



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 24, 2017

Mr. Bryan C. Hanson
President and Chief Nuclear Officer
Exelon Nuclear Generation Company, LLC
4300 Winfield Road
Warrenville, IL 60555

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 – ACCEPTANCE OF
REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: PARTIAL LENGTH FUEL ROD
BURNUP (CAC NO. MF9046)

Dear Mr. Hanson:

By letter dated January 3, 2017 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML17003A065), Exelon Generation Company, LLC submitted a license amendment request for the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1. The proposed amendment would allow the use of release fractions listed in Tables 1 and 3 of NRC Regulatory Guide 1.183, "Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors" (ADAMS Accession No. ML003716792), for partial length fuel rods that are operating above the peak burnup limit, through the end of Operating Cycle 22. The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review, as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified, despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

B. Hanson

- 2 -

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 75 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review by March 10, 2017 (approximately 2 months). If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change due to several factors, including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance, or in parallel with, industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-2871 or Michael.Marshall@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,



Michael L. Marshall, Jr., Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch I
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-220

cc: Distribution via Listserv

B. Hanson

- 2 -

completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change due to several factors, including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance, or in parallel with, industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-2871 or Michael.Marshall@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Michael L. Marshall, Jr., Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch I
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-220

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC
SKrepel, NRR
LPL1 R/F
JWhitman, NRR
RidsACRS_MailCTR

JParillo, NRR
RidsNrrDori Resource
RidsNrrDoriLpl1 Resource
RidsNrrLALRonewicz
RidsNrrPMNineMilePoint

RidsRgn1MailCenter
RidsNrrDssSnpb Resource
RidsNrrDssSrxb Resource
RidsNrrDraArcb Resource

ADAMS Accession No.: ML17017A109

OFFICE	DORL/LPL1/PM	DORL/LPL1/PM	DORL/LPL1/LA	DORL/LPL1/BC(A)	DORL/LPL1/PM
NAME	MMarshall	RGuzman	LRonewicz	SKoenick	MMarshall
DATE	1/19/2017	1/23/2017	1/19/2017	1/24/2017	1/24/2017

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY