


UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

LOCAL PDR



Docket Nos. 50-275  
and 50-323

September 28, 1973

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
ATTN: Mr. Frederick T. Searls  
Vice President and General  
Counsel  
77 Beale Street  
San Francisco, California 94106

Applicant File


Gentlemen:

We have conducted a review of the revised application for operating licenses for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2 which you tendered to the Commission on September 26, 1973. The Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) has been revised to reflect the comments from our completeness review of the application that you tendered on July 10, 1973. On the basis of our review, we have concluded that the revised FSAR is adequately complete to permit us to docket your application and establish a review schedule. Accordingly, you should file 25 copies of the general and financial information (including three signed and notarized originals) and 70 copies of the FSAR, as required by Section 50.30(c) of 10 CFR Part 50. As mentioned in our letter of August 13, 1973, incorporation into your application by reference of your Environmental Report, Supplements, and Appendices is acceptable, and no further information is required in this area at the present time.

Our conclusion that the revised FSAR is adequately complete is based on an overall evaluation of this document, with the realization that deficiencies still exist in several areas that will need to be corrected in the near future. The most significant of these deficiencies are the detailed analysis of potential pipe breaks outside containment, analyses of the potential consequences of tornado generated missiles, and results of the boring program and the dynamic stability analysis in connection with the slope located east of the plant. Additional information will also be required relative to regional tectonic and seismic setting, containment heat removal and containment air purification and cleanup systems. All of these items were referred to in Enclosure 1 of our letter of August 13, 1973. Information on these and any other outstanding items should be submitted by amendment to your application as soon as possible in order to facilitate the review process.

Applicant File


B501030379 841211  
PDR ADOCK 05000275  
H PDR



The request for additional financial information (see Enclosure 2 of our letter of August 13, 1973) has not yet been addressed. You are reminded that we will require this information in order to complete our review. Therefore, you should submit it as soon as possible.

If, during the course of our review of your application, you believe there is a need to bring to the special attention of Licensing management matters that involve a disagreement with a staff position relating to your application, you may have the opportunity to bring the matter to the attention of the Director of Licensing, the Deputy Director for Technical Review, or me. This may be done either orally or in writing, but you should specify the matters to be discussed and indicate your reasons for disagreement with the staff reviewers. The matters to be discussed will be the subject of a meeting held by the Director of Licensing. Your company should be represented at the meeting by a responsible corporate representative. Staff representatives will include the Deputy Directors of Reactor Projects and Technical Review or their Assistant Deputy Directors. This procedure is an informal one, designed to allow opportunity for applicants to discuss, with Licensing management, areas of disagreement in the case review.


Sincerely,



A. Giambusso, Deputy Director  
for Reactor Projects  
Directorate of Licensing

cc: Mr. W. J. Lindblad, Project Engineer  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale Street  
San Francisco, California 94106

P. A. Crane, Jr., Attorney of Record  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
245 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94106



## APPENDIX A

CHRONOLOGY OF THE RADIOLOGICAL REVIEW

1. July 10, 1973 Application containing the FSAR tendered by Pacific Gas and Electric Company.
2. August 13, 1973 Applicant notified that the FSAR portion of the application is not sufficiently complete for docketing.
3. August 15, 1973 Initial site visit by LPM.
4. August 21, 1973 Meeting with applicant to discuss the deficiencies in the FSAR.
5. September 26, 1973 Revised application tendered by PG&E.
6. September 28, 1973 Applicant notified that application is sufficiently complete, and to file the appropriate documents as required by Section 50.30(c) of 10 CFR Part 50.
7. October 2, 1973 Application docketed.
8. October 10, 1973 Letter to applicant disclosing staff position regarding ATWS.
9. October 19, 1973 Notice of opportunity for hearing published in Federal Register (38 FR 29105).
10. October 25, 1973 Site visit and meeting related to geology and seismology.
11. November 5, 1973 Letter to applicant reminding him of his responsibility to maintain the local Public Document Room.
12. November 14, 1973 Site visit and meeting related to meteorology, hydrology, radiological assessment, and accident analysis.
13. November 19, 1973 Submittal of Amendment No. 1 consisting of miscellaneous revised and additional pages of the FSAR.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
LIBRARY

1962  
1963