

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:7908210416 DOC.DATE: 79/08/13 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga 05000275
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga 05000323
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 BOBXZYNSKI,N.I. Affiliation Unknown
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON,H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Requests that OL proceedings be reviewed because of large active earthquake fault near site, Believes facility is huge mistake & should not be licensed,

DISTRIBUTION CODE: XE03S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 3
 TITLE: 2.206 FILINGS

NOTES: J. HANCHETT WILL COPY ALL MATL.

	RECIPIENT		COPIES			RECIPIENT		COPIES			
	ID CODE/NAME		L	T		L	T	ID CODE/NAME		L	T
ACTION:	PM	B. BUCKLEY E. HYLTON	1								
INTERNAL:		ADDRESSEE DENTON, H.R.	1				DIR NRR		1		
		G ERITTER	1				J. MURRAY		1		
		NRC PDR	1				REG FILE		1		
EXTERNAL:		LPDR	1								

AUG 24 1970

Handwritten initials: H2, 00

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 8 ENCL 0



[Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly a header or introductory paragraph.]

[Faint, illegible text in the upper middle section of the page.]

[A single line of faint, illegible text acting as a separator.]

[Faint, illegible text in the middle section of the page.]

[Small, faint text or mark at the bottom left corner.]

Dear Mr. Denton,

I am sure that you are well aware of the great controversy brewing on the nuclear power issue and I sincerely hope that the problem is resolved before there is another nuclear accident. however, I sadly feel that it won't. When a serious accident does occur, it is going to be a terrible thing to bear on our conscience.

Mr. Denton, I am appealing to you on this special matter because I feel that you are capable of making a decision based on moral judgment and not on economic impact. Please don't take this lightly. there are many of us who are living with a great amount of fear and anxiety because of our unfortunate location.

Somehow our local utility company, pacific Gas & Electric was able to obtain a construction permit to build a power plant at Diablo Canyon in central California. Very early in construction it was learned that there was a large active earthquake fault lying to sea about 2½ miles from the construction site. This information was ignored by the utility. Last year seismic hearings were held by the N.R.C. for the licensing of the plant. The hearings in no way resembled the legal process that we have grown to know in our American system. They read more like a kangaroo court in Uganda. I hope that you will take the time to review the proceedings of those hearings.

There are many geologists who feel that we are entering a period of high earthquake activity here in California. We just had one two days ago measuring 5.9, and today measuring 4.5. It is very Frightening to think of the consequences of a substantial earthquake or tsunamis on that plant. It should be frightening

7908210416

XE 03
S/10
G



The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records. It emphasizes that every detail matters, from the date of entry to the specific observations made. This section also touches upon the need for consistency in reporting and the role of the observer in ensuring the integrity of the data.

In the second section, the focus shifts to the methodology used for data collection. It describes the various techniques employed, such as direct observation and the use of specialized equipment. The text highlights the challenges associated with these methods and offers practical advice on how to overcome them to ensure reliable results.

The third part of the document deals with the analysis and interpretation of the collected data. It explains how to identify patterns, trends, and anomalies within the dataset. This section also discusses the importance of comparing the findings with existing literature and theoretical models to provide a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter.

Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the key findings and their implications. It reiterates the significance of the research and offers suggestions for future studies. The overall tone is professional and objective, reflecting the scientific nature of the work.


to those people on whose shoulders the decision falls to issue the license. To date, it seems that those persons are totally oblivious to that moral consideration.

Pacific Gas & Electric has over 1.5 billion dollars invested in that plant. That is a huge sum of money. The question now arises; Which is more important? the health and safety of a community or the non acceptance of a huge financial mistake. I hope that I am not being naive in thinking that there are still people who would not succumb to the financial or political threat that this decision would incur.

Mr. Denton, please think this matter over very carefully. That plant should not be licensed. It has already cost over 1.5 billion dollars, please don't let the cost go beyond money. Those of us that are living just downwind the plant are appealing to your better judgment.

Thank you for your consideration. I would appreciate a reply at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,


Norman I. Bobczynski

Norman I Bobczynski
189 Leeward St.
Pismo Beach Ca.
93449

Reg. Cent.

7/23/79

JUL 25 1979

David F. Fleischaker, Esq.
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 501
Washington, D. C. 20006

In the Matter of
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units Nos. 1 and 2)
Docket Nos. 50-275 O.L. & 50-323 O.L.

Dear David:

This letter is in response to your request of July 13, 1979 to Mr. Tourtellotte that PG&E and the NRC Staff serve Gordon and Sandy Silver individually with all copies of Diablo Canyon pleadings.

As you can see by the enclosed Staff Licensing and Appeal Board service lists, we currently do serve both Gordon and Sandra individually. You'll have to discuss the matter of PG&E service with Messrs. Crane and Norton.

Sincerely,

L. Dow Davis
Counsel for NRC Staff

DISTRIBUTION
JTourtellotte
LDDavis
Shapar/Engelhardt/
Christenbury
FF (2)
Reg. Cent., LPDR

Enclosure: As Stated

cc (w/o encl.):

Bruce Norton, Esq.
Philip A. Crane, Jr., Esq.

OFFICE >	OELD				
CURNAME >	LDDavis:JS				
DATE >					



1952

ROBERT J. LAGOMARSINO
19TH DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA

1117 LONGWORTH BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515
202-225-3601

ASSISTANT REGIONAL WHIP
FOREIGN POLICY TASK FORCE
CHAIRMAN

COCKET NUMBER

PROD. & UTIL. EAC. 50-275,323

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, D.C. 20515

COMMITTEE ON
FOREIGN AFFAIRS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC POLICY
AND TRADE
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER
INTER-AMERICAN AFFAIRS

COMMITTEE ON
INTERIOR AND INSULAR
AFFAIRS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
PACIFIC AFFAIRS
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER
NATIONAL PARKS AND INSULAR AFFAIRS
OVERSIGHT AND SPECIAL
INVESTIGATIONS

July 24, 1979

Joseph Hendrie, Chairman
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Hendrie:


Enclosed is a self-explanatory communication from my constituents, Mr. and Mrs. Leroy Saruwatari, in regard to their concerns over nuclear power.

I would very much appreciate any comments you may have on this matter.

Sincerely,


ROBERT J. LAGOMARSINO
Member of Congress

RJL:sad
Enclosure



7-3-79

Congressman Bob Lagmarsino
1117 Longworth Building
Washington, D.C. 20515



Dear Congressman:

We sincerely believe that many individuals would not be so pro-nuclear power if they lived adjacent to a nuclear power plant as we do.

P.G.&E. has not answered some of the major unresolved health hazards created by plants such as these. P.G.&E. has not addressed the following questions regarding the emission of "white rain", radioactive dust, from their plant. We would like to know just how many pounds of radioactive dust Diablo would put into our air each day if it is turned on (1) under optimum operating conditions and (2) under the actual working conditions experienced by operating nuclear power plants -- within what limits and (3) what minerals the radioactive dust would contain.

The attitude of the utility companies and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is to proceed with the operation of these plants with the "hope" of resolving any problems later -- just how much later is anyone's guess. Since many individuals don't worry about what they can't see or really understand, it is the grave responsibility of our representatives to expose all important information to the public to insure their safety, since the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has repeatedly failed in protecting the public's health and welfare. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Mr. & Mrs. Leroy Saruwatari
Mr. & Mrs. Leroy Saruwatari
512 Launa Dr.
Arroyo Grande, Ca. 93420