

ACE/ATRIUM 11
Critical Power Correlation RAIs
Topical Report

ANP-10335Q2NP
Revision 0

December 2016

AREVA Inc.

Copyright © 2016

**AREVA Inc.
All Rights Reserved**

Nature of Changes

Item	Section(s) or Page(s)	Description and Justification
1	All	Initial Issue

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0 EVALUATION OF TRANSIENT CRITICAL POWER DATA	2-1
3.0 REFERENCES	3-1

List of Tables

Table 1 S-RELAP5 ACE/ATRIUM 11 Transient Dryout Results	2-3
Table 2 S-RELAP5 K-Factor Iteration Results	2-7

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document provides supplementary information to RAI number 29, Reference 1, page 84. That response provided details on how the ACE/ATRIUM 11 critical power correlation is implemented in other codes. The supplementary information provided here describes benchmarking of the ACE/ATRIUM 11 critical power correlation in S-RELAP5 as part of the AURORA-B methodology (Reference 2). The analysis is comparable to that provided in Reference 3, Section 7.3 for XCOBRA-T.

2.0 EVALUATION OF TRANSIENT CRITICAL POWER DATA

An industry accepted standard in BWR transient methodology is that steady-state dryout correlations are conservative for use in transient methodology. Transient dryout tests [] were performed to reconfirm this for ATRIUM 11 when using the ACE/ATRIUM 11 critical power correlation.

The limiting transient tests of interest are simulated load rejection without bypass (LRNB) events that consist of power and pressure ramps and flow decay; and simulated loss of flow events that consist of flow decay and power decay. The power, pressure, and flow were all controlled by a function generator. The forcing functions were programmed to produce the transient rod surface heat flux typical of the various events. Reference 3, Figure 2-1, page 7-43 shows the forcing function characteristics for a typical LRNB test and Reference 3, Figure 2-2, page 7-43 shows the comparable forcing function characteristics for a typical loss of flow event.

A total of [] ATRIUM 11 LRNB and loss of flow transients were run which were either measured or predicted to have dryout. An additional [] of these transients were run which were neither measured nor predicted to go into dryout. Of these [] transient critical power tests, [

] The initial conditions for all of the tests are provided in Reference 3, Table 7.20, page 7-36.

The AREVA transient thermal hydraulic code S-RELAP5 (Reference 2), was used to predict the transient test results using the ACE/ATRIUM 11 critical power correlation. The test power forcing function provides the boundary condition of power, which is modeled in S-RELAP5 []

[

]

The results are summarized in Table 1. [

]

Table 1 S-RELAP5 ACE/ATRIUM 11 Transient Dryout Results


A large, empty rectangular frame with a thin black border, centered on the page. It is intended to contain the data for Table 1, but the content is missing from this page.

Table 1 S-RELAP5 ACE/ATRIUM 11 Transient Dryout Results (cont.)


A large, empty rectangular frame with a thin black border, centered on the page. It is intended to contain the data for Table 1, but the content is missing from this page.

Table 1 S-RELAP5 ACE/ATRIUM 11 Transient Dryout Results (cont.)


A large, empty rectangular frame with a thin black border, occupying most of the page below the caption. It is intended for the content of Table 1, but no data is present.

Table 1 S-RELAP5 ACE/TRIUM 11 Transient Dryout Results (cont.)



A large, empty rectangular frame with a thin black border, intended for a table. The frame is centered on the page and occupies most of the vertical space below the caption. The interior of the frame is completely blank.

Table 2 S-RELAP5 K-Factor Iteration Results



3.0 REFERENCES

1. ANP-10335Q1P, Rev. 0, "ACE/ATRIUM 11 Critical Power Correlation – RAIs," August 2016.
2. ANP-10300P, Rev. 0, "AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Transient and Accident Scenarios," December 2009.
3. ANP-10335P, Rev. 0, "ACE/ATRIUM 11 Critical Power Correlation," February 2015.