

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION: NBR: 8107090307 DOC. DATE: 81/05/27 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga 0500275
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga 05000323

AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 CANCELOSI, A.M. Affiliation: Unknown
 WELS, M.G. Affiliation: Unknown
 CLARK, L.K. Affiliation: Unknown
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 WOLFE, S. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

SUBJECT: Package of 42 ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 42
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig. by NRC)

NOTES: 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V) 05000275
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V) 05000323

ACTION:	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC	1	1	LIC BR #3 LA	1	1
	BUCKLEY, B.	1	1			
INTERNAL:	ASLAP	5	5	ASLB	1	1
	I&EI	2	2	NRC PDR	1	1
	OELD, BLANTON	1	1	OGC	1	1
	PUBLIC AFFAIRS	1	1	REG. FILE	1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1		NSIC	1	1
	NTIS	1				


JUL 13 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 20 ENCL 10

Handwritten signature or initials.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



PROC. & GEN. FAS 50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Anna Maria T. Camerlosi

Registered Voter

Anna Maria T. Camerlosi

DS03
5
1/0




8107090307 810527
PDR ADOCK 05000275
H PDR



10-10-10
10-10-10
10-10-10

May 18, 1981

EXHIBIT NUMBER
P.C.D. & UTIL FAC 275, 323



Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



Blacall
230 Alister Dr.
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

DS03
5
1/0



1


2

3

4

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



M. J. Wells

1160 Buxton
San Luis Obispo, CA.
93401

DS03
S
1/0



11-11-11


11-11-11

11-11-11

11-11-11

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




REG. & UTIL. FAC. 50.275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,
Lisa Kalkreuth Clark

Registered Voter

DS03
5
/10




5/19/81


Dear Mr. Wolf,

It seems to me that it doesn't take a team of specialists to come to the conclusion that building a nuclear power plant (even one that would operate at "low level") on an earthquake fault is dangerous if not ridiculous.

Signed,
Carol A. Smith




1615 Calle Canon
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93101



U.S. N. R. C.
1717 H ST. NW
Washington DC


20555

DS03
5
1/0




Mr Wolf

SIR, IS IT NECESSARY TO HAVE TO POINT
OUT THE INHERENT DANGERS OF BUILDING A
NUCLEAR REACTOR AT AN EARTHQUAKE?
REGARDLESS OF WHAT TITILLATED NONSENSE
YOU ISSUE ABOUT ITS LOW LEVEL OPERATION
THE BUILDING OF THAT PLANT, AND ITS SUPPORT
DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, IS A CULPABLE AND IRRESPONSIBLE
ACT. THE BLINDNESS - IGNORANCE OF THIS IS APPALLING
James T. Coffey



J.T.C
1615 Calle Cacer
S.B. Co 93101



U.S. N.R.C
1717 H ST. N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DS03
5
1/0




Page 10
10

10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




REG. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.



I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.

2. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
3. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
4. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Wolla Dugard


Registered Voter

DS03
5
110



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



PHOD. & UTIL. FAC. 58-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

DS03
5
110

Respectfully,

Registered Voter


TIM LOUGHLIN
~~622~~



4-11-68

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,


Registered Voter

DS03
s
1/0



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,



David M. Van Housen
Registered Voter

Doh

DS03
5
16



58-275-323



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DS03
5
1/0

Adamantly,
Thomas A. Broaders
Susan G. Broaders
443 HIGHLAND DR.
LOS OSOS, CA
- 92100





Dear Mr. Chairman,



Please stop the licensing
of the
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant.

There is too much question about its
safety, disposal of waste, evacuation
plan, location and acceptance by the community.
I may not convince you of anything,
but I can impress upon you my
opposition to this Nuclear Plant and
all others. They defy the logic
of unbiased free thinking people.

Sincerely,

Phil Wood

DS03
5
1/0



60-575-323



May 19, 1981

Mr. Wolff
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street Northwest
Washington, DC 20555



Dear Mr. Wolff:

I strongly protest the site of Diablo Canyon as a potential nuclear power plant. It is feasible to convert it to another energy-producing plant other than nuclear.

Unless you would seriously consider relocating there yourself, fault line notwithstanding, you would do well to set your sites elsewhere.

Take the blinkers off - it is important that individuals like yourself improve the peripheral vision of the Washington bureaucratic offices.

Sincerely,

Mary M. Schlesinger


DS03
S
1/0



PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 5-15-75-323

Torg's automotive
423 STATE STREET • SANTA BARBARA, CALIF. 93101

COMPLETE AUTO UPHOLSTERING • SEAT COVERS • TOPS




DEAR SIR,

Diablo Canyon's PROPOSED NUCLEAR facility is ABSOLUTE INSANITY. LISTEN TO THE VOICE OF PEOPLE'S HEARTS AND NO TO THE VOICE OF THE MONEY MAKERS. THAT EARTHQUAKE FAULT WILL BE A TIME-BOMB WITH A REACTOR SO CLOSE.....


Plutonium is FOREVER !!!

PLEASE RESPOND.

DSOJ
5
1/0




Sincerely,
James E. Torgeson
Torg's



File No. 50-275-323

Mr. Wolfe, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 "H" Street N.W.
Washington, D. 20515




Mr. Wolf-

This is to express my concern over the development and use of nuclear energy. I believe more efforts should be put into alternative and more safe forms of energy. I stand as a "No" on nuclear energy.



Neil Welling
120 N La Cumbre #31
Santa Barbara, Ca 93110

DS03
5
1/0



11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100


164 HICKS STREET
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201

Dear Sirs, 50-275-323

I would like to add my
signature to the petition against
licensing the Diablo Nuclear
power plant. I am one
hundred per cent behind the
protesters.

Thank you,

Elizabeth M. Gaffney



DS03
s
1/0



May 20, 1981

Ms Sarah Russ
1228 E. Montecito
Santa Barbara
Ca. 93106

Mr. Wolf
US Nuclear Regulatory Com.
1717 H St. N.W.
Washington DC 20555

50-275-323


Dear Mr. Wolf:

I am concerned about the nuclear plant at
Diablo Canyon, which is located near my home.
I am opposed to the opening of this plant for the
production of nuclear energy because of the
great danger of an accident, especially due to the
nearby earthquake fault.

I am certain that America can supply
its energy needs through other means than
nuclear power. The generation of solar and
wind power are virtually timeless, why don't
we tap them?

I feel that nuclear power is dangerous and
Diablo Canyon is especially hazardous. Please
help keep it from opening.

Thank you Sarah Russ




DS03
5
1/0



58-275-323
ALLEN A. JACOBS
1701 HIGUERA ST.
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA
93601

May 18, 1981


Mr. Wolf: Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.



I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.

3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power-testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Sincerely,
Allen A. Jacobs
DS03
1/0



12-1-77

To Mr Wolfe,

5/19/81


PERMIT DIVISION
FROM: [unclear] 258-225-323

I'm against the issuing
of a low power test permit
for Diablo Canyon Nuclear
Power Plant.



"We Don't Want to
Glow!"

Nancy Reid
4360 A. Mice
Santa Barbara, Ca 93110



DS03
s
1/0



11-11-77

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

I am opposed to the issuing of a low power test permit for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

I feel there are other ways of solving our energy problems without creating worse problems for future generations.


Please stop this action before it's too late!

Thank you.


Theresa A. Macy
11 Portola Ln
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93105



50-275-323



DS03
3
1/0




5-19-81

Dear Mr. Wolfe, 50:275-32

I am against the issuing of a low power test permit for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant for the reason of seismic safety. I live in Santa Barbara which is directly down wind from San Luis Obispo. I am concerned for my children.

Please stop this before its too late!

Jan Bonakue
7520 Garden St.
Ballard, CA 93463



DS03
5
1/0



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




File No. 275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. Evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Lawrence G. Morris


Registered Voter

DS03
5
1/0



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.

No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

- 5.. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Terri D. Flake
Terri D. Flake

Registered Voter

DS03
5
1/0






1-1-1

May 18, 1981


Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Martha A. Miller


Registered Voter

DS03
S
1/6



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




BOOKING NUMBER
PROC. 207L 225-32

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.



I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.

2. Evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
3. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
4. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Steve Monte


DS03
s
/10

Registered Voter



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




BOOKING UNIT -
FILED & SENT MAY 50 275 323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

There are doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Richard Mester

DS03
S
/10

Registered Voter

Michael J. Mester



1-1-1

5-17-81

80-275-323

Dear Mr. Chairman,



I'm writing this letter to voice my opposition to the licensing of Diablo Canyon. I'd also like to remind you once again that the Hosgri fault is 2.5 miles offshore from Diablo Canyon and poses a great threat to citizens in the area of which I'm one.

Thanks

Yank Frances

YANK FRANCES

221 W. PEDREGOSA ST.
SANTA BARBARA, CAL
93101



DS03
s
1/0



50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

I have read this and agree with the statements made. I am against the opening/operation of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant under any level.

Robin Rene Rol
7333-A-Lowell Way
Goleta, Ca. 93117.

DS03
s
1/6




100

100

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Registered Voter

DSO3
3
1/0






11

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




FILED & JUNE 25 1981 56-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Julius Thomas Alvarez

Registered Voter

Julius Thomas Alvarez

DS03
1/0



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




6000000000
INVESTIGATION 225-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

John M. Peters

Registered Voter


DSO3
5
1/0



10

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




50-275-32

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,


George F. Bartolomei
Registered Voter

DS03
1/0



11-11-11

11-11-11




May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.



I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.

3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Sincerely,
Bill Rytwinsky
BILL RYTWINSKY

6793 PASADO RD.


DS03
1/0



4-11-57

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



SECRET
PHS, & UTL 50.275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

Paul Pastrone

PAUL PASTRONE

Registered Voter

DS03
5
1/0



Handwritten marks or characters in the top right corner, possibly including a date or initials.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

EGG: [unclear]
[unclear] 50-215-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.



If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

Ramona M. Gutierrez
Ramona M. Gutierrez
Registered Voter

DS03
5
1/0



11

0

11

May 18, 1981


Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

ACCOUNT NUMBER
PROC. #: UTIL. FAS. 50,1275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.




No evacuation plans have been designed for the area. In the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo.




Respectfully,
 Daniel A. Warner
 [Signature]
 Registered Voter
 222 W. Arrellaga #4
 Santa Barbara Calif.

DS03
S
1/0



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555




50-275-323

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant recieve a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!

Respectfully,

Dianna K. Pasiko

Registered Voter


DS03
5
1/0



50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf; Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

George Sluski
[Signature]
1324 Carmel St
S.L.O.

DS03
5
1/0



6
2
2

50-275-323

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf; Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.



No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me...

Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.

5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Lynne Higgins

1706 Gordon St.

SLO: Ca 93401

541-1599


DS03
110

2
6
10

50-275-323 888 Ave. Huelmo Lane
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93108

May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.




If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DS03
5
1/0


As a resident of Santa Barbara I am concerned about the downwind effects on our county — as well as the local situation in San Luis Obispo.

Sincerely yours,
Karel Schull



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



50:275-323

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

DS03
5
116

Kathleen Kupper
RT 3 Box 161E
San Luis Obispo, Ca
544-0228



Handwritten marks or scribbles in the top right corner.

May 18, 1981

FILED & INDEXED 50:275, 323



Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Wolf:

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
- 4----- Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!



Respectfully,
[Signature]
[Signature]
[Signature]
DS03
5
1/0
9/16/81

