

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8106250075. DOC. DATE: 8/06/18 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga 05000275
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga 05000323

AUTH. NAME	AUTHOR AFFILIATION
GRAY, L. J.	Affiliation Unknown
SHULMAN, D.	Affiliation Unknown
TREMAINE, K. W.	Affiliation Unknown
RECIP. NAME	RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
WOLFE, S.	Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

SUBJECT: Package of 12 ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 112
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V) 05000275
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V) 05000323

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC BUCKLEY, B.	1 1	LIC BR #3 LA	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP I&E OELD, BLANTON PUBLIC AFFAIRS	5 2 1 1	ASLB NRC PDR OGC REG FILE	1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	NSIC	1

JUN 28 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 19 ENCL 0

SF

1948



1. The first part of the report deals with the general situation in the country. It is noted that the economy is still in a state of depression and that the government is facing a serious financial crisis. The report also mentions that the population is suffering from widespread poverty and unemployment.

2. The second part of the report discusses the political situation. It is noted that the government is still in a state of instability and that there is a lack of confidence in the leadership. The report also mentions that there are rumors of a possible change of government.

3. The third part of the report deals with the social situation. It is noted that there is a high level of social inequality and that the poor are suffering from discrimination. The report also mentions that there is a lack of social services and that the health care system is in a state of collapse.

4. The fourth part of the report discusses the economic situation. It is noted that the economy is still in a state of depression and that there is a lack of investment. The report also mentions that there is a high level of inflation and that the government is facing a serious financial crisis.

5. The fifth part of the report deals with the international situation. It is noted that the country is still in a state of isolation and that there is a lack of international support. The report also mentions that there are rumors of a possible change of government.



ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL

'81 JUN 15 AM 1:43

RECEIVED

May 20, 1981
7661 K...
Santa Barbara, Ca.

Mr. Wolfe
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H St. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20055

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

I am a resident of Santa Barbara, California, and am very concerned about the possibility that the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon might get licensed. In light of the potential dangers to citizens of this area, as well as to many others who might be exposed to toxic radioactive wastes, I strongly urge you to take action against the licensing and opening of this facility.

Thank you so much for your help.


Sincerely,

Barbara Jay Gray

DS03
S/0

810625 0075

H



50-275,32



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission '81 JUN 15 AM 11:43
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low-power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Debbie Shulman
#4 Diablo-Cal Poly.
San Luis Obispo, Calif.
93410

D503
5/10



2000


8

June 11, 1981
1050 Coyote Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

RECEIVED

'81 JUN 15 AM 11:46

ATOMIC SAFETY AND
LICENSING BOARD PANEL
Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H St. NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:

I am writing this letter relative to the Diablo Canyon hearings, which as you will recognize, are vitally important to those of us living in such close proximity.

We do not propose to abandon our opposition to Diablo Canyon for numerous reasons. As you know the Native Americans have since ancient times looked upon this as sacred land having been given to them by their diety. The adjacent earthquake faults present an everpresent danger. The possibility of unthinkable dangers to all living beings in the area from radiation, birth defects, death ... you name it, they are all there plus many others ... All these reasons might well make you, Mr. Wolf, and others involved in the Nuclear Energy Program, remember that it is your children, your grandchildren, yourselves who are equally involved.

There are millions of us in this country who feel that until nuclear energy has been made foolproof against human error, against earth movement, against the hideous and proliferating dangers of waste disposal ... until the possibility of these and more unimagined dangers have been satisfactorily solved, we will continue protesting with what may well be our last breath.

Very truly yours,

Katharine W. Tremaine


Katharine W. Tremaine

D503
S 1/0



May 18, 1981

Mr. Wolf, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Wolf:


'81 JUN 15 A11:41

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Dennis Montovani



DS03
5/10



Faint, illegible markings or text in the top right corner.

May 18, 1981

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555



50-275,323


Dear Mr. Wolfe:

I'm sure you are aware that the low power testing hearings for the Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, Ca. are scheduled for May 19. As a very concerned citizen, I am intensely opposed to Diablo obtaining a "low power testing" license for the following reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live near the plant, this factor greatly concerns me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed.


Thousands are relying on you to weigh the tremendous risks that are at stake and help to stop this nuclear folly at Diablo!



Respectfully,

Registered Voter


DS03
S/0



ATOMIC SAFETY
LICENSING BOARD PANEL

'81 JUN 15 AM 1:42

RECEIVED



May 20, 1981
5244 S Cedar Rd.
Santa Barbara, Ca.
93101

Mr. Wolfe
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H St. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20055

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

I am a resident of Santa Barbara, California, and am very concerned about the possibility that the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon might get licensed. In light of the potential dangers to citizens of this area, as well as to many others who might be exposed to toxic radioactive wastes, I strongly urge you to take action against the licensing and opening of this facility, *until such time waste disposal has been significantly improved.*
Thank you so much for your help.

Sincerely,



Coral Purich, M.S.

DSO'S
5/10



Small, faint, illegible marks or characters in the top right corner.

50-275-323



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!


6. The rules keep being changed to allow for mistakes.
But rule #1 is our safety.

Janice Hohman
670-1 B Sabado Tarde
Isla Vista, Cal. 93117

DS03
5/10




2-10-74



SECRET NUMBER 50-275,323

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555



ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.


If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Support the citizens of this state

DS03
S.10



10-11-74



50-275,323

May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,

As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:


1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly effects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

Handwritten:
Tandy Smith
738 GRAND #14
SLD. 93401 D503
51/0



23



May 18, 1981

Mr. WOLF Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. WOLF,


As you know, "low power testing" hearings for Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant in San Luis Obispo, California are scheduled for May 19th. As a concerned citizen and resident in the area, I am vehemently opposed to Diablo obtaining a license for the following substantial reasons:

1. The plant is located 2.5 miles from the offshore Hosgri fault line and originally built to withstand only a 6.5 magnitude quake on the Richter scale.
2. I don't want to see the plant receive a low power testing license when it may never qualify for full operating capacity. This would needlessly contaminate the plant and hinder its capability for being converted to a different type of energy facility in the future.
3. No evacuation plans have been designed for the area in the event of a radiation leak potentially possible even under "low power testing" conditions. Since I live so close to the plant, this factor directly affects me.
4. Grave doubts exist that the plant's security system is adequate to prevent a sabotage attempt. This is crucial since Diablo is on the West Coast and thus, easily accessible.
5. We have many resources available here in California and I would like to see development of these safe energy alternatives such as solar and geothermal power before resorting to nuclear power.

If licensed, Diablo will create the nuclear waste problem for which I do not want future generations to be responsible. We must take charge and act responsibly to prevent undeserving nuclear plants from being licensed!

D503
S10

Kari J. Smith
6671 Sabado Terde
Ant. B
Isla Vista, Ca. 93117



MR. WOLF

PLEASE ADD MY NAME TO THE PROTEST OF THE NUCLEAR PLANT AT DIABLO CANYON. I ASK YOU TO PUT MY HEALTH AND THE HEALTH OF MY FAMILY ABOVE THOSE WHO CHOOSE TO DESIGRATE OUR ENVIRONMENT OUT OF GREED AND IGNORANCE.

GRACIAS,

[Handwritten signature]

SANTA BARBARA, CA

DS03
5/10



Handwritten marks or scribbles in the top right corner.

50-275,023

RAMIN YOUABIAN
117 N. PALM DRIVE
BEVERLY HI LS, CA
6/14/81

Hendrie
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING
USNRS WASH D.C., 20555

Dear Seir:


We know that Diablo Canyon power plant will be harmful to the ocean ecosystem. there is growing concerns of low level radiation, The health effects on people.

By changing Diablo Canyon to a natural gas plant it will be safer to people. I think you should change the nuclear plant to gas.

Thank you,

Ramin Youabian

RAMIN YOUABIAN



DS03
50/1

