

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 7/22/15 9:12 AM
Received: July 17, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8k1f-6f3m
Comments Due: July 17, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2009-0337

Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for a Combined License

Comment On: NRC-2009-0337-0092

Combined License Application for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Units 6 and 7; Reopening of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2009-0337-DRAFT-0269

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-12935

257

Submitter Information

Name: Marybeth Devlin

Address:

6880 SW 27th ST
Miami, FL, 33155-2916

Email: marybethdevlin@bellsouth.net

3/5/2015
80 FR 12043

RECEIVED

2015 JUL 22 AM 9:16

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

General Comment

See attached file(s)

Attachments

Ecology -- Turkey Point -- NO to Additional Nuclear Reactors -- My Comments

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= *A. Williamson (AR21)*

- <http://www.regulations.gov/#!searchResults;rpp=25;po=0;s=NRC-2009-0337;fp=true;ns=true>

Date: **July 17, 2015**

To: **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
Office of New Reactors
Washington, DC 20555-0001**

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
Jacksonville District
Jacksonville, Florida 32232-0019**

Document: **NUREG-2176, Vols.1 and 2 - Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for Combined Licenses (COLs) for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant Units 6 and 7**

Docket ID: **NRC - 2009 - 0337 - 0092**

I submit these comments as an interested party -- and a local resident -- regarding the proposed addition of two more nuclear reactors at the Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) Turkey Point Nuclear Power Plant facility located in Miami-Dade County, Florida. I urge NRC-USACE to **DENY** the combined construction permits and operating licenses (combined licenses or COLs).

I have monitored the proceedings as FPL endeavored to ram through this ill-conceived project against the wishes of the community. So much about the project is **wrong** --

Hazards

- Radioactive materials and waste transported through our community
- Increase of radioactive emission-releases during routine plant refueling
- Risk of catastrophic meltdown as with Fukushima
- Failure to decommission the aged and obsolete nuclear reactors at Turkey Point
- Inadequacy of the canal-system for proper cooling of even the existing reactors

Water

- Drawdown of precious fresh-water resources in a time of drought
- Dangerous levels of salt and other pollutants infiltrating the aquifer and Biscayne Bay

Weather and Climate

- Likelihood of rising sea-levels inundating the coastal power-plant site
- Probability of a hurricane-induced storm-surge flooding the emergency diesel generators

Adverse Social Impacts

- Transmission-line corridors displacing the linear parks that have been planned
- Inappropriate siting between Biscayne Bay and Everglades National Parks
- Forced continued dependence on the FPL monopoly
- Failure to convert to safe, clean, renewable energy-sources

The DEIS seems to have based the proposed approval of the COLs in large part on the following rationale:

If no other facility would be built or strategy implemented to take its place, the benefits of the additional electrical capacity and electricity generation to be provided would also not occur and the need for baseload power would not be met.

However, while FPL decided not to offer another suitable facility or strategy, the lack thereof merely reflects FPL's determination to do things the way that best serves its continued growth in profitability. As NRC knows, there are many new technologies that use safe, clean, renewable -- and likely lower-priced -- power-sources. Those improved technologies would break FPL's grip on the local residents as the sole electricity-provider.

But the needs of the community for additional electrical capacity should not be restricted by the profit-goals of one company that insists on using old technologies in its self-interest. The issue **is not** whether more nuclear reactors should be constructed either at Turkey Point or elsewhere. The true issue is **what our energy-future should be**. The residents of Miami-Dade County are ready for solar, wind, wave, tidal, and fuel-cell technologies to be our energy-future. We want the nuclear reactors phased out and decommissioned. We want the freedom to choose how our electricity is provided and to escape enslavement to a monopolistic corporation.

Therefore, I support adoption of the **NO-ACTION** alternative, which the DEIS identified as the first of five categories of alternatives to the proposed Federal actions. I urge NRC-USACE to honor the will of the people of Miami-Dade County: to **DENY the COLs**. Please do so. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marybeth Devlin
6880 SW 27th ST
Miami, FL 33155

marybethdevlin@bellsouth.net