NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION									
1. LICENSEE/LOCATIO	N INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONA	AL OFFICE					
Chase Environmental Group, Inc. 11450 Watterson Court Louisville, Kentucky 40299 Location Inspected: Central Michigan University			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352						
REPORT NUMBER(S	REPORT NUMBER(S) 15000016/2016002								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)			5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTI	ON			
15000016		201-605-15	-15		December 18-19, 2	2016			
LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.									
3. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.									
	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	ed involving the foll	lowing requiremer	nt(s):					
·									
cited in ac with 10 Cl	During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions)								
-									
Statement of Corrective Actions									
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.									
TITLE	PRINTED NAME			SIGNATURE		DATE			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	Chris Echterling								
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael LaFranzo	6	mld M	Stre	97	12/23/16			
BRANCH CHIEF	Michael Kunowski	A	Mild M 1 irhael	Kunow	shi"	1-4-17			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION									
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPE	ECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE						
Chase Environmental (11450 Watterson Cour Louisville, Kentucky 4 Location Inspected: Ce	t 0299 entral Michigan U	•	Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352						
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER((S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION					
15000016		201-605-15		December 18-19, 2016					
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87126		7. INSPECTION FOCU 03.05/03.06	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.05/03.06						
	SUF	PPLEMENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION						
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER					
νA	5	Chris Echterling	; - RSO	(865) 603-2618					
Main Office Inst	pection	Next Inspection	n Date: N	IA					
Field Office Inst	pection								
<u> </u>		n Central Michigan Univ	versity: Mt. Pleasant. I	Michigan					
y Temporary 505	Olle mapeonon	Central Wileingan Cinv	cisity, with a reasonit, a	viicingan					
The licensee was performing licensed operations at Central Michigan University; Mount Pleasant, Michigan within Brooks Hall and CART Building under reciprocity. Licensed operations consisted of radiological surveys and remediation to support Central Michigan University's plan to remove the two buildings from the license and designate them for unrestricted use.									
	Observations and Findings								
did not identify any abilicensed operations. The	normal issues. The inspector perfe	The inspector interviewed formed limited radiological	licensee staff and not al surveys in areas pre	of radiological instrumentation and ted training was adequate to support eviously surveyed by the licensee; solations were identified during the					
			•	ł					