

1 TESTIMONY OF
2 JOHN J. CAREY
3 THOMAS E. AUBLE
4 ON BEHALF OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
5 MAY 19, 1981
6 CONTENTION 24
7
8

9 The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)
10 conducts major research programs relating to the safety of
11 nuclear power plants and other related energy research. In
12 August, 1979, the TMI Ad Hoc Nuclear Oversight Committee
13 requested that EPRI develop a generic valve testing program
14 responsive to the recommendation contained in NUREG 0578,
15 Section 2.1.2, "Performance Testing for BWR and PWR Relief
16 and Safety Valves." This program is administered by EPRI,
17 subject to the normal utility advisory review process. In
18 addition, the EPRI-RAC-NSAC Subcommittee provides overall
19 utility industry review of the program. A special
20 subcommittee of the Safety and Analysis Department Task
21 Force, headed by Mr. David Hoffman (Consumers Power Company)
22 was established to provide direct utility review and
23 guidance.

24 A program plan was developed by EPRI staff in late
25 1979, reviewed by the PWR utilities and submitted to the NRC
26 on December 17, 1979 by Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr., then

1 Vice President of Consolidated Edison Company of New York,
2 Inc., and Chairman of the EPRI Safety and Analysis Task
3 Force. As the total program scope was developed and refined
4 during the first few months of 1980, the program plan was
5 revised and modified slightly. The revised program plan,
6 entitled "Program Plan for the Performance Testing of PWR
7 Safety and Relief Valves," July 1, 1980, was submitted by
8 the PWR utilities to the NRC on July 8, 1980.

9 The total program cost is approximately
10 \$18,000,000, and is supported by contributions from 41
11 electric utilities with pressurized water reactors. Pacific
12 Gas and Electric Company is a participant in this program.
13 The major program cost is associated with the development of
14 relief and safety valve test facilities with the capability
15 to perform all of the tests required by NUREG 0737, Item
16 II.D.1A. Such test facilities did not exist prior to the
17 EPRI program.

18 The overall objective of the EPRI PWR Safety and
19 Relief Valve Test Program is to obtain full scale data on
20 the operational performance of pressurized water reactor
21 primary system relief and safety valves under expected
22 operating conditions for design basis transients and
23 accidents by July 1, 1981. It is expected that PWR
24 utilities will utilize this data to support plant specific
25 submittals in response to safety and relief valve test

26 ///

1 requirements, first identified in NUREG 0578 and subse-
2 quently clarified in NUREG 0737, Item II.D.1A.

3 The EPRI PWR Safety and Relief Valve Test Program
4 will have four principal program outputs:

- 5 1. Relief and safety valve test reports.
- 6 2. A report documenting the basis for selection of
7 the relief and safety valves to be tested.
- 8 3. A report documenting the basis for the set of
9 fluid test conditions.
- 10 4. A report documenting a code for computing hydro-
dynamic loads for relief and safety valve
discharge piping under steam and water discharge.

11 None of the above reports is presently available.
12 These reports will be submitted to the NRC in preliminary
13 form starting July 1, 1981.

14 Ten (10) power operated relief valves (PORVs) and
15 nine (9) safety valves have been selected for testing. The
16 valves selected for testing are identified in Table 1. The
17 PWR Valve Test Program was developed so that the valves
18 selected for testing are representative of relief and safety
19 valve designs in use or planned for use in PWR's.

20 A Masoneilan valve, Model No. 20000 series will be
21 tested. In order to meet the required test completion date
22 of July 1, 1981, this valve was obtained from TVA's Sequoyah
23 Nuclear Power Plant. The Masoneilan Model No. 20000 series
24 valve is believed to be fully representative of the valves
25 utilized as PORVs in the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

26 ///

1 Three sizes of Crosby safety valve Model HB-BP-86
2 with and without loop seal internal materials will be
3 tested. In particular, the 6M6 size Crosby safety valve
4 Model HB-BP-86 with loop seal internal materials will be
5 tested. This Crosby safety valve is believed to be fully
6 representative of the safety valves utilized in the Diablo
7 Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

8 The conditions under which the relief and safety
9 valves are being tested envelope the expected operating and
10 accident conditions as prescribed in the final safety
11 analysis reports (FSARs) for pressurized water reactors. In
12 addition, the conditions resulting from cold pressurization
13 transients and transients resulting from the extended
14 operation of the high pressure liquid injection system will
15 be enveloped.

16 The test conditions for safety and relief valves
17 include steam, subcooled water, water seal, and steam to
18 water transition discharge conditions.

19 In order to complete all of the required tests by
20 July 1, 1981, three testing facilities are being utilized.
21 These test facilities are identified below:

- 22 1. Marshall Relief Valve Test Facility (Marshall)
23 Marshall Steam Station (Duke Power Company)
24 Terrell, North Carolina
- 25 2. EPRI/Wyle Relief Valve Test Facility (Wyle)
26 Wyle Laboratories
 Norco, California

///

1 3. EPRI/CE PWR Safety/Relief Valve Test Facility (CE)
2 Combustion Engineering
3 Windsor, Connecticut

4 The Marshall Facility is being used for relief
5 valve tests under steam conditions. The Wyle Facility is
6 being utilized primarily for relief valve tests under water
7 and water seal conditions. The Combustion Engineering
8 Facility will be used for safety valve tests under steam,
9 subcooled water, water seal, and steam to water transition
10 tests.

11 Performance screening criteria have been developed
12 for all relief and safety valve tests conducted by EPRI.
13 The performance screening criteria for relief valves are
14 identified below:

- 15 1. Valve opens and remains fully open on demand.
- 16 2. Valve closes (closure is defined as the valve disk
17 physically returning to its full closed position
18 and does not imply zero seat leakage) and remains
19 fully closed on demand.
- 20 3. Valve sustains no external or internal damage
21 having the potential for adversely affecting its
22 normal operations as defined in 1 and 2 above.

23 Similarly, proposed performance screening criteria
24 for safety valves have been developed and are listed below:

- 25 1. Criteria for performance on steam conditions
- 26 a. The valve opens when the inlet pressure is
 within $\pm 3\%$ of the valve design set pressure.
- b. The minimum valve open position when the
 inlet pressure is 6% above valve design set
 pressure shall be the valve rated lift and/or
 flow position.

1 c. The valve fully closes (closure is defined as
2 the valve disk physically returning to its
3 full closed position and does not imply zero
4 seat leakage) when the inlet pressure is less
5 than the pressure at which the valve opened
6 and greater than 2250 psig.

7 2. Criteria for performance on water/transition
8 conditions

9 a. The valve opens when the inlet pressure is
10 within $\pm 3\%$ of the valve design set pressure.

11 b. The valve fully closes (closure is defined as
12 the valve disk physically returning to its
13 full closed position and does not imply zero
14 seat leakage) when the inlet pressure is less
15 than the pressure at which the valve opened
16 and greater than 2250 psig.

17 Modifications to the relief valve test loop at the
18 Marshall Steam Station were completed in July, 1980.
19 Testing was initiated in August, 1980 and was completed in
20 January, 1981. In particular, the Masoneilan PORV performed
21 successfully in the Marshall tests and passed all of the
22 performance screening criteria.

23 Preliminary test data were transmitted by EPRI to
24 PWR utilities with Masoneilan relief valves, including
25 Pacific Gas and Electric Co., on January 9, 1981.

26 Modifications of the Wyle Test Facility were
completed the week of April 19, 1981, and testing was
commenced. Testing is scheduled to be completed July 1,
1981. In particular, the Masoneilan relief valve is
scheduled for testing at the end of May, 1981.

Test Facility construction at Combustion Engineer-
ing is complete and shakedown tests have begun. The current

1 schedule for the CE safety valve tests calls for completion
2 of all tests by July 1, 1981. In particular, the Crosby
3 safety valve Model HP-BP-86, size 6M6 with loop seal inter-
4 nal materials, is scheduled for testing the week of June 8,
5 1981.

6 A formal program for testing PWR pressurizer PORV
7 block valves (hereinafter referred to as block valves),
8 responsive to NUREG 0737, Item II.D.1B, is not part of the
9 EPRI test program scope. However, in addition to the ten
10 (10) relief valves tested at Marshall, seven (7) block
11 valves were also tested. The block valves tested are
12 identified in Table 2.

13 Two block valves manufactured by Velan were tested
14 at Marshall and performed satisfactorily. Of these two, the
15 Velan valve Model B10-3054B-13MS, drawing no. 88425/B, which
16 is believed to be fully representative of the block valve
17 model utilized in the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, was
18 cycled in excess of 21 times, and satisfactorily fully
19 opened and fully closed each cycle. A preliminary draft of
20 the test report for this block valve will be furnished to
21 Pacific Gas and Electric Company in May, 1981.

22 The results of the seven block valve tests were
23 reviewed with the NRC Staff at a meeting on March 20, 1981
24 in Bethesda, Maryland. In particular, in preliminary tests
25 of the block valves, three block valve models did not fully
26 close on demand. All three block valves that did not close

1 initially were subsequently retested with an increased
2 closing thrust and fully closed on demand. The valve seat
3 was also reworked on one block valve prior to retesting.
4 These three block valve models are not utilized as block
5 valves in the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TABLE 1

PORVs AND SAFETY VALVES SELECTED FOR TESTPORVs

<u>MANUFACTURER</u>	<u>MODEL #</u>	<u>SIZE</u>
Crosby	HPV-SN	2½" x 4"
Dresser	31533VX-30	2½" x 4"
Target Rock	80X-006	2½" x 4"
Garrett (AiResearch)	3 x 6 Straight Through	3" x 6"
Control Components	3" Drag	3"
Copes Vulcan 17-4PH Plug and Cage	Globe, D-100-160	3"
Copes Vulcan 316 W/Stellite Plug and 17-4 PH Cage	Globe, D-100-160	3"
Fisher Controls	SS-103-SS-95	3"
Masoneilan	20000 Series	2"
Muesco Controls (BS&B)	70-18-9DRTX	2"

SAFETY VALVES

<u>MANUFACTURER</u>	<u>MODEL #</u>	<u>SIZE (SEE NOTE)</u>
Crosby	HP-BP-86	3K6
Crosby	HP-BP-86	3K6 (LS)
Crosby	HP-BP-86	6M6
Crosby	HP-BP-86	6M6 (LS)
Crosby	HP-BP-86	6N8
Crosby	HP-BP-86	6N8 (LS)
Dresser	31739A	2½" x 6"
Dresser	31709NA	6" x 8"
Target Rock	69C	6" x 6"

NOTE: The size of the Crosby safety valve covers the valve inlet size (3", 6"), orifice size (K, M, N), outlet size (6", 8") and whether or not the valve is designed for a loop seal application and test (LS for loop seal, blank for non-loop seal).

The "LS" designation is an EPRI identifier and not a Crosby and/or NSSS vendor identifier.

The difference between loop seal and non-loop seal Crosby valves is internal materials.

TABLE 2

BLOCK VALVES TESTED AT MARSHALL

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Anchor Darling
6	Borg Warner
7	Rockwell
8	Velan, Model B10-3054B-13MS, drawing no. 88425/B
9	Velan, Model B10-3054B-13MS, drawing no. GBH-0300-13MS-M0
10	Westinghouse, Model 3GM88
11	Westinghouse, Model 3GM99
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF

2 JOHN J. CAREY

3
4
5 My name is John Joseph Carey.

6 My educational background is as follows:

7 Illinois Institute of Technology - BS in Mechanical
8 Engineering, 1962

9 Illinois Institute of Technology - MS in Mechanics, 1966

10 Illinois Institute of Technology - PhD in Mechanics, 1968

11 From June, 1957, to January, 1962, I was an
12 Undergraduate Cooperative Education Student at the Illinois
13 Institute of Technology and its Research Institute.

14 From January to September, 1962, I was an
15 Assistant Research Engineer at the Illinois Institute of
16 Technology Research Institute. My duties included the
17 application of experimental stress techniques to the study
18 of underground structures.

19 From May, 1965 to January, 1966, I was a Research
20 Engineer for the American Dental Association, responsible
21 for the development and application of Standards for Dental
22 Materials and Devices.

23 From April, 1968 to March, 1976, I as an Associate
24 Mechanical Engineer in the Reactor Analysis and Safety Group
25 at Argonne National Laboratory. I was responsible for Treat
26 Converter fuel element behavior studies, "In-Pile" and

1 "Out-of-Pile" experiments to determine the adequacy of
2 candidate Treat Converter fuel element materials with
3 respect to cyclic-thermal shock and fracture resistance;
4 supervision of structural modelling of Treat Converter core
5 (utilizing the MARC-CDC non-linear finite element analysis
6 program); development of structural analysis methods for
7 elastic and inelastic behavior of LMFBR components;
8 development and application of bounding methods for dynamic
9 elastic and creep deformation of structural members, and I
10 directed the ANL assistance effort for analysis of FFTF
11 components (utilizing the ANSYS finite element program).

12 From March, 1976 to April, 1978, I was a Project
13 Manager in the Safety and Analysis Department of the
14 Electric Power Research Institute, responsible for research
15 projects in the area of structural integrity for nuclear
16 power plant systems and components with specific
17 responsibility for the development of advanced thermal
18 hydraulic and structural analysis methods for BWR
19 containment response under postulated accident conditions.

20 From April, 1978 to December, 1979, I was a
21 Technical Specialist/Program Engineer with the Safety and
22 Analysis Department of the Electric Power Research Institute
23 with responsibility for the development and implementation
24 of research programs in the area of structural integrity for
25 nuclear power plant systems and components.

26 ///

1 In December, 1979, I was appointed Program Manager
2 with the Safety and Analysis Department of the Electric
3 Power Research Institute with responsibility for the
4 development, implementation, and overall management of the
5 EPRI PWR Safety and Relief Valve Test Program. The
6 objective of this program is to provide full scale data on
7 the operational performance of Pressurized Water Reactor
8 primary system relief and safety valves under expected
9 operating conditions for design basis transients and
10 accidents. The data from this program is expected to be
11 utilized by PWR utilities in response to regulatory
12 requirements for safety/relief valve testing.

13 A partial list of my publications follows:

14 "Fluid-Solid Interaction of Reactor Core Components, a
15 Preliminary Analysis." D. Krajcinovic and J. J. Carey,
16 submitted for publication, Nucl. Eng. Des., April 1974.

17
18 "Dynamic Response of an Elastic-Linear Strain Hardening Thin
19 Ring Under Impulsive Load," J. J. Carey, LMFBR Steam
20 Generator Systems Development Program, March 1974.

21
22 "Thermal-Mechanical Testing of Treat Converter Fuel
23 Elements," J. J. Carey and F. A. Rough, RAS/ANL, March 1974.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 "Evaluation of a Class of Methods for Bounding Steady Creep
2 Deformation," J. J. Carey and R. A. Valentin, ANL 8016,
3 December 1973.

4
5 "Fast Flux Test Facility Core Basket Stress Analysis,"
6 (Report to Westinghouse Advanced Reactors Division), J. J.
7 Carey, ANL/ETD/AM0534, December 1974.

8
9 "On Thermal Stresses in Clad, Pellet Stacks and the Problem
10 of Interface Stress States," J. J. Carey and R. A. Valentin,
11 First International Conference on Structural Mechanics in
12 Reactor Technology, Berlin, September 1971.

13
14 "Thermal Stesses and Displacements in Finite,
15 Heat-Generating Circular Cylinders," R. A. Valentin and
16 J. J. Carey, Nucl. Eng. Des., 12 (1970).

17
18 "Exact Analysis of Local, Non-Plane, Elastic Stresses in
19 Fuel Element Geometries," R. A. Valentin and J. J. Carey,
20 ANS, June 1970.

1 Since July, 1981, I have been on loan to the
2 Electric Power Research Institute Safety and Analysis
3 Department. I am a Project Manager in the EPRI/PWR Safety
4 and Relief Valve Test Program. My specific responsibilities
5 include management of test valve selection, documentation of
6 the basis for selection, valve procurement, valve
7 performance evaluation, and resolution of valve test
8 performance problems. I also manage field support of test
9 facilities and valve test data dissemination.

10 I am a member of the American Society of
11 Mechanical Engineers.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

