

As of: 1/23/17 9:41 AM
Received: January 20, 2017
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k1-8ua3-3jlf
Comments Due: January 20, 2017
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2016-0179

Revisions to Transportation Safety Requirements and Compatibility with International Atomic Energy Agency Transportation Requirements

Comment On: NRC-2016-0179-0005

Revisions to Transportation Safety Requirements and Compatibility with International Atomic Energy Agency Transportation Standards; Notice of Issues Paper, Public Meeting, and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2016-0179-DRAFT-0047

Comment on FR Doc # 2016-27944

Submitter Information

Name: Erica Gray

Address:

VA,

Email: veggielady@yahoo.com

General Comment

NRC-2016-0179

The goal should be to stop making this waste in the first place!

As it goes for transporting high burnup fuel....it's premature as the data is incomplete to support it.

Any changes to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) and U.S. Department of Transportation's (DOT) regulations, to "harmonize" them (make them compatible) with International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) regulations and standards, should be in the direction of better protecting worker and public health, safety, and the environment ("better" in the clear sense of protecting people and the planet, not in saving the industry money in order to boost its profits!). That is, if IAEA's regulations and standards are stronger (allowing less exposure to hazardous ionizing radioactivity, to people and other living things), those should become NRC's/DOT's. But if NRC's/DOT's happen to be stronger, then those should remain -- and NRC/DOT should urge IAEA to strengthen its standards. In fact, if there are regulations in a certain country that are stronger/better than IAEA's and/or NRC's/DOT's, then those best-practices should be used to strengthen IAEA's and/or NRC's/DOT's regulations. The point is, the regulations -- at NRC/DOT in the U.S., at IAEA internationally, etc. -- should be strengthened to the highest standards and best-practices, not weakened to the lowest common denominator.

NRC's sign off on the U.S. Department of Energy's scheme to truck highly radioactive liquid waste more than 1,000 miles from Chalk River, Ontario, Canada to Savannah River Site, South Carolina, U.S.A., shows that there is something seriously wrong with NRC's high-level radioactive waste transportation regulations.

Frankly the highly radioactive liquid waste should remain in Canada!