From: Cherry, Robert N CIV USARMY IMCOM HQ (US)

To: Snyder, Amy

Subject: [External_Sender] RE: [Non-DoD Source] Please confirm- HIGH PRIORITY

Date: Wednesday, December 07, 2016 8:30:51 PM

I agree. Thanks, Amy.

I am at Aberdeen Proving Ground MD this week at workshop. Next week I am at Dugway Proving Ground UT with some other Army guys to meet with your NRC colleagues, Matthew Meyer, Richard Chang, David Misenhimer, and Robert Evans about trench dating back to the 1960s with some unknown-for-certain types and quantities of RAM.

Bob

Bob Cherry
IMCOM Radiation Safety Staff Officer ❖
210-466-0368 ☎
Cell 210-313-0952 (weak or no signal in office)
robert.n.cherry.civ@mail.mil

US Army Installation Management Command ATTN: IMSO/301 Building 2261 2405 Gun Shed Road JBSA Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234-1223

From: Snyder, Amy [mailto:Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov] **Sent:** Wednesday, December 07, 2016 12:19 PM

To: Cherry, Robert N CIV USARMY IMCOM HQ (US) <robert.n.cherry.civ@mail.mil>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Please confirm- HIGH PRIORITY

Importance: High

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

I corrected the NRC's mislabeling of the ranges for Fort Polk in Table 1 of the SER for Amendment 1 based on your May 24, 2016 email and checking the license amendment submittal maps that were submitted as part of your application for Amendment 1.

I noticed that in the NRC staff's SER for Amendment 1, I labelled Fort Knox, KY and Fort Polk, LA sites incorrectly in Table 1 of that SER as

	Army Installation, as described in the	Nearest City	Number of Sites and Range Name(s), as license amendment application
	license amendment application		
10	Fort Knox, KY	Fort Knox, KY	3 (ARMS KNOB RANGE(2) and O'BRIEN RANGE $^{[1]}$ < $\#$ _ftn1 >)
11	Fort Polk, LA	Leesburg, LA	2 (RANGE 33 and RANGE 34A)

The verified by looking at the maps that were submitted in with the Amendment 1 submittal and the LC18 and LC19 submittals (site-specific ERMPs) that the correct information (as reflected in your submittals) are:

	Army Installation, as described in the license amendment application	Nearest City	Number of Sites and Range Name(s), as license amendment application
1	Donnelly Training Area, Fort Wainwright, AK ^[2] < #_ftn2 >	Fairbanks, AK	1 (GEORGIA RANGE)
2	Fort Benning, GA	Columbus, GA	9 (HOOK RANGE, PATTON RANGE, BUCHANAN RANGE, COOLIDGE RANGE, BRANN RANGE, Z-4 (LAE) FIELD RANGE, K-18 RANGE (CACTUS OP), K-15 RANGE (CONCORD OP/DUD AREA), and BURMA HILL RANGE (DEMO AREA)
3	Fort Bragg, NC	Layette, NC	1 (OP 5 RANGE)
4	Fort Campbell, KY ^[3] < #_ftn3 >	Clarksville, KY	1 (OP2/OP3)
5	Fort Carson, CO ^[4] < #_ftn4 >	Colorado Springs, CO	2 (RANGE 141 and BATTALION FIELD TRAINING AREA)
6	Fort Gordon, GA	Augusta, GA	1 (RANGE E)
7	Fort Hood, TX	Killeen, TX	1 (DAVY CROCKETT RANGE)
8	Fort Hunter Liggett, CA	Monterey, CA	3 (RANGE C8, RANGE B11 and RANGE B13)

9	Fort Jackson, SC	Columbia, SC	1 (RANGE 62)
10	Fort Knox, KY	Fort Knox, KY	2 (ARMS KNOB RANGE(1) and O'BRIEN
			RANGE ^[5] < #_ftn5 >)
11	Fort Polk, LA	Leesburg, LA	1 (RANGE 33 and RANGE 34A)
12	Fort Riley, KS	Junction City, KS	2 (RANGES 27A/27B, and RANGE 29)
13	Fort Sill, OK	Lawton, OK	1 (FP 182/WEST RANGE IMPACT AREA)
14	Joint Base Lewis-McChord/Yakima	Tacoma, WA	At Lewis-McChord area:
	Training Center, WA		3 (52, OP8, and OP9)
			At Yakima Training Center Area:
			3 (#14, #17, and #20)
15	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst, NJ	Trenton, NJ	1 (FRANKFORD ARSENAL RANGE)
16	Schofield Barracks (Oahu)/ Pohakuloa	Honolulu, HI	At Schofield Barracks
	Training Area, HI ^[6] < # ftn6 >		1 (M101 Impact Area)
		Between Kailua-	At Pohakuloa Training Area –
		Kona, HI and Hilo, HI	4 (Area 1, Area 2, Area 3, and Area 4, located among Ranges
			17, 14, 10 and 11T)
		TOTAL	38 sites among 14 States

Please respond. I need to know if you agree.

Thank you.

amy

Amy Snyder, Senior Project Manager
Materials Decommissioning Branch (MDB)
Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery, and Waste Programs (DUWP)
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
Washington, D.C. 20555

☐ Office: (301) 415-6822 ☐ Fax: (301) 415-5369 ☐ Mail Stop: T8-F5M ☆ Location: T8-E06B

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \leftarrow E-mail:amy.snyder@nrc.gov < Caution-mailto:amy.snyder@nrc.gov > \\ \end{tabular}$

[1] < #_ftnref1 > M101 firing was on the O'Brien (aka O'Brein) Range; also Arms Knob range is depicted as two overlapping ranges of the same name. Lawley and Garvin Ranges shown on the M101 Impact Maps, submitted on January 8, 2016 (ML16022A161), do not contain DU, per the ASR report (ML16041A107).

^{[2] &}lt; #_ftnref2 > This RCA was formerly part of Fort Greely, AK, but now this area is under administrative control of Fort Wainwright, AK.

 $^{^{[3]}}$ < #_ftnref3 > The M101 target zone/impact area (site or RCA) is located in TN, not KY.

^{[4] &}lt; #_ftnref4 > The Army requested that the 3 sites at Titus and Sergeants Roads (not listed in Table 1, above) be licensed under a General License. Refer to Section 9.0 of this SER for information regarding these 3 sites.

^{[5] &}lt; #_ftnref5 > M101 firing was on the O'Brien (aka O'Brein) Range; also Arms Knob range is depicted as two overlapping ranges of the same name. Lawley and Garvin Ranges shown on the M101 Impact Maps, submitted on January 8, 2016 (ML16022A161), do not contain DU, per the ASR report (ML16041A107).

^{[6] &}lt; #_ftnref6 > The Army considers this as one Army facility located in the State of Hawaii in its amendment application, even though one facility is located on the Island of Oahu and the other is located on the Island of Hawaii. The NRC considers each as an installation-facility for dose assessment purpose. For additional information, refer to Footnote 11 in Section 3.4 of the SER for License Amendment 1.