

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION



In the Matter of

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
Unit No. 2)

Docket No. 50-323

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of PETITION FOR INTERVENTION from Ian I. McMillan, dated December 8, 1969 in the captioned matter have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class or air mail, this 10th day of December 1969:

James P. Gleason, Esq., Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
205 Commonwealth Building
1625 K Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Richard H. Peterson, Esq., Senior
Vice President & General Counsel
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
245 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94106

Jack H. Campbell, Esq., Alternate
Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Stephenson, Campbell & Olsted
231 Washington Avenue
P. O. Box 877
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Troy B. Conner, Jr., Esq.
Regulatory Staff
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dr. Hugh C. Paxton
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544

Elton L. Kidwell, Chairman
San Luis Obispo County Board
of Supervisors
County Courthouse
San Luis Obispo, California 93401

Dr. Thomas H. Pigford
Professor of Nuclear Engineering
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. John M. Hosley, Chief
Environmental Health & Consumer
Protection Program
Department of Public Health
2151 Berkeley Way
Berkeley, California 94704

Dr. Rolf Eliassen
Department of Civil Engineering
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Philip A. Crane, Jr., Esq.
Ross Hoffman, Esq.
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
245 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94106

John C. Morrissey, Esq.
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
245 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94106

Patricia W. [Signature]
Office of the Secretary

cc: Mr. Gleason
Mr. Conner
Mr. Yore
S. Kari
H. Smith

IAN I. McMILLAN
SHANDON, CALIFORNIA 93461

December 8, 1969

Mr. James P. Gleason, Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, DC 20545


Dear Mr. Gleason:

Enclosed herewith are ten copies of my petition for Intervention in the matter of the application by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for a construction permit for the proposed Diablo Canyon Nuclear Unit No. 2, San Luis Obispo County, California, Docket No. 50-323.

These are submitted as agreed upon at the hearing of your Committee in San Luis Obispo, December 5, 1969.

Sincerely,

Ian I. McMILLAN





In the Matter

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Docket No. 50-323

(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant)

PETITION FOR INTERVENTION

My name is Ian I. McMillan. My address is Box 63, Shandon, CA 93461. I wish to petition the Atomic Energy Commission for leave to intervene in the matter referred to above.

My concern and interest in the matter is summarized as follows: I am a native resident of San Luis Obispo County in which the power plant in question would be located. I am owner and operator of a grain and livestock ranch in the county. I am a member of the California Park and Recreation Commission. I am an active member of different conservation organizations and am a member and past president of the Montana de Oro State Park Advisory Committee. This 4400-acre state park is located in the immediate vicinity of the proposed nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon.

My information on the radiological risks and hazards involved in the proposed power plant has been gained through reading various publications that would apply to the subject matter and from particulars brought out in hearings on Unit No. 1, of the Diablo Canyon Power Project. More recently I have read the statement dated November 18, 1969, on the safety evaluation by the Division of Reactor Licensing of the United States Atomic Energy Commission in the matter of the power plant in question.

This information has consistently, conclusively, and inescapably led me to the following conclusions: (1) Radiological risks and hazards of various extent and degree would inevitably occur and prevail in the maintenance and operation of the proposed power plant in question.



→ 7
↓

(2) These risks and hazards would directly and indirectly involve the human population of a wide region far more extensive than the general locality of cities and rural communities that lie within a few miles of the Diablo Canyon power site.


Assuming that I will be allowed to intervene as herein petitioned, my prepared testimony as submitted to the Commission at its hearing in San Luis Obispo on January 13, 1970, will specify the facts and conditions of the radiological risks and hazards which I contend would normally occur in the fueling and operation of the nuclear plant in question.

I understand that the regulatory authority of the Atomic Energy Commission is confined to considerations of common defense and security and radiological health and safety. I note, however, that letters to the Commission from the United States Department of the Interior have included recommendations which apply to environmental effects that the plant in question might produce, but which would not be directly caused by radiation. These recommendations have obviously been accepted by the Commission as part of the official record. They apply to the thermal and biological effects that the proposed plant might produce in the adjacent marine environment.

In my final testimony I am hoping to be permitted to include recommendations of a nature similar to those referred to above. These recommendations would pertain to the impact that the power plant in question could be expected to produce on the human ecology of the region affected.

Respectfully submitted,

Jan J. M. Miller





Handwritten scribbles or marks in the top right corner.