

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-275

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-76, issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee), for operation of the Diablo Canyon, Unit 1, nuclear power plant located in San Luis Obispo County, California.

The amendment would update §§ 3.8.2.1 and 3.8.2.2 of the facility Technical Specifications to reflect the installation of two new 7.5 KVA inverters to increase the capacity of the Class IE instrument AC system from 30 KVA to 45 KVA in accordance with the licensee's application dated December 19, 1982.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations, 10 CFR § 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

8308080821 830715  
PDR ADOCK 05000275  
PDR

OFFICE						
SURNAME						
DATE						



The Commission has provided guidance for the application of these criteria by providing examples of amendments that are considered not likely to involve a significant hazards consideration (48 FR 14870). One such example is a change that constitutes an additional limitation, restriction, or control not presently included in the Technical Specifications, for example, a more stringent surveillance requirement.

The proposed amendment, which increases the required capacity of the inverters from 30 KVA to 45 KVA, constitutes an additional limitation than that is currently required by the Technical Specifications. Therefore, based on this consideration and the criteria mentioned above, we have made a proposed determination that this amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, ATTN: Docketing and Service Branch.

By August 22, 1983, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any persons whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Request for a hearing and

OFFICE	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
SURNAME	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
DATE	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....



petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary of the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

OFFICE ▶							
SURNAME ▶							
DATE ▶							



Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene in the proceeding which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements which respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would

OFFICE							
SURNAME							
DATE							



11



result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325-6000 (in Missouri (800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to George W. Knighton: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, and to Philip A. Crane, Jr., Esq., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California 94106 and Norton, Burke, Berry and French, P.C. , Attn: Bruce Norton, Esq., 2002 East Osborn Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85016, attorneys for the licensee.

OFFICE	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
SURNAME	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
DATE	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....



Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR §§ 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 19, 1982, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D. C., and at the California Polytechnic State University Library, Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by  
George W. Knighton

George W. Knighton, Chief  
Licensing Branch No. 3  
Division of Licensing

\*See next page for previous concurrence

OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	OELD				
SURNAME	*BBuckley:ph	*Gknighton	*				
DATE	7/ / 83	7/ / 83	7/ / 83				



Untimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 19, 1982, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D. C., and at the California Polytechnic State University Library, Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this                      1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

George W. Knighton, Chief  
Licensing Branch No. 3  
Division of Licensing

OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	GLD				
SURNAME	BBuckley:ph	GKnighton	G.W. Knighton				
DATE	7/1/83	7/1/83	7-6-83				



ATTACHMENT

As a result of modifications required as a consequence of the Three Mile Island accident, it will be necessary to install two new 7.5 KVA inverters and their associated busses to increase the capacity of the Class IE instrument AC system from 30 KVA to 45 KVA to accomodate new electrical loads associated with NUREG-0737. The Commission has made a determination that the proposed amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulation in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that the operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident different from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance for the application of these criteria by providing examples of amendments that are considered not likely to involve a significant hazards consideration (48 FR 14870). One such example is a change that constitutes an additional limitation, restriction, or control not presently included in the Technical Specifications, for example, a more stringent surveillance requirement.

The proposed amendment which increases the required capacity of the inverters from 30 KVA to 45 KVA constitutes an additional limitation than is currently required by the Technical Specification. Therefore, based on these considerations and the three criteria given above we have made a proposed determination that this amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

OFFICE							
SURNAME							
DATE							

