq.
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
1821 Jefferson Place, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

J. Bruce MacDonald, Esq., Deputy
Commissioner and Counsel
New York State Department of
Commerce
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12210

Ms. Suzanne Weber
78 West Seneca Street
Oswego, New York 13126

Ecology Action
c/o Mr. Richard Goldsmith
Syracuse University
College of Law
E. I. White Hall, Campus
Syracuse, New York 13210

Daniel M. Head, Esq., Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

OFFICE ▶	Washington, D. C. 20545				
SURNAME ▶					
DATE ▶					

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

cc: (Con't)

Dr. Marvin M. Mann
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dr. William E. Martin
Senior Ecologist
Battelle Memorial Institute
Columbus, Ohio 43201

Joseph F. Tubridy, Esq.
Alternate Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
4100 Cathedral Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20016

Mr. Gustave A. Linenberger
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Chairman, Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Chairman, Atomic Safety and
Licensing Appeals Board
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶						
DATE ▶						

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:
I am writing to you regarding
the information received from
the [redacted] office.

On [redacted] day, [redacted] at
[redacted] in [redacted] State,
I was advised that [redacted]
[redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

The [redacted] of [redacted] is
[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]
[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

It is noted that [redacted] [redacted]
[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]
[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

Very truly yours,
[redacted]
[redacted]

APPLICATION
REVIEW SCHEDULE

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TIME ELAPSED</u> <u>(weeks)</u>
<u>Starting Point</u>		
Complete Application, SAR, and ER Received	6-15-72	0
<u>Safety Review Schedule</u>		
Safety Questions Issued to Applicant (first round)	12-6-72	25
Receive Applicant's Response to Questions	2-2-73	34
Safety Questions Issued to Applicant (second round)	3-12-73	37
Receive Applicant's Response to Questions	4-23-73	45
Safety Evaluation Report Issued	6-22-73	54
Supplement to Safety Evaluation Report Issued	8-7-73	61
<u>Environmental Review Schedule</u>		
Site Visit	9-19-72	8
Environmental Questions Issued to Applicant	10-2-72	15
Receive Applicant's Response to Questions	12-1-72	24
Issue Draft Environmental Statement	2-1-73	34
End Public Comment Period	3-18-73	40
Receive Applicant's Response to Comments	4-16-73	44
Issue Final Environmental Statement	7-18-73	58

Handwritten marks or scribbles in the top right corner.

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TIME ELAPSED</u> <u>(weeks)</u>
<u>Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards</u>		
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee Meetings	(Unknown)	
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Meeting	7-12-73	57
Receive Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Letter	7-24-73	59
<u>Antitrust Review and Hearing Schedule</u>		
Decision Regarding Antitrust Hearing	12-22-72	27
Antitrust prehearings and hearings (start and end dates)	(Unknown)	
<u>Public Hearing Process (Safety and Environmental)</u>		
First Prehearing Conference	1-9-73	29
Second Prehearing Conference	(Unknown)	
Start Radiological Hearing	9-4-73	65
End Radiological Hearing	12-7-73	79
Start Environmental Hearing	9-4-73	65
End Environmental Hearing	12-7-73	79
<u>End Point</u>		
Prospective Decision Date	1-31-74	86

