I. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Del Valle Group P.O. Box 2319 Toa Baja, Puerto Rico 00951-2319 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03038392 LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the acti Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regu procedures and representative records, interv 1. Based on the inspection findings, 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically desc identified, non-repetitive, and con exercise discretion, were satisfie	016001 4. LICENSE NUMBER(52-31423-01 ivities conducted under your lations and the conditions o iews with personnel, and ob no violations were identified ribed to you by the inspector rective action was or is beind.	Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016 license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear f your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of servations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: d. r as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self- ing taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to					
Del Valle Group P.O. Box 2319 Toa Baja, Puerto Rico 00951-2319 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03038392 LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the acti Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regu procedures and representative records, interv 1. Based on the inspection findings, 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically desc identified, non-repetitive, and con exercise discretion, were satisfier	016001 4. LICENSE NUMBER(52-31423-01 ivities conducted under your lations and the conditions o lews with personnel, and ob no violations were identified ribed to you by the inspecto rective action was or is beind,	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region I, 2100 Renaissance Bivd, Suite 100 King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406-2713 (S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016 Ricense as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear f your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of servations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: d. r as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self- ing taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03038392 LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the acti Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regu- procedures and representative records, interv 1. Based on the inspection findings, 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically desc identified, non-repetitive, and con- exercise discretion, were satisfie	4. LICENSE NUMBER 52-31423-01 ivities conducted under your lations and the conditions o lews with personnel, and ob no violations were identified ribed to you by the inspecto rective action was or is bein d.	Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016 license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear f your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of servations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: d. r as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self- ing taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to					
03038392 LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the acti Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regu- procedures and representative records, interv 1. Based on the inspection findings, 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically desc identified, non-repetitive, and con- exercise discretion, were satisfie	52-31423-01 ivities conducted under your ilations and the conditions o lews with personnel, and ob no violations were identified ribed to you by the inspecto rective action was or is bein d.	Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016 license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear f your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of servations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: d. r as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self- ing taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to					
LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the acti Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regu- procedures and representative records, interv 1. Based on the inspection findings, 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically desc identified, non-repetitive, and con- exercise discretion, were satisfier	ivities conducted under your lations and the conditions o lews with personnel, and ob no violations were identified ribed to you by the inspecto rrective action was or is bein d.	license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear f your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of servations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: d. r as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self- ng taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to					
 The inspection was an examination of the acting Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulatory commission (NRC) rules and regulatory and representative records, interv 1. Based on the inspection findings, 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically described, non-repetitive, and commercise discretion, were satisfied. 	Ilations and the conditions o lews with personnel, and ob no violations were identified ribed to you by the inspecto rective action was or is bein d.	f your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of servations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: d. r as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self- ing taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to					
The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied. Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and corrective action(s):							
Statement of Corrective Actions							
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.							
Title	Printed Name	Signature Date					
	elo Calderon, Vice Pre tructure Division	usident					
NRC INSPECTOR Micha	el Reichard	Mill Reilurd 11/7/16					
BRANCH CHIEF Blake	Welling	Bah Will "/14/16					

*. *.

SUNSI Review Completed By: / RA / Michael Reichard X Public X Non-Sensitive

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Del Valle Group P.O. Box 2319 Toa Baja, Puerto Rico 00951-2319 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report NUMBER(s) 2016001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(s) 2016001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(s) 52-31423-01 Gontinued) 4. UCENSE NUMBER(s) (Continued) 49 CFR 172.702(a) requires that a hazmat employer shall ensure that each of its hazmat employees is trained in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this subpart. 49 CFR 172.704(c)(2) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee must receive the training required by this subpart at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, during the period between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016. He last took the required training on May 25, 2013, which expired on May 25, 2016. This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the authorized user took the required training no May 25, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on May 25, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a com
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Del Valle Group P.O. Box 2319 Toa Baja, Puerto Rico 00951-2319 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory. Commission REPORT NUMBER(s) 2016001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(s) 2016001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(s) 52-31423-01 Gotominued) 49 CFR 172.702(a) requires that a hazmat employer shall ensure that each of its hazmat employees is trained in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this subpart. 49 CFR 172.704(c)(2) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee must receive the training required by this subpart at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, during the period between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the flocensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the seyired on May 25, 2016. This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the licensee committed to creating an in-office tracking system that will track due dates for hazmat training and have their consultant that provides the hazmat training provide reaking when hazmat training is due. 2. 10 CFR 20.1101(c) requires that the licensee shall periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation. Contrary to the above, during the period betw
P.O. Box 2319 Toa Baja, Puerto Rico 00951-2319 Do. Nuclear Regional 2100 Renaissance Bivd, Suite 100 King of Prussia, Pernsylvania 19406-2713 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2016001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 5-31423-01 Gootker NUMBER(S) 5-31423-01 Gootker Number(S) 5-231423-01 Gootker Numb
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 03038392 52-31423-01 Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016 (Continued) 49 CFR 172.702(a) requires that a hazmat employer shall ensure that each of its hazmat employees is trained in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this subpart. 49 CFR 172.704(c)(2) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee must receive the training required by this subpart at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, during the period between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training on May 25, 2013, which expired on May 25, 2016. This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the licensee committed to creating an in-office tracking system that will track due dates for hazmat training and have their consultant that provides the hazmat training provide reminders for when hazmat training is due. 2. 10 CFR 20.1101(c) requires that the licensee shall periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation. Contrary to the above
03038392 52-31423-01 Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016 (Continued) 49 CFR 172.702(a) requires that a hazmat employer shall ensure that each of its hazmat employees is trained in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this subpart. 49 CFR 172.704(c)(2) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee must receive the training required by this subpart at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, during the period between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016. He last took the required training on May 25, 2013, which expired on May 25, 2016. This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the licensee committed to creating an in-office tracking system that will track due dates for hazmat training and have their consultant that provides the hazmat training provide reminders for when hazmat training is due. 2. 10 CFR 20,1101(c) requires that the licensee shall periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation. Contrary to the above, during the period between January 6, 2011, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation.
 (Continued) 49 CFR 172.702(a) requires that a hazmat employer shall ensure that each of its hazmat employees is trained in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this subpart. 49 CFR 172.704(c)(2) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee must receive the training required by this subpart at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, during the period between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016. He last took the required training on May 25, 2013, which expired on May 25, 2016. This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the licensee committed to creating an in-office tracking system that will track due dates for hazmat training and have their consultant that provides the hazmat training provide reminders for when hazmat training is due. 10 CFR 20.1101(c) requires that the licensee shall periodically (at least ennually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation. Contrary to the above, during the period between January 6, 2011, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation.
 49 CFR 172.702(a) requires that a hazmat employer shall ensure that each of its hazmat employees is trained in accordance with the requirements prescribed in this subpart. 49 CFR 172.704(c)(2) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee must receive the training required by this subpart at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, during the period between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employee as required by Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172. Specifically the authorized user transported hazardous materials eight times between May 26, 2016, and October 18, 2016. He last took the required training on May 25, 2013, which expired on May 25, 2016. This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the authorized user took the required training on October 26, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the licensee committed to creating an in-office tracking system that will track due dates for hazmat training and have their consultant that provides the hazmat training provide reminders for when hazmat training is due. 10 CFR 20.1101(c) requires that the licensee shall periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation. Contrary to the above, during the period between January 6, 2011, and October 18, 2016, the licensee did not periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation.
This is a Severity Level IV violation (6.3) As a corrective action, the licensee had a consultant perform an annual audit of the radiation protection program on October 27, 2016. As a comprehensive corrective action, the licensee committed to creating an in-office tracking system that will track due dates for the annual audit of the radiation protection program and have their consultant provide reminders that the annual audit of the radiation protection program is due.

NRC FORM 591M PART 2 (07-2012)

*: v.

NRC FORM 591M PART 2 (07-2012)	2		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION							
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION	INSPECTED;		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
Del Valle Group P.O. Box 2319 Toa Baja, Puerto Rico 00951-2319		U.S. Nuclear Regulato Region I, 2100 Renais King of Prussia, Penn		sance Bivd, Suite 100			
REPORT NUMBER(S)		016001					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION			
03038392		52-31423-01		Oct. 18, 20, and Nov. 3, 2016			
ir e u C le C n ir T A s g	n part that sealed so exceed six months or under 10 CFR 32.210 Certificate of registra eakage at six month Contrary to the above tot perform leak tests in storage. This is a Severity Lev As a comprehensive existem that will track	urces shall be tested r at intervals specifie 0 or under equivalen tion WI-587-D-107-S intervals. e, between Septemb s at six month interv vel IV violation (6.7) corrective action, th due dates for perfor- sultant that performs	d for leakage and/or cont ad in the certificate of reg t regulations of an Agree 5 states that the sealed s ber 25, 2013, and Septen als on their gauges and t e licensee committed to rming leak checks every	Licensee 52-31423-01 states camination at intervals not to istration issued by the NRC ement State. Nource shall be tested for other 12. 2016, the licensee did the gauges were in use and not creating an in-office tracking six months on the portable cks will also provide reminders			
NRC FORM 591M PART 2 (07			C-WordDocs\Currentilinsp.Rec	ord\R52-31423-01.2018001.591M-Part1.doc			

*• ` •