| U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  CONVERSATION RECORD   |                   |                  | DATE OF SIGNATURE    |
|---|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|   |                   |                  | 12/23/2016           |
| NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU  |                   | DATE OF CONTACT  | TYPE OF CONVERSATION |
| See below.  |                   | 12/19/2016       | E-MAIL               |
| E-MAIL ADDRESS  |                   | TELEPHONE NUMBER | TELEPHONE            |
|   |                   | (888) 447-9153   | ✓ OUTGOING           |
| ORGANIZATION  | DOCKET NUMBER(S)  |                  |                      |
| See below.  | 72-1050           |                  |                      |
| LICENSE NUMBER(S)   | CONTROL NUMBER(S) |                  |                      |
| SUBJECT Request for Supplemental Information Clarification Teleconference   |                   |                  |                      |
|   |                   |                  |                      |
| Waste Control Specialists (WCS): Michael Ford, Terry Sides, Ben Mason and Renee Murdock Nuclear Assurance Corporation: Wren Fowler and George Carver TN Americas, LLC: Jack Boshoven  The call commenced at approximately 1:30 P.M. Eastern Standard Time. WCS first discussed the background to the supplemental information request (question 4.7 of ML16175A277), and then outlined how their approach to licensing and operating the facility had changed from that described in their April 28 submittal (ML16133A313). They stated that the building would be classified as important to safety versus not important to safety, and the overhead crane would not be single failure proof. They also identified that both engineering controls and administrative controls would be implemented to ensure accidents would not occur due to either seismic or severe weather events. In addition, they indicated their intention to rely on previously evaluated drop analyses for the systems utilized, and their intent to implement administrative controls to ensure these previously evaluated drop analyses remained bounding. WCS explained that these changes would be descried both through additional text in the Safety Analysis Report and some additional drawings. Since these proposed changes appeared to align with the guidance documents applicable to the license  Continue on Page 2 |                   |                  |                      |
| ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)  Continue on Page 3  |                   |                  |                      |
| NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION   |                   |                  |                      |
| Chris Allen   |                   |                  |                      |
| William C. Allen  |                   |                  |                      |

NRC FORM 699 (03-2013)

## NRC FORM 699 (03-2013) CONVERSATION RECORD (continued) SUMMARY: (Continued from page 1) application, staff simply re-emphasized that the submittal needed to clearly explain and justify both the building's design and operational features. WCS also notified the NRC that submittal of both a revised environmental report and revision 1 to the Safety Analysis Report would be delayed until March, 2017. Subsequently, the call concluded at approximately 2:30 P.M. Eastern Standard Time.