BOARD OF TRUSTEES

JAMES N. ADLER REGINALD ALLEYNE REGINALD ALLEYNE
BAYARD F, BERMAN
HOWARD L BERMAN
PHILLIP S, BERRY
GEOFFREY COWAN
MARGOT E, FEUER
ROBERT A, GREENFIELD
ROBERT A, GREENFIELD
CARLYLE W, HALL JR,
ELIZABETH M, HOROWITZ
RAMBOR STANLEY K, SHEINBAUM
ARION SICILIANO
ALAN STAMM MICHAEL R. KLEIN GLADYS MEADE

OWEN OLPIN STEWART L. UDALL DONALD M. WESSLING FRANCIS M. WHEAT WERNER WOLFEN

CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST 10203 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD FIFTH FLOOR

DOCETT NULL

DOOKETED

MSWRC

Office of the Secretary

Docketing & Service

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 TELEPHONE: (213) 879-5588

ALLETTA d'A, BELIN ROBERT BERKE WALTER COCHRAN-BOND WALTER COCHRAND
TIMOTHY B. FLYNN
LUCAS GUTTENTAG
CARLYLE W. HALL, JR.
A. THOMAS HUNT
JAN G. LEVINE
JOHN R. PHILLIPS

OF COUNSEL

GEOFFREY COWAN

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

MARSHA H. KWALWASSER

VISITING FELLOWS

DELLA S. HINN SUSAN B. JACOBY MICHAEL F. KEELEY JOEL R. REYNOLDS

20, 1981

Commissioners of the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20555

Sirs:

On behalf of the Joint Intervenors in the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant ("Diablo Canyon") low power test licensing proceeding, we are writing in reference to recent Requests for Directed Certification filed before the Commission by the NRC Staff ("Staff") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"). On February 27, 1981, Joint Intervenors filed a response in support of the Staff's February 23, 1981 Request for Directed Certification and suggested that a briefing schedule be established by the Commission to ensure all parties an opportunity to be heard on the important issues raised by that application. Joint Intervenors reaffirmed this request for a briefing schedule on March 9, 1981 in their response in opposition to PG&E's February 26, 1981 Request for Directed Certification. Consistent with established Commission practice, neither of Joint Intervenors' responses addressed the merits of the issues raised by the Staff and PG&E because the Commission had not yet ruled whether it would rule on the merits of the certification requests.

On March 18, 1981, however, in a document entitled "NRC Response to the Request of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Directed Certification," the Staff submitted a lengthy presentation of its. position on the merits of the issues raised in the certification requests to the Commission. Asserting that "[t]he views of the Licensing Board and the parties are fully set forth in the record below, "1/ the Staff urged the Commission to

> give its interpretation to its Revised Policy Statement, reverse the Licensing Board, s rulings ... admitting the contentions, require a Licensing Board decision on the low power motion by a specified date, and further provide for immediate Commission review of the Licensing Board's decision. $\frac{2}{}$

Staff March 18, 1981 Response, at 14.

<u>Id.</u> at 20.

Joint Intervenors strongly object to the Staff's departure from settled administrative procedures and hereby inform the Commission once again of their desire and intention to be heard on the merits of the issues before it. By arguing the merits prematurely and recommending immediate action of an extraordinary and sweeping nature, the Staff invites the Commission not only to foreclose Joint Intervenors' right to a hearing on contentions admitted in the low power test proceeding, but also to deprive them of their right to be heard on the very issue of their right to a hearing.

The Staff's assertion that the views of all parties are fully set forth in the low power test proceeding record is belied by its own filing: if the record below were indeed so complete, the Staff certainly would not have felt compelled to file its response explaining its own views and the basis for them. Nowhere in the record have Joint Intervenors had an opportunity to set forth fully their position on the issues before the Commission and the legal and factual bases for it. Settled principles of due process and fundamental fairness mandate that they, as well as other parties to the proceeding, be given a similar opportunity prior to any action by the Commission on any of the Requests for Directed Certification before it.

In view of the Staff's disregard of established procedures, Joint Intervenors now are compelled to submit a substantive response. We will file that response on or about April 1, 1981, addressing the merits of the issues before the Commission in the certification requests. Joint Intervenors expect the Commission to refrain from reaching or issuing any decision on the pending Requests for Directed Certification until it has received and reviewed their response.

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Phillips, Esq.
Joel R. Reynolds, Esq.
CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
10203 Santa Monica Boulevard - 5th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067
(213) 879-5588

DAVID S. FLEISCHAKER, Esq. 1735 Eye Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20006 (202) 638-6070

· Lower L 2

Joel R. Reynolds

Attorneys for Intervenors

SAN LUIS OBISPO MOTHERS FOR PEACE SCENIC SHORELINE PRESERVATION CONFERENCE, INC. ECOLOGY ACTION CLUB SANDRA SILVER GORDON SILVER ELIZABETH APFELBERG JOHN J. FORSTER

cc: Diablo Canyon Service List

2.

'Joint Intervenors strongly object to the Staff's departure from settled administrative procedures and hereby inform the Commission once again of their desire and intention to be heard on the merits of the issues before it. By arguing the merits prematurely and recommending immediate action of an extraordinary and sweeping nature, the Staff invites the Commission not only to foreclose Joint Intervenors' right to a hearing on contentions admitted in the low power test proceeding, but also to deprive them of their right to be heard on the very issue of their right to a hearing.

The Staff's assertion that the views of all parties are fully set forth in the low power test proceeding record is belied by its own filing: if the record below were indeed so complete, the Staff certainly would not have felt compelled to file its response explaining its own views and the basis for them. Nowhere in the record have Joint Intervenors had an opportunity to set forth fully their position on the issues before the Commission and the legal and factual bases for it. Settled principles of due process and fundamental fairness mandate that they, as well as other parties to the proceeding, be given a similar opportunity prior to any action by the Commission on any of the Requests for Directed Certification before it.

In view of the Staff's disregard of established procedures, Joint Intervenors now are compelled to submit a substantive response. We will file that response on or about April 1, 1981, addressing the merits of the issues before the Commission in the certification requests. Joint Intervenors expect the Commission to refrain from reaching or issuing any decision on the pending Requests for Directed Certification until it has received and reviewed their response.

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Phillips, Esq.
Joel R. Reynolds, Esq.
CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
10203 Santa Monica Boulevard - 5th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067
(213) 879-5588

DAVID S. FLEISCHAKER, Esq. 1735 Eye Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20006 (202) 638-6070

By:

Joel R. Reynolds

Attorneys for Intervenors

SAN LUIS OBISPO MOTHERS FOR PEACE SCENIC SHORELINE PRESERVATION CONFERENCE, INC. ECOLOGY ACTION CLUB SANDRA SILVER GORDON SILVER ELIZABETH APFELBERG JOHN J. FORSTER

cc. Diable Canyon Service List.

• •