

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8106250075. DOC. DATE: 81/06/18 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # 05000275  
 FACIL: 50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga 05000323  
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga 05000323

AUTH. NAME: GRAY, L. J. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Affiliation Unknown  
 SHULMAN, D. Affiliation Unknown  
 TREMAINE, K. W. Affiliation Unknown  
 RECIP. NAME: WOLFE, S. RECIPIENT AFFILIATION: Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

SUBJECT: Package of 12 ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 112  
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V)  
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V)

05000275  
 05000323

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC BUCKLEY, B.	1 1	LIC BR #3 LA	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP I&E OELD, BLANTON PUBLIC AFFAIRS	5 2 1 1	ASLB NRC PDR OGG REG FILE	1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	NSIC	1

JUN 28 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR

19 ENCL 0

SF

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific procedures for recording transactions. It details the steps involved in the accounting process, from the initial entry of data into the system to the final review and approval of the records.

3. The third part of the document addresses the issue of data security. It discusses the various risks associated with the loss or theft of financial data and provides recommendations for implementing effective security measures to protect the information.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of regular audits. It explains how audits can help to identify errors and discrepancies in the records and ensure that the system is operating in accordance with established standards and regulations.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of training and education for staff involved in the financial system. It emphasizes that ongoing training is necessary to ensure that staff are up-to-date on the latest developments in accounting and financial management.


6. The sixth part of the document discusses the importance of communication and collaboration between different departments and individuals involved in the financial system. It stresses that effective communication is essential for the successful implementation of any financial system.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the importance of documentation and record-keeping. It explains that all transactions and decisions must be properly documented and stored in a secure and accessible manner for future reference.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the importance of transparency and accountability in the financial system. It emphasizes that all transactions must be clearly and accurately recorded and that the system must be open to scrutiny and review.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the importance of regular reporting and analysis of financial data. It explains that regular reporting is necessary to provide management with the information they need to make informed decisions about the organization's financial health.


10. The tenth part of the document discusses the importance of staying up-to-date on the latest developments in accounting and financial management. It emphasizes that the financial system must be able to adapt to changing circumstances and that staff must be able to keep up with the latest trends and technologies.



ADULTS: 100%  
Licensing Board Panel

'81 JUL 15 AM 1:43

10



May 20, 1981  
7661 Kennedy Drive  
Santa Barbara, Ca.

Mr. Wolfe  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H St. N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20055

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

I am a resident of Santa Barbara, California, and am very concerned about the possibility that the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon might get licensed. In light of the potential dangers to citizens of this area, as well as to many others who might be exposed to toxic radioactive wastes, I strongly urge you to take action against the licensing and opening of this facility.

Thank you so much for your help.

Sincerely,

Deane Jay Gray



DSO3  
Si/0

810625 0075

14



50-275,32



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission '81 JUN 15 AM 1:43  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555

ATOMIC SAFETY AND  
LICENSING BOARD PANEL

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Debbie Shulman  
#4 Diablo-Cal Poly.  
San Luis Obispo, Calif.  
93410

D503  
5/10




RECEIVED

June 11, 1981  
1050 Coyote Road  
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

'81 JUN 15 AM 1:46

ATOMIC SAFETY AND  
LICENSING BOARD PANEL  
Mr. Wolf, Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H St. NW  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

I am writing this letter relative to the Diablo Canyon hearings, which as you will recognize, are vitally important to those of us living in such close proximity.

We do not propose to abandon our opposition to Diablo Canyon for numerous reasons. As you know the Native Americans have since ancient times looked upon this as sacred land having been given to them by their diety. The adjacent earthquake faults present an everpresent danger. The possibility of unthinkable dangers to all living beings in the area from radiation, birth defects, death ... you name it, they are all there plus many others ... All these reasons might well make you, Mr. Wolf, and others involved in the Nuclear Energy Program, remember that it is your children, your grandchildren, yourselves who are equally involved.

There are millions of us in this country who feel that until nuclear energy has been made foolproof against human error, against earth movement, against the hideous and proliferating dangers of waste disposal ... until the possibility of these and more unimagined dangers have been satisfactorily solved, we will continue protesting with what may well be our last breath.

Very truly yours,

*Katharine W. Tremaine*

Katharine W. Tremaine

DS03  
S110




10-10-10



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275,323

Dear Mr. Wolf:


'81 JUN 15 AM 1:41

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

*Dennis Montovani*




DS03  
51/0



May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275,323


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.

Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

*Alan...*

Registered Voter


DS03  
S1/0



ATOMIC SAFETY  
LICENSING BOARD FILE

'81 JUN 15 AM 1:42

RECEIVED



May 20, 1981  
5244 S. Cedar Rd.  
Santa Barbara, Ca.  
93101

Mr. Wolfe  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H St. N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20055

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

I am a resident of Santa Barbara, California, and am very concerned about the possibility that the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon might get licensed. In light of the potential dangers to citizens of this area, as well as to many others who might be exposed to toxic radioactive wastes, I strongly urge you to take action against the licensing and opening of this facility, until such time waste disposal has been significantly improved.  
Thank you so much for your help.



Sincerely,

Coral Purich, M.S.

DSO<sup>3</sup>  
S 1/0



50-275-323



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


6. The rules keep being changed to allow for mistakes.  
But rule #1 is our safety.

Janice Hohman  
670-1 B Sabado Tarde  
Isla Vista, Cal. 93117

DS03  
5/10








50-275,323

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:



1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

*Support the citizens of San Luis Obispo  
opposing Diablo*

DS03  
5/10

100



50-275,323

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

*Handwritten signature: Randy Smith*



738 GRAND #14.

S.L.D. 93401 2503  
5110



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. WOLF,



As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

D503  
S10  
Kari J. Smith  
6671 Sabado Torde  
Ant. B  
Isla Vista, Ca. 93117





MR. WOLF

PLEASE ADD MY NAME TO THE  
 PROTEST OF THE NUCLEAR PLANT  
 AT DIABLO CANYON. I ASK YOU  
 TO PUT MY HEALTH AND THE  
 HEALTH OF MY FAMILY ABOVE  
 THOSE WHO CHOOSE TO DESIGRATE  
 OUR ENVIRONMENT OUT OF GREED  
 AND IGNORANCE.

GRACIAS,

*[Handwritten signature]*  
 SANTA BARBARA, CA

DS03  
 5/10

2000





50-275,023

RAMIN YOUABIAN  
117 N. PALM DRIVE  
BEVERLY HI LS, CA  
6/14/81

Hendrie  
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICEVSING  
USNRS WASH D.C.. 20555

Dear Seir:


We know that Diablo Canyon power plant will be harmful to the ocean ecoseystem. there is growing concerns of law level radiation, The health effects on people.

By changing Diablo Canyon to a natural gas plant it will be safer to people. I think you should change the nuclear plant to gas.

Thank you,

*Ramin Youabian*

RAMIN YOUABIAN



DS03  
50/1

