

NRC Perspective of NRC Comments Status on Appendix D Screening

By letter dated April 4, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16126A197) NEI docketed NEI 96-07 Appendix D for NRC Review. Subsequently, in support of public meetings on June 15, 2016 and September 21, 2016, the NRC provided DRAFT comments on Appendix D "Definitions" and "Screening" sections (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML16166A339 & ML16260A019 respectively). Note: NRC comments are sequentially numbered, and not all comments were provided to NEI. NRC comments directly related to the Screening section are comments # 25a to 64.

From the staff review of the NRC DRAFT comments from the 04-04-16 Appendix D version, the staff finds that the following comments seem/should be closed:

- 10: The 12/20 revision of the screening section does not have the term "licensee condition" unless it's a quote of NEI 96-07. The term was used on the Definitions section under the "CCF Unlikely" portion, which was removed from the Definitions. Thus, NEI did remove the use of the term "licensee condition" and this comment would no longer be valid. However, the Evaluation section from the April 2016 version of Appendix D does have the term, so there is a need to review the upcoming revision of the Evaluation section for consistency.
- 26: NEI has included introductory text to each section.
- 38: NEI deleted the bullet per staff suggestion. Agree with NEI change.
- 60: NEI added details under "Number and/or Type of Parameters" to address the comment on factoring too much information for operators.

From the staff review of the NRC DRAFT comments from the 04-04-16 Appendix D version, the staff finds that the following comments are no longer applicable on the 12-20-16 revision of the screening section since the content related to these comments is no longer in the screening section:

- 16: Since the concept "CCF Unlikely" was removed from Appendix D, then this comment seems no longer valid.
- 19: Since the concept "CCF Unlikely" was removed from Appendix D, then this comment seems no longer valid.
- 34: NEI replaced the term Coping Analysis. Since coping analysis is no longer used in this screening section, this item should be closed.
- 43: Seems the example being referenced in this NRC comment was removed from the 12-20-16 Screening section.
- 46: Since coping analysis is no longer used in this screening section, this item should be closed.
- 48: Since coping analysis is no longer used in this screening section, this item should be closed.
- 49: Since coping analysis is no longer used in this screening section, this item should be closed.
- 51: Since coping analysis is no longer used in this screening section, this item should be closed.
- 53: NEI deleted the content related to this comment.
- 56: NEI deleted the content related to this comment.
- 63: NEI deleted the content related to this comment.
- 64: NEI deleted the content related to this comment.

