11111 mar 1 5 1920 Office of the Secretery iling & Service

JOCKET NUMBER PROD & UTIL FAC. 50.275.32,3

3057 S. Higuera St. Speed 116 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Director Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

I would like to plead with you not to give Pacific Gas and Electric the permission they asked for yesterday to start operating their Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant without their final license. In the first place this would be a dangerous precedent. More important, I do not believe that any licenses should be granted until the Three Mile Island Report has shown all the requirements necessary for more safety in nuclear plants.

In the case of Diablo Canyon I do not feel that all conditions of danger have yet been taken into consideration. We moved here a month ago; just a few days ago I saw pictures of the plant for the first time. Knowing the history of slides and slumping along the coast of Southern California I was horified to see how close to the beach cliffs it is located as well as being close to a small canyon perpendicular to the shoreline. Obviously some of the cliff the eliff has been disturbed also for one of the smaller structures. I had meant to look up the geology of this particular area before writing but P.G.&E's action does not give me time. I'm sure that you can get the information from the U.S. Geological Survey. If there is the same sandstone, siltstone and shale underneath these buildings that there is under most of the coastal area, then the danger of this plant sliding towards or into the ocean with the accompanying disaster if the plant is in operation is far greater than the danger from an earthquake. I plan to write again after I have looked into this matter further.

I am against any nuclear plant at least until a satisfactory method of waste disposal is found; but I am particularly against them in California. California is in a unique situation found no place else in the world today. Perhaps there hag never been one like it. It is located on the leading edge of a continental plate which is overriding a mid-ocean ridge of molten rock coming up from great depths one side of which is trying to push California back to the east. Geologists do not know what is going to happen but know that this is the reason for the tremendous number of faults.

I have not seen either of these matters brought up in discussions of the safety of Diable Canyon Nuclear plant and feel strongly that they should be before a licensing decision is made.

cc: Gov. Jerry Brown

Sincerely, Connie Anap Connie Snapp

8004030015

.

r r

ę

E. W. MORRIS

PROD, & UTIL FAC

Mar 1 5 1980 🕨

Office of the Secretary Docketing & Service Branch

10025 EL CAMINO REAL, NO. 30 ATASCADERO, CALIF. 93422

March 10, 1980

Mr. John Ahearne, Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

There are some seven nuclear plants ready for testing by operation now in the United States. One of these is the Diablo Canyon Plant of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, with two 1140 Mw. units.

Last year the reserve margin on the PG&E system was reduced to less than 5%, in spite of available interconnections to the Pacific transmission systems.

With the expected increase of 3 or 4 percent in the 1980 peak, we will be in the area of brown outs and black outs unless Diablo Canyon units are made available within one or two months.

The equipment is sitting there ready for testing and operation at low power.

As a concerned citizen I ask you to please release the hold on licensing this plant for operation.

Your Appeals Board which is scheduled to meet in San Luis Obispo, CA on April 2-3 will be deluged with complaints from a <u>minority</u> of obstructionists whose only object is to delay operation of the plant. It is apparent they have reconciled themselves to the fact that the plant will operate, and that all they can do is to create delays. Most of these opposers are <u>imported</u> merely for the purpose of such demonstrations.


In 1976, when California voted on Proposition 15 (The antinuclear initiative) residents of San Luis Obispo County voted 68/32 against the initiative, greater than the State vote of 65/35.

Thank You Herry RUZU

Edwin W. Morris Life Fellow IEEE 53 years of active service in the electrical industry

I live 25 airline miles from Diablo.





March 10, 1980

Mr. John Ahearne, Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

I am writing to urge the licensing of Nuclear Power Plants, particularly those ready or near ready for operation. The most urgent need is for Diablo Canyon. California is particularly short of power reserves thanks to your delays and those of the State Energy Commission. Power reserves last summer in California were below 5% which is just too slim a margin to assure reliable energy.

Please act.

Very truly yours,

Oscar D. Arrell 6477 Camelia Drive San Jose, CA 95120

cc: Senator S. I. Hayakawa Representative Mineta Govenor Jerry Brown San Jose Mercury News

このない、「ないないないないない」をあるというという。そのこので、「ないないないないないない」




, ,

·

, .

•



PROD & UTIL FAC. 50:275.32

3057 S. Higuera St. Speed 116 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Diréctor Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

٢

į

I would like to plead with you not to give Pacific Gas and Electric the permission they asked for yesterday to start operating their Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant without their final license. In the first place this would be a dangerous precedent. More important, I do not believe that any licenses should be granted until the Three Mile Island Report has shown all the requirements necessary for more safety in nuclear plants.

In the case of Diablo Canyon I do not feel that all conditions of danger have yet been taken into consideration. We moved here a month ago; just a few days ago I saw pictures of the plant for the first time. Knowing the history of slides and slumping along the coast of Southern California I was horified to see how close to the beach cliffs it is located as well as being close to a small canyon perpendicular to the shoreline. Obviously some of the cliff the oliff has been disturbed also for one of the smaller structures. I had meant to look up the goology of this particular area before writing but P.G.&E's action does not give me time. I'm sure that you can get the information from the U.S. Geological Survey. If there is the same sandstone, siltstone and shale underneath these buildings that there is under most of the coastal area, then the danger of this plant sliding towards or into the ocean with the accompanying disaster if the plant is in operation is far greater than the danger from an earthquake. I plan to write again after I have looked into this matter further.

I am against any nuclear plant at least until a satisfactory method of waste disposal is found; but I am particularly against them in California. California is in a unique situation found no place else in the world today. Perhaps there has never been one like it. It is located on the leading edge of a continental plate which is overriding a mid-ocean ridge of molten rock coming up from great depths one side of which is trying to push California back to the east. Geologists do not know what is going to happen but know that this is the reason for the tremendous number of faults.

I have not seen either of these matters brought up in discussions of the safety of Diable Canyon Nuclear plant and feel strongly that they should be before a licensing decision is made.

> Sincerely, Connie Anaply Connie Snapp

cc: Gov. Jerry Brown

,

• •

· · ·

ROBERTA J. LAGOMARSINO

1117 LONGWORTH BUILDING WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515 202-225-3601

ASSISTANT REGIONAL WHIP FOREIGN POLICY TASK FORCE CHAIRMAN



DOCKET NUMBER 50-275, 32 PROD, & UTIL FAC.

Congress of the United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

March 5, 1980

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS subcommitteesi international economic policy and trade Ramking Minority Member inter-American Affairs

COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS SUBCOMMITTELSI PACIFIC AFFAIRS RANNING MINOAITY MEMBER

NATIONAL PARKS AND INSULAR AFFAIRS OVERSIGHT AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS

> Dockeiling & Servics Branch

Joseph Hendrie, Chairman Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Hendrie:

Enclosed is a self-explanatory letter from my con-

stituent, William McDill.

I would greatly appreciate any comments you may have

on my constituent's concerns.

Sincerely, ROBER Member/of Congress DOCKETED USNAC MAR 1 5 1980 D Office of the Secretary

RJL:sad Enclosure

3/10...To EDO For Direct Reply...Suspense: March 20...Cpys to: Docket, OCA TO Ack...80-0445.

THIS STATIONERY PRINTED ON PAPER MADE WITH RECYCLED FIBERS

.** .

. •

, ,, , ,

. . .

,

*

. .

WILLIAM H. MCDILL 1052 JEAN DRIVE SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401 TELEPHONE (805) 543-5591

FE9 29 500 February 23,1980 DOCKET NUMBER 50 . 27 5, 32

11/-

MAR I € Is...>

ng (4 Service

Office of the Secretary

The Honorable Robert J. Lagomarsino Member of Congress 1117 Longworth Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Sir:

The enclosed clipping from the San Luis Obispo County Telegram-Tribune for Friday, February 22, 1980, illustrates a situation that I believe is intolerable.

First, let me say I am <u>not</u> opposed to nuclear power development since I have worked on problems related to peaceful uses of the atom, and I am not afraid of it. Just respectful.

However, in too many instances, the Nuclear Regular tory Commission, (NRC) and its parent organization, the Department of Energy(DOE), have acted at considerably less than the deserved level of expertise.

The opponents of nuclear power, listed in the second clipping, are well-organized and very well advised by expensive counsel. The "goofs" by the responsible government agencies have been grist for the opponent's mill and have furnished a basis for extended litigation against the agencies and the owner of the Diablo Canyonplant, Pacific Gas and Electric Company. This litigation has caused a large increase in plant cost. Technically, I know the opponents do not have a good case, but by expert use of the poor showing by NRC, the licensing board, and DOE, the "intervenors" have been effective in confusing the public and leaving a sense of frustration in those who support the development.

Please use your good offices to convey my dissatisfaction to the agencies responsible for the unconscionable delays in the design, construction, inspection, and approval of nuclear plants.

The same criticism can be leveled at the agencies for their incredibly poor handling of the "Three Mile Island" incident. Newspaper reporters and TV newscasters have successfully made the "incident" into a real disaster and created a negative "buzz-word" to the delight of nuclear opponents; and also, to many, "China Syndrome" correctly reflected the TMI "disaster".

* ۰. • ۰ . . • ... • · ·

WILLIAM H. MCDILL 1052 JEAN DRIVE SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401 TELEPHONE (805) 543-5591

Page two of two

Representative Robert J. Lagomasino--

I believe, as a taxpayer, voter, and professional engineer (retired), too often the responsible government agencies fall woefully short in ability to handle the jobs placed in their hands by the Congress. Yes, the Executive Branch is in charge of these agencies, but Congress fails in its duty in properly writing legislation that sets up authority and responsibility. The first failure is in limiting the authority of the agencies; the second, is the uncertain definition of responsibility, particularly to expeditious prosecution of the permit process. The agencies lack a sense of urgency and appreciation for the cost to applicants of delays and diversions, especially by those who oppose worthy efforts by properly qualified suppliers of energy, both public and private.

Further, I see a distinct loss in credibility in government agencies from the days of "can do" and "willdo" in 1942-1950 to the present when the agencies say "we willsee" and "we may try", knowing full well that the life of the agency depends more on not doing than on doing.

Respectfully submitted. William H.McDill

* · · · . **`**

• , s

. · · · · ·

•

. . .

Don't just take their word for it

The fact that a Nuclear Regulatory Commission licensing board didn't bother to read Pacific Gas and Electric Company's plan for security at the Diablo Canyon nuclear plant before approving the plan is appalling

Editorial

The NRC was established by Congress to safeguard the public. interest as far as nuclear powerplants are concerned.

It is very much in the public interest for a nuclear plant to have a plan which would thwart saboteurs and/or crazies.

When PG&E's plan came before the licensing board, the board listened to PG&E officials describe the plan as adequate, asked no questions and approved the plan lock, stock and reactor.

* So much for the public interest. Fortunately, a NRC appeals board

decided Friday that the licensing board was off-base and rejected the licensing board's approval.

TELEGRAM - TRIBUNE FRI Z/22/80

With the rejection, the appeals board observed that portions of the PG&E plan appear to violate NRC regulations.

The appeals board will conduct new hearings regarding the security plan for Diablo Canyon. It's to be hoped that the appeals board will adopt a "show me" posture regarding the plan and not take PG&E's word that everything is hunky-dory.

PG&E also is telling us and the NRC that the plant, which is three miles from an earthquake fault, will be safe should there be an earthquake.

As with the security plan, the public and the federal government should not accept PG&E's seismic safety report without scrutiny and questions.

The licensing board botched it with the security plan.

Another such bungle would be inexcusable.

² Nuclear rule loopholes charged

Proposed federal regulations for plants. dealing with nuclear emergencies contain too many loopholes for the benefit the plan of power plant operators, a coalition of aren't, 12 San Luis Obispo County anti-nuclear groups has charged.

In a letter to the Nuclear Regulatory. Commission released Thursday, the San Luis Obispo Area Task Force on Nuclear Power Issues said the proposed regulations were "a step in the right direction, but the do not adequately protect the public."

The regulations would require nuclear power plants to have emergency plans for anyone living within 10 miles of each plant. The NRC could suspend the license of any plant without such plans.

The task force response, signed by Robert E. Griffin of the Sierra Club, proposed that the plans cover people living within 100 miles of nuclear It said that the NRC should require the plans to be implemented; if they aren't, it said, suspension of plant licenses should be mandatory.

Members of the coalition include the (Santa Lucia chapter of the Sierra Club, San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace, Concerned Citizens of San Luis Obispo, South County Voters Against Diablo, People Generating Energy, Citizens Opposing Radioactive Cayucos, Concerned Citizens of San Luis Obispo, Seaside Survival Group, Concerned Citizens of Shell Beach, Solid Rock of Morro Bay, Oak Tree Alliance and Concerned Architects of San Luis Obispo.

The coalition chided the NRC for being more concerned about how much emergency plans will cost power plant operators than about public safety.

In response to an NRC argument that extensive emergency plan regulations would require a larger NRC staff, the statement suggested that a repetition of the March 28, 1979 Three Mile Island accident in Pennsylvania would "eliminate the need for any NRC staff."

The coalition warned that unless impleinentation and regular testing of emergency plans was required, the NRC would have no guarantee that the plans were actually being used.

.

.

· • • · • • · • •

TOP DIABLO 1980

MAR 1 5 1980 > Office of the Secretary

Docketing & Service

DOCKET NUMBER 50 - 27 5, 323 PROD. & UTIL FAC 50 - 27 5, 323 Federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1990 North California Boulevard, Suite 202 Walnut Creek, California 94596

Dear Commissoners:

I bevieve

the greatest single danger to the health and safety of Californians h the imminent opening of the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant. The California Energy Commission has shown that any nuclear plant-presents extreme hazards, because no feasible, long-term solution has been developed to safely store deadly, radioactive nuclear wastes. Governor Brown's energy advisor, Wilson Clark, has stated that California's earthquake-prone geological condition makes nuclear plants in California an unacceptable risk.

Human beings and the machines they build are all prone to mistakes and accidents. The recent closing of five nuclear plants on the East Coast by the NRC, and the recent accident at the Three Mile Island nuclear plant highlights the risks of nuclear plants. Add to these dangers the risks of earthquakes and we have a completely unacceptable situation. Please do everything in your power to permanently stop the opening of the Diablo Canyon facility. The health and safety of this and countless future generations of Californians depends on it.

We can easily meet our energy needs by rapidly changing over to solar, wind, biomass, and other safe, renewable energy technologies. Since safe energy is available to us, why should we flirt with ecological diaster by running a nuclear power plant on a fault line.

Please deny Pacific Gas and Electric application for an operating license for their Diablo Canyon facility. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Jee Anghut Thomas Lee Anshutz Signature Print Name 1145 Miller Ave. San Jose, CA .95129 Address City State : 21p

THE NEWAGE CAUCUS

10-11-P.

SIMPLE LIVING and HIGH THINKING

This form letter was prepared by the New Age Caucus. For more information or additonal copies, please call 213-820-8182 or write NAC, 11771 Santa Monica Blvd., LA 90025

.P.S. My personal comments:

Please deny an operating license. For Diablo Canyon. Please save future generations from radiation. Thank You for your time. Thank You for your time. Jon anothing

·

r

· ·

AR T 5-1980 > AR T 5-1980 > lice of the Secretary bocketing.& Service Branch

/ STOP THE DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

.....We the undersigned, as citizens of the State of California and ratepayers of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, believe that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and P.G.& E. should not allow the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, in San Luis Obispo County, to go into Operation. Nuclear Power is one of the most dangerous technologies known.. ...it poses a grave risk to our health and safety, is a major contributing factor in the spread of nuclear weapons, it is a threat to our civil liberties, and an economically unviable way of generating electricity. Diablo Canyon is in violation of the spirit of the California Nuclear Safeguards Laws regarding the safe disposal of nuclear waste.

DOCKE DUMBER

ADDRESS NAME CITY ZIP (Please Print Clearly) An liander S 10 N. 11 21 3 San LEANERO ST. CARLANA BORE KU 123 15 Mar 2 44122 ora 12 LA. 12r 70 25376 2232 SChromin 9lo. 1 18 ľ Vala 0 1 Cir 215 Can bounce Stocktone Ct R5211 72 57 FRLE ר*י*` 433 Cit Minin 45/12 573 â 4454 Palo JOI lane 'ine (A

NAME	ADDRESS	CITY	ZIP	
	(Please Pri	int Clearly)		
1 Andrea Lag	por 1708 Line st	- Blely	9470)3
2 jouquelino Sich	in 2 NOY9 Runsi	il phen	943	<u>ل</u>
Shlary Would	Luce 5455 Miani	ile Ouli	in 94	619
+ and An	45 Box211	- Prikey	es a A'	1952
5 Aroul ta	277 5532 MI	Abrel Cripet ch	$2 \cdot \mathcal{G} \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{G}$	T123
6 Jon Fell	222 1.944	hove any So	nthe Crie	95060
7 Terry Lamo	hier 1732 Cel	lar Berkela	ey 94	703
8 Mistri Yun	rg 116 Ruth	CT SACTO	, 95	819
9 Marlin 5	Join 7040 22	ind St. Rio Lin	neta Cei.	15673
10 Day Je	1470 Web	ite : 3 Aul +	newiszi	9415
11 then white the	11. 17.35 Lin	`		94117
12	3543 - 2	357 SP		94110
.13 Louis to	1-1 107 Kg	come		Much
14 JUTRAN	rin 5295	"1"St Cartio	TRANKE	(u 44550
15 W. Joel	PSELSE 2315	Duight W	in Berk	eley Car JAZZ
16		ل .		,

NAME ADDRESS CITY ZIP (Please Print Clearly) 2909 Concret Bul Concid. 24519 Un. スタ 94519 00 CANCORT (-0400000 NICK Aquilino 2633 Grant 99763 lino (DAVID BARNES) 2424 94710 57 Kelen 11/10/ Barkeliy Youk Hills 1370 "CALIF. ST. #105, SAN FRANCISCO CA. 94109 (a)Monde as thun) Unstan D 4446 Unexue a. 1587 Smith 771) 9 $\overline{}$ ር-ተ-· Mitting 54 というたっ 1762 12 10 11 a 3 P 1456in 3741-Riching CA 12 Pleaseration CL. 705 *44111* Heines 5 F. e 13 r 1456 829 DIVISION ST PLEASANTON HIWSER 14 15 *X*(7) 16

NAME ADDRESS CITY ZIP (Please Print. Clearly) 1410 1.1-U Comolin La Г, -. 2 5065 C. JT.C.t. 94703 SY duriner Remain trancisco 91703 Surt AND. 1780 University 4 9461 Hanwood AUT. CARIAN R かそう 61 ·∕∕£ Calchi 7:2 CA94 70 $H_{\eta}\varphi$ Cularind. 1 220 HD 10.00 in nonet R.A. lieric 10 ી! DC. m):d 1/ relate KEISTON 401 11 ጋቢለው፤እ Civerk 50000 2 S.F. CA. 94110 Ill Choross allen Aleunsin 3 1942 WENdell IN PRASANT Hill C.A 94523 onyl 306 Main St. Martinez 94553 n u Me AVE

NAME ADDRESS CITY ZIP (Please Print Clearly) Th que 2 Sł to Takes lellere by 565 Scinta Criz CA 95CG.4 7550 Bayside Roal Ca 6 F.A Hrcuta 25 61 3 to: starCf a 5 50 5 rockett r'ra 110. ardeus ЯH aler 6 Detra embler Vadulla 75, Ray Huc Dakland Ca 9-1615 709 ome Khoisela Klay 80 Mill Viellar 0 ヨチタチ 9 <u>46103</u> 10 3540. 11 $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ 12 102- PAS Millin 2159 624 94550 it Luirma 13 1.117 2041 Dealern 14 1619 BLAFE REKCELe7 15/ 9. Y 9973 BLAKE 161 19 BERKELE 16

NAME ADDRESS CITY ZIP (Please Print Clearly) 94122 Bin CLAP N. 208 PAJIA 9900 1.1 Cel 999 Grizzly Real Blad Drivers ιλΛ nur 合. れ re 12110 1823 Spulled Wary Con б 464 'isola Simily (priz , CA CREET $\leq i \times l \in \mathcal{A}$ XTA NRIGHT AUR 7 herry St# Beckelen 94705 न्ने २० ८ 94132 Sr une li Dr · ELATIL 63 i.h.115 TILLEN AS ソビ 11 Enzo win mine (FILISE (Y MC Hanner Dave 12 417 1-17-153 94117 Shi thin are 13 401 Minner Correction Bitin 14 15 1224 A31.1 1 minute 21H $\mathcal{I}II_{n}$

Section and

ADDRESS NAME ZIP : · (Please Print Clearly) adrild 5915 Korth SE Cakland CP 94618 F. Qa 94117 1865 Prov 54 #4 0 0 16 01 iset Demankoff (150 19th ANSF Ca. 9412! Kniellin Kilsnis 45 5.2 194:580 1212 (A St HO STEWED ST . 94117 5 TEnal. Q. build GUNIS 6 1 7 027 51 ダイアさえ M 22" 1245A Jane 22 CARANAN. 8 derkeler r. y 9 uned La stalls 12 20 2020 51 7170 A 10 Mar 1980 De cart (100/07) Jalnut Proest CA. 945 પ્ર A 11. 1905A Adasane Bononkis Pre 19209 11. Tion Scheiben (753 En la i Store inno, Terma line bor heles 12 ISAN MANNANN 4326 P. MCNILCHIERT 47711 13) GAR (S. I. v ! , 11 ٤. 14 شد: من ال Fin Asta (17301 15 · 10 3800 1200 16,

			. •		
NAME	ADDRESS	· <u>CIT</u>	<u>Y</u> .	ZIP	
· · · ·	(Pleas				,
1 Tuill He	anigar se	89 VLEKan	15 2 1	<u>6. 1. 454</u>	
2 . 11. 1	-n 9575. h	ilan Lilne	Dinner	normal Fr	<u> </u>
	million				7-7
	·······································	• • •	•		1) -10 -11
	- Gildfarb			94117	
	hranks. 5			CA 94 .:	٠
7 71/1/1	d Rohm	350321	VPST S.F.	(1) 94/1	Э
8 CM MicAta	EET IN 1970	Fellst.	# 11 SI	= (iA - 4)4	1.7
9 States & M	11tchill , 913.	1 Birthing	Wing By	K. 4. 147	6
10 M. F 25-	. .	ar chanfi	\sim '		
11 ···································	milin (r. 3	La l'untes	<u>.</u> <u>3.</u>	1. 1 ····	1/L. 7 T
12 ·	Finer 20	19 Mullen		7.	94110
13 Paris	Juiplond "	1126 Paver	marcel a	lastick.	94546
14 Diany a		~	#405 -	SZ. 941	
15 Elsa Tin	mplay 164		•		
	Flammer T	· · /			
	, e no - 1	•			

NAME-ADDRESS CITY ZIP (Please Print Clearly) Shire laune 2285-22. 1 St SF (4. .. ダロリン all the section of the section 11:00 · Dilaman Dr. · · 3 BELINELY 14707 (A) HULPS . HST AND NOT W. Land 5 612 Cs. · 444 Ċa Onit Saut 2 Ilionica (A list or KIST 8 519 Washington It 9` Cali Lette J. Trive in 15060 Cru 2 44 Krither Lord 10 SKANN 22124 61 edart 254 \mathcal{O} 11 48 Hickory R 12) in parist recel La. (mx 470.5 10% là longia wood xu 13 2000 Alure Prific 94044 14 in Mar 13.20 ちちのダン AUF, 15 352 LINSA 9453 MATINEZ TAUP 1.6 ------

NAME ADDRESS ZIP (Please Print Clearly) 2976 Falson St MAAVSKA 109 landeauli 2 itt. 7 Luis andia 1 (a Bint. 7¢ allow and Ca fic it. 1x altic 77 al Inde 94706 Reel ት_{^^} Mance relly CI 6 1 kine (Ulren 186 S 42120nil 3004 (7 GLII/1 Riz 2465 Pini Me. ln.lAlinch ing í-アタマネ 1402 10 ~ /r= 1617 ANNETTA INCINE VETALINIA CH ND Fr Stores. 12 Carner 33 mme PV. Sam 20 22... 24 12 Coul-k.In Katrin 13 (î FLEACAN 1.75422 14 43.5 17 1.11 (CV) 5 Fictin 15

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)	•
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY)	Docket No
(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2));))	
	5	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document(s) upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Office of the Secretary of the Commission in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.712 of 10 CFR Part 2 -Rules of Practice, of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Dated at Washington, DrC. this 18th day of /Kurch 1980

Office of the Secretary of the Commission

.(s)

50-275 50-323

* 7 documents

``**`**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of	>	
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY) Docket No.(s)	50-275
(Diablo Canyon, Units 1 and 2))	50-323
)) ·	

SERVICE LIST

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq., Chairman ' Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. William E. Martin Senior Ecologist Battelle Memorial Institute Columbus, Ohio 43201

Richard S. Salzman, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. W. Reed Johnson Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Thomas S. Moore, Esg. Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Counsel for NRC Staff Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C., 205554

Mrs. Elizabeth Apfelberg c/o Ms. Nancy Culver 182 Luneta Drive San Luis Obispo, California 93401 Philip A. Crane, Jr., Esq. Pacific Gas & Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 3127 San Francisco, California 94106

Janice E. Kerr, Esq. California Public Utilities Commission 5246 State Building San Francisco, California, 94102

Mrs. Raye Fleming 1920 Mattie Road Shell Beach, California 93440

Mr. Frederick Eissler
Scenic Shoreline Preservation
Conference, Inc.
4623 More Mesa Drive
Santa Barbara, California 93105

Mrs. Sandra A. Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, California 93401

Mr. Gordon A. Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, California 93401

Paul C. Valentine, Esq. 321 Lytton Avenue Palo, Alto, California 94302

Yale I. Jones, Esq. 100 Van Ness Avenue, 19th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 , 1

. .

• •

•

·

۰ ۰ . .

•

•

Board and parties - continued

Brent Rushforth, Esq. Stephen M. Kristovich, Esq. Center for Law in the Public Interest 10203 Santa Monica Drive Los Angeles, California 90067

David F. Fleischaker, Esq. 1735 I Street, N.W., Apt. 709 Washington, D.C. 20006

Arthur C. Gehr, Esq. Snell & Wilmer 3100 Valley Center Phoenix, Arizona 85073

Mr. James O. Schuyler Nuclear Projects Engineer Pacific Gas & Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, California 94106

Bruce Norton, Esq. 3216 North Third Street, Suite 202 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Mr. W. Andrew Baldwin, Esq. Friends of the Earch 124 Spear San Francisco, California 94105

50-275, -323

Herbert H. Brown, Esq. Hill, Christopher & Phillips, P.C. 1900 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. J. Anthony Klein Governor's Office State Capitol Sacramento, Cálifornia[.] 95814

Mr. Carl Neiburger San Luis Obispo Telegram-Tribune P.O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, California 93406

Mr. James Hanchett Public Affairs Officer, Region V U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1990 N. California Boulevard, Suite 202 Walnut Creek, California 94596

、