

	INDIANA AND MICHIGAN POWER D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UPDATED FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT	Revised: 26.0 Table: 14.3.1-1 Page: 1 of 4
---	--	---

Plant Operating Range Analyzed by the Best-Estimate Large-Break LOCA Analysis for D. C. Cook Unit 2

PARAMETER		ANALYZED VALUE OR RANGE
1.0	Plant Physical Description	
a)	Dimensions	Nominal
b)	Pressurizer location	On an intact loop ⁽⁴⁾
c)	Hot assembly location	Anywhere in core ⁽¹⁾
d)	Hot assembly type ⁽²⁾	17x17 V5 Fuel with ZIRLO [®] cladding or Optimized ZIRLO™ cladding, non-IFBA or IFBA
e)	Steam generator tube plugging level ⁽⁵⁾	≤10%
f)	Fuel assembly type ⁽²⁾	17x17 V5 Fuel with ZIRLO [®] cladding or Optimized ZIRLO™ cladding, non-IFBA or IFBA
2.0	Plant Initial Operating Conditions	
2.1	Reactor Power	
a)	Maximum Core power	3479.8 MWt
b)	Peak heat flux hot channel factor (F _Q) ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	≤ 2.335

	INDIANA AND MICHIGAN POWER D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UPDATED FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT	Revised: 26.0 Table: 14.3.1-1 Page: 2 of 4
---	--	---

Plant Operating Range Analyzed by the Best-Estimate Large-Break LOCA Analysis for D. C. Cook Unit 2

PARAMETER		ANALYZED VALUE OR RANGE
c)	Peak hot rod enthalpy rise hot channel factor ($F_{\Delta H}$) ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾	≤ 1.644
d)	Hot assembly radial peaking factor (P_{HA}) ⁽²⁾	$\leq 1.644 / 1.04$
e)	Hot assembly heat flux hot channel factor (F_{QHA})	$\leq 2.335 / 1.04$
f)	Axial power distribution (P_{BOT} , P_{MID}) ⁽²⁾	Figure 14.3.1-2
g)	Low power region relative power (P_{LOW}) ⁽²⁾	$0.20 \leq P_{LOW} \leq 0.70$
h)	Hot assembly burn up	$\leq 75,000 \text{ MWD} / \text{MTU}$, lead rod ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾
i)	MTC	≤ 0 at hot full power (HFP)
j)	Typical cycle length	18 months
k)	Minimum core average burn up ⁽²⁾	$\geq 10,000 \text{ MWD} / \text{MTU}$
l)	Maximum steady state depletion, F_Q ⁽²⁾	1.90
2.2	Fluid Conditions	
a)	T_{AVG} ⁽⁵⁾	$547.6 - 5.6^\circ\text{F} \leq T_{AVG} \leq 578.1 + 4.1^\circ\text{F}$
b)	Pressurizer pressure	$2,100 - 63 \text{ psia} \leq P_{RCS} \leq 2,100 + 63 \text{ psia}$ $2,250 - 63 \text{ psia} \leq P_{RCS} \leq 2,250 + 63 \text{ psia}$
c)	Minimum thermal design flow	88,500 gpm / loop

	INDIANA AND MICHIGAN POWER D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UPDATED FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT	Revised: 26.0 Table: 14.3.1-1 Page: 3 of 4
---	--	--

Plant Operating Range Analyzed by the Best-Estimate Large-Break LOCA Analysis for D. C. Cook Unit 2

PARAMETER	ANALYZED VALUE OR RANGE
d) Upper head design	T_{HOT}
e) Pressurizer level (at hot full power)	59.8% of span (High T_{AVG}) 40.0% of span (Low T_{AVG})
f) Accumulator temperature ⁽⁵⁾	$60^{\circ}F \leq T_{ACC} \leq 120^{\circ}F$
g) Accumulator pressure	$599.7 \text{ psia} \leq P_{ACC} \leq 672.7 \text{ psia}$
h) Accumulator liquid volume	$921 \text{ ft}^3 \leq V_{ACC} \leq 971 \text{ ft}^3$
i) Minimum accumulator boron	2228 ppm
3.0	Accident Boundary Conditions
a) Minimum safety injection flow	Table 14.3.1-4a and 14.3.1-4b
b) Safety injection temperature ⁽⁵⁾	$70^{\circ}F \leq SI \text{ Temp} \leq 105^{\circ}F$
c) Safety injection delay ⁽⁵⁾	27 seconds (with offsite power) 54 seconds (with LOOP)
d) Containment modeling	See Figures 14.3.1-3 thru 14.3.1-9 and raw data in Tables 14.3.1-2, 14.3.1-3, & 14.3.1-7
e) Initial containment pressure	See Table 14.3.1-2
f) Containment spray initiation delay	See Table 14.3.1-2

	INDIANA AND MICHIGAN POWER D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UPDATED FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT	Revised: 26.0 Table: 14.3.1-1 Page: 4 of 4
---	--	--

Plant Operating Range Analyzed by the Best-Estimate Large-Break LOCA Analysis for D. C. Cook Unit 2

PARAMETER		ANALYZED VALUE OR RANGE
g)	Deck Fan initiation delay	See Table 14.3.1-2
h)	Single failure	Loss of one ECCS train
<i>Notes:</i> <i>44 peripheral locations will not physically be lead power assembly.</i> <i>In the Westinghouse Reload Safety Analysis Checklist (RSAC) process, this parameter is identified as a key safety analysis parameter that could be impacted by a fuel reload.</i> <i>The fuel temperature and rod internal pressure data is only provided up to 62,000 MWD / MTU. In addition, the hot assembly / hot rod will not have a burn up this high in ASTRUM analyses.</i> <i>Analyzing the pressurizer as being located on an intact loop is limiting per Westinghouse methodology.</i> <i>Parameter values affected by evaluation described in Section 14.3.1.5.1</i>		