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The success of discovery indicates that little high-grade uranium ore remains to be discovered.

M. A. Lieberman

Practically the entire amount of high-grade uranium ore in the United States occurs in the sedimentary sandstone and mudstone deposits of the Colorado Plateau, the Wyoming Basins, and the Gulf Coastal Plain of Texas (1, 2). Currently, about 98 percent of U.S. uranium production is mined from the deposits in these three districts.

Sandstone ores occur in two major forms (3-5): either as relatively flat, lenslike deposits or as crescent-shaped rolls. The grade of ore is typically in the range 1000 to 5000 parts per million (ppm) of U$_3$O$_8$ by weight. The lenslike deposits are found in reduced rock which is generally surrounded by oxidized rock, while the crescent-shaped deposits are found at the boundaries between reduced and oxidized rock. It is believed that the deposits were formed by reduction of the soluble hexavalent uranium ion to its insoluble quadrivalent form. The deposits are sharply bounded and very little U$_3$O$_8$ is found in these rocks at grades below 500 ppm (6).

Small deposits of sandstone ores have been discovered in Argentina, Gabon, and Niger. However, as far as is now known, the western sandstone deposits are a unique geological occurrence in terms of their extent and magnitude. The Colorado Plateau and Wyoming Basins are among the best explored of all the world's uranium-producing provinces. Exploration has taken the form of aerial and ground radiation surveys, radon gas evolution measurements, and an extensive program of exploratory drilling.

The primary source of information about the domestic uranium industry is the report series GJO-100, published yearly by the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) (formerly the Atomic Energy Commission, or AEC), Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado. The most recent report (2) gives data on the production and reserves of U$_3$O$_8$ for various cost categories, and the exploratory footage drilled, for each of the years from 1947 through 1974.

An important similarity between the accumulation of uranium in sandstone deposits and the accumulation of petroleum underground is noteworthy (5). That is the occurrence of many small deposits and comparatively few large ones, with the latter containing most of the uranium. Out of the 284 known deposits in the $8 per pound (1 pound = 0.453 kilogram) U$_3$O$_8$ cost category, the three largest deposits account for 23 percent of the reserves and the ten largest deposits for 52 percent of the reserves (1, p. 33). The similarity to petroleum accumulation is an indication that the techniques developed for petroleum estimation are applicable to uranium estimation in these deposits.

Two procedures are adapted to provide objective estimates of $Q_*$, the ultimate recoverable resource of U$_3$O$_8$ that is available from the western sandstone deposits. They are mathematical and based on published statistical data. (i) The historical data on the quantity of U$_3$O$_8$ discovered per foot of exploratory well drilled are established. The data are shown to fit an exponential curve, and the parameters of the curve, including $Q_*$, are determined. (ii) The yearly data on production and reserves of U$_3$O$_8$ are fit to a logistic growth curve, and a least-squares error technique is used to determine the parameters of the logistic growth curve, including $Q_*$. Because of the irregular history of uranium discovery and production, this procedure is considered as a verification of the estimate derived from the exploratory drilling data. Both procedures were originated (7) and have been applied (8) with considerable success to the estimation of the ultimate recoverable resource of U.S. petroleum by the geologist M. King Hubbert.

Classification by Forward Cost

In order to define the meaning of the term "reserves" in the present context, some consideration of U$_3$O$_8$ costs and their history is necessary. The uranium industry has traditionally considered the U$_3$O$_8$ producible at forward cost of $8 or less per pound to be its stock of resource immediately at hand. The reason is partly historical. Early prices were in the range of $9 to $11 per pound of U$_3$O$_8$. From 1961 to 1968 the Atomic Energy Commission paid a flat price of $8 per pound of U$_3$O$_8$, after determining that such a price was equitable to the uranium industry. From 1969 to 1971, the government terminated its buying-prog-ram, paying an average price of about $6 per pound. The price has since been in the range of $8 to $10 per pound, although prices were increasing rapidly in 1974-75.

The forward cost does not include "sunk" costs, such as land acquisition and exploration, or profits, taxes, and interest on capital invested. The forward
cost is therefore not the price at which the U₃O₈ will be sold. The selling price will be a function of the forward cost and these other factors, as well as the prevailing market conditions. A sellers' market has developed in 1975, and the price of U₃O₈ has been bid up considerably as a result.

From the above discussion, the following procedure is adapted. First, the historical data are analyzed and the value of Qₜ is determined solely for those reserves producible at a forward cost of $8 or less per pound of U₃O₈. This value of Qₜ is then compared with published estimates of Qₜ in this forward cost category. Second, an estimate is made of the ultimate recoverable resource Qₜ for higher forward cost categories, with the use of published data giving the grade distribution of ores and the ratio of uranium producible for a given forward cost class to that producible at $8 or less per pound.

Table 1. Discovery rate R and cumulative exploratory footage h for 11 selected footage intervals, for U₃O₈ at $8 or less per pound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Drilling year(s)</th>
<th>Cumulative drilling $h$ (10⁶ feet)</th>
<th>Discovery year(s)</th>
<th>Discoveries (10⁶ short tons of U₃O₈)</th>
<th>Discovery rate (pounds of U₃O₈ per foot drilled)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1948-54</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>1949-55</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1955-56</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1956-57</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1958-61</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>1959-62</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1962-67</td>
<td></td>
<td>1963-68</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Grand Junction Office of ERDA issues yearly reports (2) summarizing gross and net tonnage additions of U₃O₈ to reserves in various forward cost categories, and exploratory and development footage drilled. Development footage is drilled for the purpose of blocking out ore bodies, sampling ore quality, and similar reasons, and is ignored in this discussion. Exploratory footage is drilled for the purpose of discovering new ore bodies in new or currently producing uranium districts. Net additions to reserves in a given cost category are calculated by subtracting the yearly production from discoveries (gross additions) and shifting those resources which have become uneconomic to produce as a result of inflation into a higher cost category. For example, in 1974 for the $8 per pound U₃O₈ cost category, discoveries were 13,000 short tons of U₃O₈ (1 short ton = 0.907 metric ton), production was 12,600 short tons, and 77,000 short tons were removed from this cost category because of inflation. Thus net reserves fell by 77,000 short tons in 1974.

![Fig. 1 (top left)](image1.png) **Fig. 1** (top left). History of exploratory drilling and discoveries of $8 per pound U₃O₈.

![Fig. 2 (right)](image2.png) **Fig. 2** (right). Discovery rate $R$ of $8 per pound U₃O₈ compared to cumulative exploratory footage $h$ drilled.

![Fig. 3 (bottom left)](image3.png) **Fig. 3** (bottom left). Discovery rate of $8 per pound U₃O₈ compared to cumulative exploratory footage $h$ drilled, on a linear scale.
It has only been during the last few years that the inflationary correction has been of importance. Figure 1 shows the gross addition to reserves and the exploratory footage drilled for each of the years from 1948 through 1974. During the 1950's, the drilling pace built up and then rapidly tailed off as defense requirements reached saturation and incentives were removed. In the mid-1960's, an upsurge of drilling occurred with the advent of civilian nuclear power, but tapered off because of depressed market conditions, reactor licensing problems, and other uncertainties. A third upsurge in drilling activity began in 1974. Figure 1 shows that there is a close relation between exploration effort and uranium discovery, as expected. We also see that, qualitatively, the finding rates have fallen off markedly from the 1950's to the 1970's.

As has been noted, the history of exploration and discovery has been subject to the vagaries of economic and political conditions. Variations in discoveries due to these causes can be removed from finding rates, and the underlying pattern discerned, if discoveries are plotted as a function of cumulative footage drilled, rather than as a function of time. Economic and political conditions act to determine the exploratory footage drilled in a given year, but have little influence on the discoveries found per foot drilled. As Hubbert has stated in connection with petroleum exploration (8):

... the officials of a large oil company may authorize its staff to double the amount of exploration drilling in any given year and consequently to increase discoveries per year; no oil company management, however, can successfully order its staff to double the quantity of oil to be found per foot of exploratory drilling.

To construct the graph of discovery rate as a function of cumulative footage drilled, we divide the total footage drilled into intervals of between 10,000 and 20,000 feet (1 foot = 0.3 meter) each. For each interval, we calculate the total discoveries made as a result of that interval of drilling.

It can be seen from Fig. 1 that there is a time lag of about 1 year between the resumption of drilling effort and the increase in discoveries. This lag is a measure of the time required to gather and evaluate the drilling data and report the results. Since the statistics are reported only on a yearly basis, it seems reasonable to choose a 1-year time lag. The assumptions of either a zero or a 2-year lag seem untenable. We therefore associate with a given time interval of drilling the discoveries made within an equal time interval, but with a 1-year time lag. The 11 intervals chosen, along with the cumulative footage drilled, the discoveries, and the discovery rate are given in Table 1 (9). It can be seen that the discovery rate has fallen off dramatically from its high of 18.6 pounds per foot during the second million feet of drilling to the present low of about 2.4 pounds per foot and 140.5 million feet of drilling.

In Fig. 2, the discovery rate R is plotted against the cumulative footage h drilled, using a logarithmic scale for R and a linear scale for h. The 11 drilling intervals are shown as circles in the figure. The circled points fall approximately along a straight line, indicating that the rate of discovery has decreased exponentially with cumulative footage drilled.

For comparison, the discovery rate was calculated for the same 11 drilling intervals, on the assumption that there was no time lag in the reporting of discoveries. The results are plotted (Fig. 2) as

---

**Table 2. The relation between forward cost and average grade**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward cost (per pound)</th>
<th>Average grade (percent by weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Estimates of the ratio r(10/8) of U₃O₈ available at $8 or less per pound to that available at $8 or less per pound. Values shown are calculated from discovered resources (production and reserves), while those in parentheses are calculated for undiscovered resources.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>r(10/8)</th>
<th>r(15/8)</th>
<th>r(30/8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974*</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See (6).*

---

**Fig. 4 (left), Cumulative production Qₚ and cumulative discoveries Qₚ as a function of time in years.**

**Fig. 5 (right), Actual production rate and a possible cycle of production for U₃O₈ at $8 or less per pound.**
Table 4. Estimates for U₃O₈ resources, obtained with a prudent ratio of r(c/q) and the value Qₗ = 630,000 short tons, as described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward cost category ($) per pound</th>
<th>Qₗ (10⁵ short tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 8</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>1134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dots: the dots are scattered much more than the circles, although the decline in discovery rate is still apparent.

For the circled points, the rate of discovery may be written:

\[ R(t) = R_0 e^{-\beta t} \]  

where \( \beta \) is a constant. The quantity of U₃O₈ discovered by the drilling of the cumulative footage \( h_0 \) is given by

\[ Q_0 = \int_0^h R e^{-\beta h} \]  

\[ = R_0 \beta^{-1} (1 - e^{-\beta h_0}) \]  

The ultimate recoverable resource is given by

\[ Q_\infty = R_0 / \beta \]  

The procedure for estimating \( Q_\infty \) is to fit the exponential curve (Eq. 1) to the circled data points in Fig. 2 and to determine the "best fit" values of the parameters \( R_0 \) and \( \beta \). Inserting these values into Eq. 3 yields the estimate for \( Q_\infty \).

Putting \( y = \ln R \) and \( y_0 = \ln R_0 \), Eq. 1 becomes

\[ y(t) = y_0 - \beta t \]  

For the circled data points, we let \( y_1 = \ln R_1 \), where \( t \) is the interval. We then choose \( y_0 \) and \( \beta \) to minimize the mean square error

\[ E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y(t_i) - x_i]² \]  

between the theoretical curve \( y(h) \) and the data points \( y_i \), subject to the condition that \( Q_0 = 543,000 \) short tons at \( h_0 = 140.5 \) million feet. This ensures that the curve correctly predicts the cumulative discoveries as of 1974. The result is \( R_0 = 17.75 \) pounds per foot, \( \beta = 1.41 \times 10^{-6} \) per foot, and \( Q_\infty = 630,000 \) short tons. We therefore have the following amounts (short tons):

- Cumulative production through 1974: 270,000 tons
- Reserves: 273,000 tons
- Remaining undiscovered: 87,000 tons
- Total recoverable resource: 630,000 tons

The drilling statistics indicate that very little resource (87,000 short tons) remains to be discovered in the $8 or less per pound cost category. Figure 3 shows a plot of the actual discovery rate as a function of cumulative footage on linear scales, along with the analytic curve.

Cumulative Production and Discoveries

On general principles, we expect the production (p) and discovery (d) rate curves (where \( t \) is time) \( dQ/dt \) and \( dQ/ \) \( dt \) to rise exponentially, display one or more maxima, and then fall to zero when the resource is exhausted. Cumulative production \( Q_p \) and cumulative discoveries \( Q_d \) should be roughly S-shaped growth curves which rise exponentially from zero and asymptotically approach a common final value \( Q_\infty \).

The procedure for determining \( Q_\infty \) from the data on cumulative production and cumulative discoveries versus time is as follows. A growth curve known as the logistic curve, which has the required S shape, is used to fit the data for \( Q_p \) and \( Q_d \). This curve is given by

\[ Q(t) = \frac{Q_\infty}{1 + ae^{-b(t-t_0)}} \]  

where \( t_0 \) is an arbitrary time; and \( a, b \), and \( Q_\infty \) are constants to be determined so that the curve best fits the data.

It was pointed out that the yearly production and discovery rates are strongly influenced by economic and political conditions. This has been especially true of the domestic uranium industry, which has gone through several cycles of "boom and bust." Therefore, the production and discovery rates cannot be expected to display the classic bell shape that is obtained from the logistic curve. The effects of economic and political conditions are considerably smoothed when the data on cumulative production and discovery are considered. It is therefore of interest to determine \( Q_\infty \) by fitting a logistic curve to the cumulative production and discovery data. The values of \( Q_\infty \) as so determined are subject to considerable uncertainty and are intended primarily to verify the estimates derived from drilling statistics.

The data on cumulative production and discoveries are plotted on a logarithmic scale in Fig. 4. The procedure adapted was to put \( x(t) = \ln Q(t) \) for the logistic curve (Eq. 6); to put \( x_1 = \ln Q_{pl} \) or \( x_1 = \ln Q_{dt} \) for the data points, and to determine \( Q_\infty, a, \) and \( b \) so as to minimize the mean square error

\[ E_{p,a} = \sum_i [x(t_i) - x_i]² \]  

Table 5. Estimates for uranium resources, obtained by using large r(c/q) ratios for the 87,000 short tons at $8 per pound not yet discovered and smaller ratios for the 543,000 short tons at $8 per pound already discovered, as described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward cost category ($) per pound</th>
<th>Qₗ (10⁶ short tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 8</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>1067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>1456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With a value of \( t_0 = 1948 \), the results for the cumulative discovery data were \( a = 118.1 \), \( b = 0.91 \) year⁻¹, and \( Q_\infty = 354,000 \) short tons. For the cumulative production data, the results were \( a = 20,000, b = 0.73 \) year⁻¹, and \( Q_\infty = 550,000 \) short tons.

In both cases the fit of the data to the logistic curve was not especially good, and equally satisfactory fits could be obtained over a range of values of \( Q_\infty \). The fit to the discovery data is shown in Fig. 4. The above results are therefore not conclusive, but do indicate that values of \( Q_\infty \) in the range of 500,000 to 800,000 short tons of U₃O₈ are consistent with the cumulative production and reserve data.

If we assume that \( Q_\infty = 630,000 \) short tons is the best estimate, choose a reasonable peak production rate of about 16,000 short tons per year, and require \( Q(1974) = 270,000 \) short tons, then one possible cycle of production is that shown in Fig. 5, along with the actual production data. The early distortion of the defense buying program should be noted. The shortfall between the predicted production rates and the uranium actually consumed will have to come from the higher cost categories of U₃O₈ resource.

Higher Cost Categories of U₃O₈

From the data on production, reserves, and potential ( undiscovered) resources (2), it is possible to derive estimates for the ultimate recoverable resource \( Q_\infty \) in the higher cost categories. The cost categories analyzed are forward costs of $8, $10, $15, and $30 per pound of U₃O₈.

There is a rough correspondence between the forward cost category and the average grade of the ore, although smaller ore bodies must have higher than average grade in order to qualify for a given cost category. The relation between forward cost and average grade is given in Table 2 (2).
From published data (2, 6), the ratio (where c is cost) \( r(c/8) \) of \( U_2O_8 \) available at \$8 or less per pound to that available at \$8 or less per pound has been calculated for each year since 1967 that such estimates have been made. This ratio is shown separately for the discovered resources (the sum of production plus reserves) and the undiscovered resources in Table 3. The ratio for undiscovered resources is given in parentheses in the table. For estimates based on discovered resources, the ratios \( r(c/8) \) are fairly constant from year to year. Presumably, these ratios are determined from actual physical samples of ore bodies that have been mapped or produced.

From the published estimates on undiscovered resources, the ratios \( r(c/8) \) shown in parentheses in Table 3 have been calculated. The estimates are uniformly higher than those derived from resources already discovered. It is difficult to understand why the ratios for undiscovered ore bodies should be so much higher than for already discovered ore bodies. Since the method by which the estimates of undiscovered resources has been derived has been entirely speculative, there is no way of refuting these estimates. However, a prudent approach to estimation suggests that the ratio \( r(c/8) \) for undiscovered resources should be the same as for discovered resources, unless there is some objective means of showing that this is not the case. Therefore, the ratios used in estimating \( Q_\infty \) will be \( r(10/8) = 1.2; r(15/8) = 1.5; \) and \( r(30/8) = 1.8 \). From these ratios and the value of \( Q_\infty = 630,000 \) short tons as derived above, we obtain estimates for \( Q_\infty \) as shown in Table 4. Conversely, if the very large ratios \( r(10/8) = 1.8; r(15/8) = 2.9; \) and \( r(30/8) = 5.5 \) are used to extrapolate from the 87,000 short tons at \$8 per pound which remains to be discovered, while the smaller ratios are used to extrapolate from the 543,000 short tons at \$8 per pound which has already been discovered, then the estimates shown in Table 5 are obtained. The use of the high ratios does not make very much difference, since very little resource at \$8 per pound remains to be discovered.

Comparison with Published Estimates

The preceding estimates may be compared with values of \( Q_\infty \) derived from the production, reserve, and potential resource data of (2, 6). The year-by-year estimates of \( Q_\infty \) for \$8 or less per pound of \( U_2O_8 \) are plotted in Fig. 6. There has been a sizable increase in the estimated values of \( Q_\infty \) over the last 5 years. The discrepancy is even larger when cumulative production and reserves are subtracted out and only the remaining undiscovered resource is considered. The estimate of 457,000 short tons in 1974 is higher by a factor of 5 than the estimate of 87,000 short tons derived here. An estimate in (6), plotted as the \( x \) in Fig. 6, gives a grand total for \( Q_\infty \) of 1,090,000 short tons.

The latest ERDA estimates for the remaining recoverable resource up to a forward cost category of $30 or less per pound are given in (6). To the grand total of 3,450,000 short tons must be added the cumulative production of 270,000 short tons for a value of \( Q_\infty = 3,720,000 \) short tons. This is a factor of about 3.3 times larger than the estimated value obtained here, \( Q_\infty = 1,134,000 \) short tons. This very large discrepancy is a result of estimates of undiscovered resources which are not based on any objective procedures that I can discern (10).

It is important that this discrepancy should be resolved by an exposure of the methods used to derive such subjective estimates, as well as by a critique of the methods used here, in order that a prudent estimate of \( U_2O_8 \) resources can be made.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The impact of my estimate of \( Q_\infty \), which is one-third of the ERDA estimate, is now considered. It will be shown that, if the expansion of nuclear electric power proceeds as planned, a serious shortfall in uranium supply will develop during the late 1980's.
ERDA, on the basis of its own estimate of $Q$, and its projection for the growth of nuclear power, has long recognized that a serious shortfall in uranium supply will develop toward the end of the century. In response, it has established the National Uranium Resource Evaluation Program to assess all geologic environments in the United States for potential resources of uranium. It has also decided to lift the embargo on foreign uranium imports and has pressed for vigorous development of the liquid metal fast breeder reactor. However, the development of a uranium supply shortage in the late 1980's poses a serious question for the continuous and orderly expansion of nuclear power during the next 15 years.

It is not within the scope of this article to develop a projection of U.S. $U_{235}$ requirements in the near future. Figure 7, reproduced directly from (6), shows the most recent ERDA projection of $U_{235}$ requirements if nuclear power follows a pattern of low growth. Assuming plutonium recycling and an 0.25 percent uranium enrichment tails assay, the year of exhaustion of the $U_{235}$ resource for the various forward cost categories, using the estimate for $Q$, is shown in Table 6. If plutonium is not recycled, then cumulative $U_{235}$ requirements are about 25 percent higher, and the year of exhaustion will occur about 1½ to 2 years earlier than given in Table 6. If the high growth pattern from (6) is used, then the year of exhaustion will occur 2 years earlier: If the above projections and resource estimates are correct, then a severe restriction of $U_{235}$ supply will develop in the late 1980's. To prevent this from happening, at least one of the following courses of action must be pursued:

1) Severely limit the growth of nuclear power to rates far below the "low growth pattern" shown in Fig. 7.

2) Undertake an extensive and successful program of exploration for uranium resources in the intermediate grade range from 500 to 100 ppm of $U_{235}$ by weight. Such resources exist in many countries but have not yet been discovered in the United States.

3) Develop the production of the large western ignite deposits (~25 ppm of $U_{235}$) for use in coal-burning and electric power plants, and develop the means for recovery of uranium from the ash (~250 ppm of $U_{235}$). A recent study (11) has concluded that the forward cost for production of $U_{235}$ from this ash will be $25 to $45 per pound of $U_{235}$, and that the ash of a 1600-megawatt (electric) coalburning plant will supply the uranium requirement of a 1000-megawatt (electric) light water reactor.

4) Develop the means to obtain the uranium requirements from the extensive Chattanooga shale deposits (60 to 80 ppm). An updated study suggests forward costs in the range of $125 to $225 per pound of $U_{235}$ (12). It should be pointed out, however, that for use in light water reactors, the energy content of a ton of shale is roughly equal to that in a ton of coal, implying a very extensive mining operation.

5) Undertake a research and development program to obtain uranium from seawater. Cost estimates currently range from $50 to $1000 per pound of $U_{235}$ (13).

6) Import the necessary uranium from foreign sources. To this end, the ERDA has already decided that the embargo on foreign uranium imports should be gradually lifted (14). However, large quantities of foreign uranium have already been spoken for by foreign customers, and there may well be much left for the U.S. market in the late 1980's.

It should be pointed out that the lead time between proving (after discovery) a uranium ore body and the forward delivery date of the uranium is roughly 8 years. The lead time for putting a nuclear power plant on-line is currently in excess of 10 years. Therefore, decisions on a course of action must be made within the next 5 years.

It is clear that on the scale discussed, the planned introduction of the breeder reactor can play no role (15). This can be seen from Fig. 7. Even a "crash program" to develop the breeder will be unable to forestall the coming supply and demand uranium squeeze; it is far too late. (Whether the breeder will ever compete economically with light water reactors is another question which is not addressed here and is still unresolved. The breeder will have a higher capital cost than a light water reactor, but negligible fuel cost. For a given light water reactor fuel cost, breeders will be unable to compete if their excess capital cost is too high (16).)

If any significant growth in U.S. nuclear power is to be sustained over the next few decades, then either a marked increase in the rate of discovery of new, high-grade reserves must occur, contrary to past trends in exploration statistics, or the development of low-grade ores (fignites, shales, phosphates, or seawater) must be undertaken immediately and vigorously. The alternative is dependence on foreign sources of supply which may be uncertain both politically and as to the quantities actually available. If the uranium supply does not materialize, then nuclear electric power will saturate at levels far below those now projected for the next few decades.
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