

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8107100033 DOC. DATE: 81/05/27 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga. 05000275
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga. 05000323
 AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 WHORTON, F. Affiliation Unknown
 LOHRMAN, S. B. Affiliation Unknown
 KALHAMMER, C. J. Affiliation Unknown
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 WOLFE, S. J. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

SUBJECT: Package of 151 ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL ~~0~~ SIZE: 153
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V)
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V)

05000275
 05000323

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC BUCKLEY, B.	1 1	LIC BR #3 LA	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP I&E OELD, BLANTON PUBLIC AFFAIRS	5 2 1 1	ASLB NRC PDR OGC <u>REG. FILE</u>	1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR NTIS	1 1	NSIC	1

JUL 15 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 20 ENCL ~~19~~


[Handwritten mark]

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY
FOR LAND AND WATER RESOURCES
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

DATE	INITIALS	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
10/15/54	JAN
10/16/54	JAN
10/17/54	JAN
10/18/54	JAN
10/19/54	JAN
10/20/54	JAN
10/21/54	JAN
10/22/54	JAN
10/23/54	JAN
10/24/54	JAN
10/25/54	JAN
10/26/54	JAN
10/27/54	JAN
10/28/54	JAN
10/29/54	JAN
10/30/54	JAN
10/31/54	JAN

50-275-323



May 20, 1981
1423 Harbor View Dr. #8
Santa Barbara, Ca.

Mr. Wolfe
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H St. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20055

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

I am a resident of Santa Barbara, California, and am very concerned about the possibility that the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon might get licensed. In light of the potential dangers to citizens of this area, as well as to many others who might be exposed to toxic radioactive wastes, I strongly urge you to take action against the licensing and opening of this facility.

Thank you so much for your help.

Sincerely,

Selicia Whorton

DS03
5/10

8107100033 810527
PDR ADOCK 05000275
H PDR





May 20, 1981

DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAS. 50-275-323


STOP DIABLO NOW!

Don't let future disasters
be our "fault"!

A Concerned Citizen

Sara Ballentine Johnson
310 Alston Rd.
Santa Barbara, Ca 93108

D503
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



Carley J. Kauhhammer

DSOB
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DSO'S
Sifo
Sharon Sadler
761 Juanita
Santa Barbara, CA
93109



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California, and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

PADDY CHALKOPOLO
8700 BITLON AVE
SIO CA 93401

D503
S/0



50-275-323




Dear Mr. Wolf,

Upwards of 90% of the people who are unfortunate enough to live downwind from the devil, DIABLO Canyon Nuclear power plant oppose the operating permit.

please build one in Washington D.C. close to the Capital building so that all our irresponsible legislative bodies can look out their windows and feel the constant threat like we in San Luis obispo and Santa Barbara Counties do. Also, please close out all the escape routes except one and make an evacuation plan for a 20 mile radius. Forget about Baltimore! And we would also like you to store the waste in D.C. we don't need the electricity in California, we have the sun.


Richard P. Seaman
SANTA BARBARA

DSOB
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DS03
5/10
Danny F. Miller
1345 Danielson #F
Montecito Calif. 93101
Danny Miller



MR. Wolf


POST OFFICE
PHOS. & UTIL. FAC. 50-225-3230



STOP


"Diablo CANYON"

No Nuclear Facility in
San Luis obsipo, please!



Thank you,
Jeanne Stewart

DS03
S/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri-fault-line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


Amosie Blomberg

Ken Rogers

Anna Lewis



Ann of Mt. Diablon

*DS03
5/10*



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DS03
6/0
Barbara D. Mills



100

100

MAY 19, 1981



DEAR MR. WOLF OF THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMITTEE;
NUCLEAR POWER IN THE PRESENT DAY IS AN EXTREMELY
CONTROVERSIAL ISSUE. TO BE IN THE POSITION OF A DECISION-
MAKER IN REGARDS TO NUCLEAR POLICIES IS A GREAT
RESPONSIBILITY. NOT ONLY ARE THERE CONCERNS FOR THE
NEED OF ENERGY, BUT ALSO FOR THE NEED OF CONSERVATION.
MOST IMPORTANTLY IS A NECESSARY CONCERN FOR THE
QUALITY OF LIFE ON OUR PLANET.

IT SEEMS THAT THERE ARE QUESTIONS THAT EVEN
NOW, AMID THE SEEMING RUSH TO PRODUCE NUCLEAR
POWER REMAIN UNANSWERED. THOUGH COMMON KNOW-
LEDGE, THESE QUESTIONS REMAIN; THE PROBLEMS OF
SAFE DISPOSAL OF THE NUCLEAR WASTE, THE PROBLEMS
OF DOWN-TIME IN A NUCLEAR POWER PLANT RELATING
TO THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF NUCLEAR POWER,
THE POSSIBILITIES OF SABOTAGE AND/OR A NATURAL
BREAKDOWN RESULTING IN THE RELEASE OF RADIATION.
THE LIST COULD GO ON AND ON. WE HOPE THAT THERE
IS STILL AN OPEN EAR TO THESE CONCERNS.

WE ARE RESIDENTS OF SANTA BARBARA AND ARE
VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF A LOW POWER
TESTING LISCENCE FOR DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR
POWER PLANT. NOT ONLY DO THE ABOVE CONCERNS RE-
LATE TO THIS PLANT, BUT THERE IS THE ADDED PROBLEM
OF A KNOWN EARTHQUAKE FAULT IN CLOSE PROXIMITY
TO THE PLANT.


WE FEEL THIS LISCENCE SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED.

IT SEEMS A SHAME THAT THE APPARENT
MOTIVES FOR GRANTING THIS LISCENCE ARE PRIMARILY
FINANCIAL IN THE REALM OF CORPORATE LOSS. AND,
THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR PUBLIC SAFETY AND THE REAL
NEED FOR THIS ENERGY HAS GONE BY THE WAYSIDE.
WE DON'T FEEL THERE IS A REAL NEED FOR NUCLEAR
ENERGY. IT IS A SHAME THAT OUR POLITICAL SYSTEM



DS03
5/10

5



SEEMS TO RESPOND TO CORPORATE PRESSURES RATHER
THAN TO THE NEED AND WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC.

DEAR SIR; WE ARE ABSOLUTELY AGAINST ANY
LISCENCING OF DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER
PLANT. PLEASE CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES.


SINCERELY,



Maggie Sargoni
Maggie Sargoni

P.O. Box 40484
SANTA BARBARA,
CALIFORNIA 93103



2503
58/0





323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area; I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

There may not be any safe places for a nuclear plant, but here at Diablo Canyon it is especially dangerous.


Seison Siegel D503
510
926 Camino del Sur #B
Goleta, Ca. 93117



PROPERTY NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf.


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Mr. Chairman
Practically soon, there won't be any truly safe places to live in this country anymore. Especially if the NRCs are allowed to build plants any place they want. This will be a precedent setting case, sir. Don't pave the way for plants in such foolish places as this - or anywhere else.


DSO.B 5/10
Greg Ham





DOCKET NUMBER
PROP. & UTIL. PAC. 50-275-323

May 21, 1981



Mr. Wolf
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 Eighth Street Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As a mother of young children in Santa Barbara, I am not able to attend the hearings in San Luis Obispo regarding the licensing of the nuclear power plant in Diablo Canyon. But I would like to add my protest to that of many others and voice my opposition to the licensing and operation of this dangerous power plant on a proven earthquake fault. I hope the N.R.C. will consider the preferences of the people in their final decision on the matter.

Sincerely,



Frances Davis

Frances Davis
P.O. Box 304
Summerland, Ca. 93067

0503
S/0

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,



D503
Peter Cronin
6715 Pasado Dr.
Isla Vista Calif.
93117

a concerned Registered Voter



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

MC Wolfe

Registered Voter

D503
S 1/0

100

100

100

100

100

100

100



100

100

100

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Betty L. Johnson

Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy auditing of the accounts.



In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. This includes both primary and secondary research techniques. The goal is to gather comprehensive information that can be used to identify trends and make informed decisions.

The third section focuses on the challenges faced during the data collection process. It highlights issues such as incomplete data, inconsistent reporting, and the difficulty of obtaining accurate information from certain sources. The author provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure the integrity of the data.

Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the findings and recommendations. It stresses the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation of the data to ensure that the information remains relevant and useful over time. The author also suggests areas for further research and improvement in data management practices.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Muriel Campion

Registered Voter



DS03
5/19

Handwritten scribble or mark in the top right corner.

Faint, illegible handwritten text or markings in the upper left quadrant.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


Katherine Lee Malony

Registered Voter

D503
5/16

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, CA, are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive that reads "David Wolfe".

Registered Voter



DS03
5/10

LARRY D. THOMPSON

1605 VILLA AVENUE SANTA BARBARA CALIFORNIA

File # 50-225-323

Mr. Wolf,
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H St. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555
May 19, 1981.



Dear Mr. Wolf,


I strenuously object to the licensing of the Diablo Nuclear Power Plant for low-level testing or any sort of operation. While in general I feel that there is excessive risk involved with nuclear power, I think that the earthquake risk associated with this facility should make it totally unacceptable to anyone.

As an architect and homeowner, I do not want my community threatened by such a dreadfully dangerous plant. Conservation and alternative energy sources must be utilized instead of nuclear power.

DS03
5/10

Sincerely,
Larry Dennis Thompson


cc: Alan Cranston, Robert J. Spector, Ronald Reagan




SD-275-323
1618 Loma St.
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93103
May 20, 1981.

Dear Mr. Wolf;

As Chairman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission you have a tremendous power and responsibility. I hope you will rise to the occasion and look beyond immediate political and economic considerations and join us in the fight against the opening of operations at Diablo Canyon nuclear plant. We were lucky enough to avoid a major accident at Three Mile Island; who knows how long our good luck will last? Should there be an "accident" at Diablo Canyon, the blame for any ill effects would rest squarely



DS03
5/10




on your shoulders - Unfortunately, blame is of little use after the damage is done.

It is your duty as a servant of the public trust to deny an operating permit of any kind to the Diablo Canyon Plant.


Thank you,

Tom Schiffer



5/21/81

Mr. Wolf,



PLEASE for the sake of our children and our unborn. Help us to stop the opening of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.



THANK YOU


Lori JEAN Familiaro
Leonard W Familiaro
Susan Romano



CONFUCIUS SAY...
DON'T PUT OFF UNTIL TOMORROW
WHAT YOU CAN GET OUT OF
TODAY!

DS03
5/10

DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. DIVISION 275-323




Dear Mr. Wolfe,


I am taking this time to voice my opposition to the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. I believe the siting of this plant to be unsafe and that it presents a real danger of a catastrophe that would endanger my family, friends, and community. I sincerely hope you take time to read this & consider my view point.


Thank you,

Cliff R Scholle
1010 Sherwood Dr.
Santa Barbara, Ca.




DS03
5/10





May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Eric S. Antley

Registered Voter


D503
5/10





56-275-323

19, 1981



Mr. Wolf, Chairperson
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Committee
1717 H Street N.W.
Washington DC 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf,

I am writing to voice my sincere opposition to the licensing of the Diablo Nuclear Power Plant at Diablo Canyon, California.

As a member of the health profession I am constantly aware of the importance of maintaining the optimum level of health possible for each of us. The licensing and subsequent operation of this nuclear power plant - at any level - with its infinite doubts and unsolved dangers, would be a direct threat to that precious resource.

I must question the motives behind those who would take such a risk.

Concerned for the future of us all,

Helena Starcevic


Health Worker and soon-to-be R.N.

514 E. Canon Perdido
Santa Barbara, Ca 93103

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



139 E. Calle Laurele
Santa Barbara, Ca
93105

50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,


Jerry Chertzo
Registered Voter

DS03
5/18



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Jackie Bach-y-Rita

Norbert Schiller Jr. ^{DSB} 5/10
1020 El Estrebarca drive # 3
Isla Vista C.A. 93117

JACKIE Bach-y-Rita
6643 Abrego Rd # B6
Isla Vista CA 93117






DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. REG. 50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission -
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Sincerely


Robin Shafer
Rt 3 Box 278
San Luis Obispo
Calif. 93401

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

John E. Shale
RT 3 Box 278
San Luis Obispo, Ca. 93401

DS03
510



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


CRYSTAL ZEVON *Crystal Zevon*
2267 Featherhill Rd.
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93108



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50.12.75, 323




DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

Michael J. Fuller

Registered Voter

Yes

2503
5/10

11

G.H. Rothe

"THE RED DRESS"

GOLETA, CA
MAY 22
PM
1981


Mezzotint* Image: 22 x 16 inches

Edition of 200 plus 15 artist proofs,
signed and numbered by the artist
in pencil on Arches 88 paper stock

Please stop the use of
~~nuclear~~ nuclear power plants
and especially the opening
of Diablo Canyon. Save the
earth and the life

*Mezzotint: A painstaking method of engraving developed over 350
years ago in which the artist uses a tool with a serrated edge—known as
a rocker—to raise a burr on the surface of a copper plate. An image is
formed by scraping away the burr where light tones are required and by
polishing the copper quite smooth in the highlights. When the artist is
satisfied with the image, the plate is inked and wiped by a method
known as "La Poupe". Where the plate is rough, the ink is retained and
will print in rich and intense color. Where the plate is smooth, less ink is
retained and a lighter tone will occur.

of any future generations
to live on it. sincerely,
(805) 965-5367



Mr. Wolfe
The United States Nuclear
Regulatory Commission
1717 H St N/W
Washington DC

RECEIVED 0555
JUL 09 1981
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION


223 Via Sevilla
Santa Barbara
Ca 93106

Peggy Harris



MAY 27 1981
Office of the Secretary
& Service

JUL 10 1981
Office of the Secretary
Docketing & Services
Branch

D503
50/1




WE DON'T WANT ANYMORE NUCLEAR
POWER PLANTS! DO NOT ISSUE A
LICENSE FOR DIABLO CANYON.
DON'T SELL OUT THE PEOPLE FOR
CORPORATE GREED. DIABLO CANYON
ISN'T SAFE - NO MORE THREE MILE
ISLANDS! NO LOW-LEVEL RADIATION
TESTING AT D. CANYON. NO MORE
NUKES!!




D503
5011


no more nukes!!




U.S. Postage 10c

Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
wash, d.c. 20555





BOOKLET NUMBER
PRGD. & UTIL FAC. 50-275-323



REMEMBER

WE DONT NEED
NUCLEAR POWER!
STOP DIABLO.
PLEASE




DS03
5011

K. FLORY
16 E. PEDREGOSA ST.
SANTA BARBARA, CA




MR. WOLF-CHAIRMAN
US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
1717 H ST., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Karl Vincent

DS03
50/1

Registered Voter

10/10/68

10/10/68

10/10/68

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.




If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,
Louise Allard Winter

DS03
50/1

Registered Voter Louise Allard-Winter



50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, , Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:.

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



D503
5/10

Cindy A. Stanton
Cindy A. Stanton
2360 Bushnell
San Luis Obispo, CA.

93407



50-275-323



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Please, for the love of the Human Family - Stop Diablo D503
5/10

*Dorothy J. Love, Fritch, CA
Box 130, Fritch, CA*

100



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Ellen Russell
6648 Trigo #2
Goleta, Ca.
93117

DS03
5/10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10


10

10

68-276/323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Elizabeth Hitting
6816 Trigo Rd
Goleta, CA. 93117



DS03
510





May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. Wolf

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Stephen J. Gifford
DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



0503
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the ~~low power~~ testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:



1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Mary Ann Caroline Moore
Mary Ann Caroline Moore
Registered Voter




D503
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:


I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,
Sergio Morales



Registered Voter

Sergio Morales

5103

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This not only helps in tracking expenses but also ensures compliance with tax regulations.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. This includes both primary and secondary research techniques. The goal is to gather comprehensive information that can be used to identify trends and make informed decisions.

The third section focuses on the challenges faced during the data collection process. It highlights issues such as incomplete data, inconsistent reporting, and the difficulty of accessing certain sources. The author provides practical solutions to overcome these obstacles and ensure the integrity of the data.

Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation of the data collection process to ensure it remains effective and efficient over time.

[Handwritten signature or mark]

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



EXHIBIT NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. PACT. 275,323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,

Marc Girard Houly


Registered Voter

DS03
510



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive that reads "David M. Irwin II".


Registered Voter David M Irwin

DS03
5/10

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. REG. 50-825-323

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


Karen V. Leven
- 680 Clavo #1
A.L.O., CA. 93401

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:



1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Laura Drayman
680. Chorro #13
S.L.O. Cal. 93401

DS03
5/10





May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


Anna Marie Smith

DS03
5/10





BOOKET NO. 100
REGD. & CIVIL. PAB. 50-275, -323



May 18, 1981

Mr. *Wolf* Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. *Wolf*,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

You see, at the May 19 hearing, proved yourself to be an inept, ignorant asshole. If you ~~still~~ believe this plant is so safe - put it in your backyard - NOT MINE !!!


Janet Strong

*D503
5/10*



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Karla Smith

Karla Smith

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

KEVIN PROCTOR THORNE

D503
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power testing" conditions. ~~Since I live so close to the plant~~ this factor directly affects me. (I live in Santa Barbara!)
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible. (I don't want sabotage)
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

By 1985 the California Energy Commission says it will cost substantially more (perhaps twice as much?) to supply a home's energy via nuclear power as solar!

I do not comprehend how you
people with the power to decide can
seriously even consider implementing
a source of energy which is
costly to all concerned (especially
us; the taxpayers), but much more
importantly, can cause death and
hundreds of years of damage and
destruction. Think of your own
children; & ~~their~~ children's

children! a nuclear accident is
just too feasible. . . . we, the
people, will not let some few
capitalistic, ego-centric bureaucrats
determine the future of our
generations!

⁹⁵⁰³
^{5/10}
Alexandra M. Baum
6763 Del Playa #A
Goleta, Cal. 93117

May 20, 1981

Dear Commission,

BOOKLET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. REG. 50-275-323


Since A cannot make it up to San Luis Obispo for the Diablo Canyon licensing hearings, A thought A'd let you know that there is yet another person out here who is opposed to the plant's licensing.

Sincerely,

Martha Haynes
452 J Linfield Place
Goleta, Ca. 93117



DS03
5/10




Dear Mr. Wolf,


If you are giving Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant the go ahead, please reconsider. I, as a ~~local~~ downwind local, don't want it! I feel it is time to give up ~~just~~ such humiliate^{ing} damaging ideas based only on the ability to make someone money. We know solar is becoming cost effective. Lets develop it. Lets take "Nuclear" out of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and start regulating sensible power sources! Lets be safe & make a world based on HUMAN profit, not monetary profit.

Thank you

Erik Hoffman




548 Erik Hoffman
548 Alan Rd.
Santa Barbara, Calif.
93109



Official Stamp
Indian Art USA 15c


Chairperson, Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mr. Wolf
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street North West
Washington D. C.
20555

DS03
5/11




BUCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. #3050-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolf;
I write to urge you to halt the construction of the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant. To license a nuclear power plant that is located only 2 1/2 miles from the volatile San Andreas fault is courting catastrophe and is sheer insanity. The amount of money invested in the plant is peanuts compared the number of lives that could be lost in an accident. The company does even have an evacuation plan. They don't care about the people. They just want to get the plant operative & to make money. Don't give into the dollar diplomacy of the vest interests. Think of the people! Stop Diablo!
Sincerely, Zephyr




2 Twombly
7096 Madonna Dr.
Goleta, Ca. 93117




Mr. Wolf
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 8th St. NW
Washington, D.C.
20555

DS03
SD/1




MR WOLF,

It is of great concern to me, this suicidal stupidity of those who wish to use this nuclear power plant in Diablo Canyon. It is no joke my friend. Inherent earthquake damage is inevitable with the destruction & devastation of life as the result. I favor it's conversion to solar energy. Fred Romand




Fred Romand
1615 Calle Camar
Santa Barbara, Ca, 93101



Domestic Rate

U.S.N.R.C.
1717 H. St. N.W.
Washington D.C. 20555

DS03
5/31



50-275-323

21 May 1981

Dear Mr. Wolf,

Please don't let them do it! As long as there is a chance of human error ... and who the hell are we to think we are errorless?

As long as but one life let alone thousands face irreversable damage (I mean "irreversable") we have no right to take the chance.

I was unable to attend the Diablo Canyon hearings today but I feel the need to voice my opinion.

Not ON A Fault Line!!

Let's put our efforts into Solar
Energy

Please?


P.S. Working
with our environment
makes more sense
then against it...

Thank you
Laurie D. Spence

D503
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Mary Overton


*JSO3
5/10*

Registered Voter 605 1/2 W. CANYON PERDIDO ST.
TELEPHONE#: SANTA BARBARA,
965-0628 CALIF. 93101



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



58-275-32

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Crave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


A handwritten signature in cursive that reads "Scott Donnell".

Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Steve Ninkenhall

Kenji Webb 4855A Sawyer Ave. Carpinteria
Ca. 93003

D503
5/10



Crystal Lewon 2267 Featherhill Rd., Santa Barbara 93108

Linda Parker

For the love of our
environment

No Diablo

LOVE LINDA 

Karen Windsor 411 Lemon Grove La. SB 93108

Anita Contade 1411 La Riata Lane Santa Barbara 93111

Albbie Smorvan, 669 ORCHARD AVE., S.B. 93108

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
DOCKETING & SERVICE SECTION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
OF THE COMMISSION

Document Statistics

Postmark Date

5-19-81


Copies Received

1


Add'l Copies Requested

60

Special Distribution



DS03
6/11



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman,
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:.

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Respectfully,
Robert Yaghi
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93103
D503
5/10

DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAC. 50.7.2.75, -323

1915 Emerson
Santa Brabara, CA 93101



Mr. Wolfe
United States Nuclear
Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, NW
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I would just like to let you know that I oppose any nuclear power plant that may be close to my home.

To have a nuclear power plant anywhere in the world, would be too close to my home.

Just put my letter with the others that are against nuclear power.

Thank you.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Peggy Biscay".

Peggy Biscay
a 10 year Santa Barbara resident




DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


*DSB
5/10*

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


6) I oppose Capitalism and its exploitative processes and I understand that the licensing of Diablo and the investment involved in Diablo is based on profit and self-interest. I oppose this blatant violation of rights 100%.

*Eric & Fitzgerald
603-...*

*PATTI BEADLEY
6520 Cervantes #129*



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50.72.75.323



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri-fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



DSO's
5/18

Debra L. Tygell
DEBRA L. Tygell



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, , Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DANNY CHEN D303
3 BARCLAY COCK
ST. LOUIS MO. 63124

100

100


100

100

100

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Joseph Frank Bystroff
D507
S 110

[The main body of the page contains extremely faint and illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the document. The text is scattered across the page and cannot be transcribed accurately.]

Mr. Wolf,

It is really sad for me that my
wish for the day is for an
earthquake. Now. Before you
start up.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
DOCKETING & SERVICE SECTION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
OF THE COMMISSION

Document Statistics

Postmark Date 5-20-81
Copies Received 1
Add'l Copies Reproduced 60
Special Distribution _____



DS03
50/1

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

Steven L. Glaser
Steven L. Glaser

Registered Voter

DSB 3
5/10

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This not only helps in tracking expenses but also ensures compliance with tax regulations.


In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. These include direct observation, interviews, and the use of specialized software tools. Each method has its own strengths and limitations, and the choice of which to use depends on the specific requirements of the study.

The third section provides a detailed overview of the results obtained from the data collection process. It highlights several key findings that have emerged from the analysis, including trends in consumer behavior and the impact of external factors on market performance.

Finally, the document concludes with a series of recommendations based on the findings. These suggestions are aimed at helping organizations optimize their operations, improve their marketing strategies, and better understand their target audience.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



0 000000 NUMBER
PHOD. & UTIL TABS 3.4. 2.25. 3.

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undesirable nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Elene L. Fluster

Registered Voter



DSO
5/10

Elene L. Fluster
925 Coyote Rd
S.B. Cal, 93108
(805) 969-5735

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

DS09
5/18
Mary A. Kant
Registered Voter
3408 Madonna
Santa Barbara, CA




3

May 20, 1981

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Chairman Wolf

Regarding: DIABLO CANYON PLANT
San Luis Obispo, California



Dear Sir:

I am writing to you to express my great concern about the proposed opening of the above referenced nuclear power plant. I believe that the risks are far too high to be justified by the rather meager benefits.

Therefore, I respectfully suggest that P.G. & E. be denied a permit for the operation of the plant.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,


Alison M. Moore
Alison M. Moore

c.c. Robert J. Lagomarsino, M.C.



DS03
S 1/0

50-225-322



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake-on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



Shawn Moore
SHAWN MOORE
#15 RUBIO RD
SANTA BARBARA
93103

William Palmisano
6650 TR140 RD
ISLA VISTA 93117

VICKI LO
LITTLE SWARD RD #A
GOLATA, CT. 93117

D503
5/10





May 20, 1981
Santa Barbara, Ca.

Mr. Wolfe
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H St. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20055

Dear Mr. Wolfe,


I am a resident of Santa Barbara, California, and am very concerned about the possibility that the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon might get licensed. In light of the potential dangers to citizens of this area, as well as to many others who might be exposed to toxic radioactive wastes, I strongly urge you to take action against the licensing and opening of this facility.

Thank you so much for your help.

Sincerely,



James E. Smallwood
6740 Sueno Rd.
Goleta Ca. 93117

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


Barbara

Registered Voter

Print at Speech
Sarah Barbara 93101

*I want healthy
Grandchildren!*

*DS03
5/10*



Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

May 20, 1981

Dear Mr. Wolf:



I am deeply concerned about the Diablo Nuclear Power Plant. As a citizen of the United States, and resident of Santa Barbara, California since 1957, I urge you to vote against the licensing of this installation due to the extreme dangers inherent in its location (only 3.5 miles from the Hosgri Fault). PLEASE DO WHATEVER YOU CAN to see that this plant does NOT get built on this site. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Pepa F. Devan

Pepa F. Devan
1568 Ramona Lane
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

*DSOB
5/1/81*

1944

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


Douglas R Ytter

Registered Voter

Douglas R Ytter

*DS03
5/10*





May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,
DSOS 5/10
 Carla d. Shapiro
 Carla d Shapiro
 Registered Voter
 833 East Azapamu
 Santa Barbara, California



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



Pat Sibley
1151 Beach St.
San Luis Obispo, CA.
93401



50-275-323




May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Brenda DeYoung
738 Coyote Road
Santa Barbara Ca 93108


Brenda DeYoung

DSB
5/10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf;

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible, even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


DS03
5/10

Betty A. Housel
6638 Sabado Tardes Rd.
Spleta, Ca. 93017



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-32


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,

Stephanie L. Beale


STEPHANIE L. BEALE
Registered Voter

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




50-225, -32

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

DS03
S/10

Respectfully,


A handwritten signature in cursive that reads "Tom Yamada".

Registered Voter
Thomas A. Yamada.

50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



Mania Charles
(Santa Barbara)

D503
S1/D

6736 Trigo #4
Isla Vista, Ca.

93117

May 18, 1981

File # 72-50-275-323



Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Paris Mr. Wolf

Please see review.

*Please respond to: John du Bois
5825 W CAMINO CIELO
SANTA BARBARA
CAL 93105*

*DS03
5/10*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13


14

15

16

17

18



5/15/81

Mr. Wolf,

I am a resident of the Santa Barbara, Calif. area. As you are well aware, this is just downwind of the Diablo Canyon nuclear power station.

I do not want this plant to start operation at any time! Diablo is a serious health hazard to myself, friends, and all the residents within this area.

Please, for the safety of the people of this beautiful area suspend all testing and plans for future operation of this plant.


Sincerely

Gary Gordon

DS01
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-32

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!


Respectfully,

[Handwritten signature]
514 W. Alameda St Apt 1
Registered Voter S. N. T. H. R. b. A. C. C. S. 101

DS07
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



BUCKET NUMBER
ENRG. & UTIL. FAS. 56, 275, 323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


Laura D. Edick

DS07
5/10

Registered Voter

2765 FOOTHILL RD.
SANTA BARBARA, CA
93105

682-4443



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

Naomi Ramer ^{DS07} _{5/10}

Registered Voter



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,

Karen Kolencich


DS07
5/10

Registered Voter



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAC. SA. 7-2.25, 7-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

John R. Baron
Registered Voter

*DS07
S/10*
JOHN R. BARON
415 EAST CARRILLO
APT. E.
SANTA BARBARA,
CA. 93101

665 Mayrum St.

Santa Barbara, Ca 93111

May 18, 1981
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,


Sherilyn Hartzell

Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the ~~low power~~ testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Ann Marie Wilson ^{DS03} 5/10

Registered Voter

1310 B Danial Court
Carpinteria - CA 93013

864 - 8505

May 18, 1981

DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAC. 50, 72, 75, -323

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,
Guy C. Parvez, Jr.
GUY C. PARVEZ, JR.
Registered Voter

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,

William E. Rauch ^{DSO3} _{S/10}

Registered Voter

William E. Rauch



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,

Diane McNitt
Diane McNitt

Registered Voter

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,
John Easton
 John Easton
 Registered Voter
 Cowan

DS03
S/0

May 21

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


E. J. ...

7503
5/10



y Commisi
20555

May 11, 1981



John Ahearne, Chairman
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

As you know, the hearings for the low power testing at Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant in San Luis Obispo, California will be under way May 19th. Low power testing will make the plant radioactive. I do not believe this plant deserves even a low power testing license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is 2.5 miles from the Hosgri fault and unsafe in the event of a moderate earthquake.
2. In the event of an earthquake, no evacuation plans have been made.
3. Deadly radioactive waste will be stored at the plant and to date we have no means of storing the waste for its entire half-life.
4. There are grave doubts that the plant's security system could stop a sabotage attempt--important since Diablo Plant is on the West Coast.

Californians want to keep the state beautiful and productive. There are several energy alternatives to nuclear power such as solar, tidal, geothermal, coal and oil resources. Please help us to keep this plant inactive so that safer energy resources will be used.

Sincerely,

Sharon R. Nelson


DS03
5/10

are that
uclear po
y 19. A
Diablo
owing re
located
line and
nitude
see t
when
ity.
nd his
iffer

ns ha
radi.
ner
t
that
ent
is


es
ee
No!

e
tr



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude-quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

D503
5/10


Linda Joy Markowitz
LINDA JOY MARKOWITZ

Steven J. Staub



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Jim MacMillan
Jim MacMillan
P.O. Box 173
San Luis Obispo
DSO
5/10



BUCKET NUMBER



PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50:575-323
5946 NEWCASTLE

ENCLINO, CA

91316

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power testing" conditions... Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible..
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

I have read this and agree with the statements fully.

DS03
5/10
Mark L. Fordham



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!




Respectfully,

Claudia Miller


Registered Voter

DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Laura K. ...
...
...
DS03
5/10



5-29



Dear Mr. Wolfe-


I am writing you in regards to the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. It is situated just 100 miles north of my residence and in the event of a major reactor accident, the radioactivity released could reach my door. But I would be opposed to it's opening even if it were 3,000 miles away, because nuclear power has too many problems. Diablo Canyon wants a low power license to see if it can operate as designed. And then it will get a full power license and we will be saddled with the danger of a possible accident and the certainty of radioactive wastes that we still don't really know how to safely store.

I urge you to do everything in your power to stop Diablo Canyon before it gets rolling. Because if it wins the approval of low-level operation, it will surely become a permanent threat to everyone's health and safety.

Sincerely,

Deane M. Phelan, III

9503
6/10



RECEIVED
JUL 09 1981
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION

PROJECT NUMBER
PROD & UTIL FAC. 50-575-323

Mr Wolf,


I'm writing hopefully like many others to say please don't allow Deobto Canyon an operating permit. There really are alternatives. It would only take one mishap and I bet you'd be sorry but it would be to late. I think there is enough poison already on our great earth than to start this nuclear poison. You'd probably put the waste in your back yard? I dont want it near me. I have no children but someday plan to and cannot help but think what is in their future. Weve got the world in such sad shape I really do wonder, what next?

Thanks.

Nancy Beiguan



DS03
5/10

MAY 27 1981
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,



Janine Fish

Registered Voter
JANINE PATRICE FISH

DS03
S/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

James A. McShain
Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


 JK

Registered Voter

DS03
5/10


May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



SEARCH NUMBER

FRONT COPY 10-275-323



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak, potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Mary Bilski

Registered Voter

Mary Bilski DS03
S 1/0







PHOTO UNIT 72050-275-323



DEAR MR. WOLF _____


IMAGINE IF YOU WERE A TOTALLY UNBIASED INDIVIDUAL & WERE PRESENTED THE TOTAL FACTS PRO & CON OF NUCLEAR POWER. SUPPOSE YOU WERE ASKED TO PASS A FINAL JUDGEMENT FOR OR AGAINST; IN ALL SINCERITY COULD YOU SUPPORT NUCLEAR POWER?

IN REGARDS TO DIABLO CANYON, I ASKED MYSELF THE SAME QUESTION & STUDIED BOTH SIDES. MY CONCLUSION IS THAT TO SUPPORT THE NUCLEAR FACILITY THERE IS INSANE.



SINCERELY,

DOYNE TOWER

DS03
5/10



ROCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323




Dear Sir,

In order to prevent

sporadic attempts to vandalize
the Nuclear Power Plant
in San Luis Obispo by unhappy
taxpayers, I suggest you shut
it down immediately.
You can't fool with earthquake
faults or with people who love
the land.

Helen Shifler

DS03
5/10



DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-575-323

Matthew Jackson
P.O. Box 768 Summerland
Calif.

April 11, 1981

Sirs



I am one among many others
That oppose Nuclear energy.
and I feel that America has
the power to Develop alternate
energys (Sun, Wind, ect.) and to set
an example for the rest of the
world, People will never live at
Peace with each other until they
can live in Harmony with the
Natural environment.

So I believe it is necessary
for all of us to sacrifice if not
for the natural world then my children/
Their children.

PLEASE STOP DIABLO
CANYAN AND ALL OTHER NUCLEAR
POWER!
Sincerely Matthew C Jackson

D503
5/10

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

100


100

100

100

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-36

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Teri MacDonald
Teri MacDonald
Registered Voter

D503
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. W. F. Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. W. F.,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Sincerely,
Richard E. Carnwell

Richard E. Carnwell
811 Calle Mendocino
San Clemente CA
92672
DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



30-225-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:


I'm sure you are aware that the ~~low power~~ testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!


Respectfully,


Maria Mendonca //
Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


Janie Aufferman
2618 Amasa School Ln.
SANTA BARBARA.
CA.

Mary Pero
1225 Mercedes Lane
Santa Barbara Calif.
93109

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

WAYNE P. WILCOX # 0503
707 DE LA VINA # 5110
SANTA BARBARA CA.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-36

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Cal. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


William J. ...
Registered Voter

DS03
5110



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf,*, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DSOS 5/10
June S. Shepard Ph. D.
1645 Freeman Lane
Los Osos, CA 93402

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Jacques A. DeBra


JACQUES A. DEBRA

Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275, -323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "John A. Luther".

JOHN A. LUTHER
Registered Voter


D503
5/10



58-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Marcia Matthews
1216 Buchow St.
San Luis Obispo, CA

93401
DSB
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


VONNE OLIVA
16525 El Colegio Rd #201
181a Vista, Ca *93117*

Vonne Oliva

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Annet Dragavon
6628-A Pagado
Isla Vista, CA 93117

D503
5/10


Maria Crowley
POB 334

Isla Beach, CA 93424

50 275, 323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear-waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Kate Inaga DS03
2520 Castillo St.
Santa Barbara

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAC. 50-5275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


Kenneth A. Muller
Kenneth A. Muller
Registered Voter

0503
5/10



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Nathan E. Kratesch".

NATHAN E. KRATESCH
Registered Voter

DS03
5/10

DEAR Mr. CHAIRMAN

5/20

BUCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. PROSD. 275-323

I WOULD VERY MUCH LIKE YOU TO KNOW THAT AS A SANTA BARBARA BORN RESIDENT, I AM SO VERY EXTREMELY OPPOSED TO DIABLO'S TWIN REACTORS OPERATION! WE ALL KNOW THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT IS WAY OVERDUE AND THE HOSGRI FAULT IS CAPABLE OF DISMANTLING THE NUCLEAR FACILITY UP THERE, IS THE RISK OF CANCER TO JUST ONE, NOT TO MENTION THOUSANDS OF PERSONS WORTH THE ENERGY & PROFITS TO P.G. & E.? I'M WILLING TO SACRIFICE! FROM ONE WHOSE AVERAGE GAS & ELECTRIC MONTHLY BILL IS \$1500 OR LESS.
Gary Simpson

SIMPSON
366 MIRA MONTE AVE.
SANTA BARBARA, CA, 93108



ATTN. MR. WOLF - CHAIRMAN
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
1717 H. ST., N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. J0555



D503
5/10

The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission: 5/21/81

POCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAS 50-279-323

Members of the Commission,


I have been unable to attend the low power license hearings concerning Diablo Canyon, which are presently being held in San Luis Obispo.

Therefore I am writing to register my opposition to the issuing of this or any other permit that would allow this or any other plant to go on line, without first dealing with every possible aspect of the public's health, including safe and proper long term storage of radioactive wastes.


I urge you to deny the license and not allow Diablo Canyon to operate until after these problems have been worked out.

Thank you for your time,

Everett Holt King



DS03
5/10



5-21-81

DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323

To whom it may Concern,


And I mean this
concerns everyone, Everyone on
the face of our Planet earth.

If Diablo canyon is allowed
to open, we must all face
Nuclear destruction.


To watch our lives and the
lives of our children be
limited and pushed aside
because of greed and
selfish motive.

I urge the United
States government to take a
second look, perhaps a
meaningful last look at
the opening of Diablo Canyon.

Please for the sake of our World!
No. Nukes!!! Layla Donmyer



DS03
5/10




May 20, 1981

Chairman Wolf :

I have been a resident of both Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties, and so feel compelled to write these few words to you. I find it sad and terribly threatening to this beautiful area of California that the opening and use of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant is close becoming a reality. You are a man of power and sit in a very influential position; and so I pray that you will act on what you must see with clarity in your mind's eye. The Diablo Plant is unsafe and the area is not properly prepared to handle the ominous threat of danger it imposes. No matter how one feels about nuclear power, this must be seen as the blinding truth. It is your responsibility to make sure that all do see this, and act accordingly.


Thank You,
Colleen Finken



DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555




Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Debra K. Must
Debra K. Must
6070 Triqo #1
Goleta, Cal 93117
DS03
5/10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Dusan Evans
1126 Orble Rd
Santa Barbara, Ca 93108

*D503
5/10*

I am a registered voter and want ~~Diablo~~ Diablo Canyon stopped.



Mary Murphy
1236 Calle Cristo
Santa Barbara, 93101

DOCKET NUMBER
PROC. & UTIL. FAC. 50-276-32

May 18, 1981


Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



DS03
S/D





May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Michael CMM.
Al Vines
Raso ROBERT.
93446. California
DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

*DSO 3
5/18*
Laura Ellen Willets


Laura Ellen Willets
870 BROAD ST #4
SLO CA 93401



DOCKET NUMBER
PRG 50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Tom Fern
Richard M. Smith
 907 Peach St.
 SLO. Calif.
 1186 Islay
 SLO.

FROM THOMAS G. GARCIA
211 CAMINO PESCADOR #16 LA CL. 93117
May 18, 1981

DOCKET NUMBER
PROP. & UTIL FAC. 50-275/323


Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving plants from being licensed!



PLEASE REPLY DS03
5/10

Thomas G. Garcia

May 20, 1981

DEAR MR. WOLFE,

I am taking this time to voice
my opposition to the Diablo Canyon
Nuclear Power Plant. I believe the
siting of this plant to be unsafe
& that it presents a real danger of a
'catastrophy' that would endanger
my friends' community.

Thank you for reading this &
considering my point of view.



Yours sincerely,

Diane M Zurnick
2783 Glendessary Jr.
Santa Barbara CA

93105

STOP NUCLEAR DEVELOPMENT.

DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C: 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

*Miss
P. Kim Green*

*DS03
5/10*



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

This is a voice against ANY nuclear power plants

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

*Renee Menge
101 Vine Street
Paso Robles, CA
93446*

*DS03
5/10*

100

.

.

.

.


.

.

.

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "John Bush". The signature is fluid and cursive.

6752 Del Playa #A
Isla Vista, Ca 93117
DS03
5/10

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ronald L. Allen".

DS013
5/10





May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No-evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DS03
5/10
Amiel King
118 E. Islay
S.B., GA 93101



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Kathleen Dalton
208 Oceano Avenue #4
Santa Barbara, California 93109

DS03
5/10

I am a registered voter and I will fight against this plant in every way I can. NO DIABLO!!!

