

MAR 17 1977

Docket No. 50-275  
and 50-323

The Honorable Richard S. Schweiker  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Schweiker:

I am pleased to respond to your note of February 18, 1977, forwarding a letter from your constituent Ms. Cynthia C. Jarzab. Ms. Jarzab referred to a recent newspaper article concerning the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant and expressed an opinion that the plant should not be allowed to operate.

The Hosgri fault was discovered in 1971 close to the plant and has been the subject of investigation by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), the U. S. Geological Survey and the Commission since 1973 when PG&E applied for an operating license.

Operation of the plant is being held up, at considerable cost, because the earthquake safety questions are not yet resolved. The first unit at this site was essentially completed early last year. It appears that operation will have been held up about 1 1/2 years before a decision on the operating license can be made.

The plant is located 3 1/2 miles from the Hosgri fault on a foundation of competent rock. What we are dealing with here is a question of redesign and modification to withstand more severe ground shaking than was considered in the original design. However, there is no reason to be concerned about ground rupture at the plant site due to an earthquake. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has called for an analysis to determine what changes may be necessary so that the plant can withstand a magnitude 7.5 earthquake on the Hosgri fault. This is a very severe earthquake design basis.

The plant's owner, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, is performing this engineering analysis. Then the matter must be reviewed in turn by the NRC staff and by the independent Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. In the process, it will have been scrutinized by many competent geologists, seismologists and structural engineers, including independent consultants of national stature. Finally, the matter will be considered by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board at a public hearing.

323  
H2  
60

|           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE >  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SURNAME > |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATE >    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SECRET

1. The first section of the document discusses the overall objectives and goals of the project, which are to be achieved through a series of coordinated efforts across various departments.

2. The second section outlines the specific tasks and responsibilities assigned to each team member, ensuring that all aspects of the project are covered and no critical details are overlooked.

3. The third section provides a detailed timeline and schedule for the project, highlighting key milestones and deadlines that must be met to ensure successful completion.

4. The fourth section addresses the resources and budget required for the project, detailing the allocation of funds and the procurement of necessary materials and services.

5. The fifth section discusses the risks and challenges associated with the project, along with strategies to mitigate these risks and ensure the project remains on track despite any unforeseen circumstances.

6. The sixth section concludes the document with a summary of the key findings and recommendations, emphasizing the importance of continuous communication and collaboration throughout the project's duration.

| Category   | Item        | Quantity | Value    |
|------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Material A | Component X | 500      | \$10,000 |
| Material B | Component Y | 300      | \$7,500  |
| Material C | Component Z | 200      | \$5,000  |
| Material D | Component W | 150      | \$3,750  |
| Material E | Component V | 100      | \$2,500  |
| Material F | Component U | 80       | \$2,000  |
| Material G | Component T | 60       | \$1,500  |
| Material H | Component S | 40       | \$1,000  |
| Material I | Component R | 20       | \$500    |
| Material J | Component Q | 10       | \$250    |

The Honorable Richard S. Schweiker - 2 -

It is not known, at this time, what the final decision will be. However, the NRC's regulations require that the plant be designed to withstand the most severe earthquake that could be expected to occur at the site. This must be demonstrated before operation of the plant is permitted.

As you requested, this response is made to you in duplicate and the original correspondence is returned. I trust you will find this information responsive to your request.

Sincerely,

William J. Dircks  
Assistant Executive Director  
for Operations

Enclosure:  
Letter from Ms. Jarzab

Distribution

|                        |             |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Docket Files           | R. Heineman |
| NRC PDR                | V. Stello   |
| Local PDR              | J. Miller   |
| EDO Reading            | H. Denton   |
| LWR 1 File             |             |
| B. C. Rusche           |             |
| E. G. Case             |             |
| R. S. Boyd             |             |
| D. B. Vassallo         |             |
| Attorney, ELD          |             |
| CA (3)                 |             |
| G. Ertter (#01557)     |             |
| M. Groff               |             |
| E. Hughes              |             |
| L. Dreher              |             |
| J. Stolz               |             |
| D. Allison             |             |
| E. Hylton              |             |
| J. Yore, ASLB          |             |
| IE (3)                 |             |
| SECY Mail Facility (3) |             |

SEE PREVIOUS YELLOW FOR PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES. REWRITTEN PER OELD.

bcc: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

OELD

NRR  
EGCase

NRR  
BCRusche

3/ /77

3/ /77

3/ /77

|         |               |          |                |            |               |        |
|---------|---------------|----------|----------------|------------|---------------|--------|
| OFFICE  | LWR 1         | LWR 1    | LWR/DPM        | DPM        | EDO           | OCA    |
| SURNAME | E. Hylton/red | J. Stolz | D. B. Vassallo | R. S. Boyd | L. V. Gossick |        |
| DATE    | 3/ /77        | 3/ /77   | 3/ /77         | 3/ /77     | 3/ /77        | 3/ /77 |

SECRET



SECRET  
OFFICE  
OF THE  
DIRECTOR

SECRET  
OFFICE  
OF THE  
DIRECTOR  
OF THE  
CENTRAL  
INTELLIGENCE  
AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C.  
20505  
(S)

(S)

SECRET

SECRET

|        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| SECRET | SECRET | SECRET | SECRET | SECRET | SECRET |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

Docket No. 50-275  
and 50-323

The Honorable Richard S. Schweiker  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Schweiker:

I am pleased to respond to your note of February 18, 1977, forwarding a letter from your constituent Ms. Cynthia C. Jarzab. Ms. Jarzab referred to a recent newspaper article concerning the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant and expressed an opinion that the plant should not be allowed to operate.


The Hosgri fault was discovered in 1971 close to the plant and has been the subject of investigation by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), the U. S. Geological Survey and the Commission since 1973 when PG&E applied for an operating license.

Operation of the plant is being held up, at considerable cost, because the earthquake safety questions are not yet resolved. The first unit at this site was essentially completed early last year. It appears that operation will have been held up about 1 1/2 years before a decision on the operating license can be made.

The plant is located 3 1/2 miles from the Hosgri fault on a foundation of competent rock. What we are dealing with here is a question of redesign and modification to withstand more severe ground shaking than was considered in the original design. However, there is no reason to be concerned about ground rupture at the plant site due to an earthquake. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has called for an analysis to determine what changes may be necessary so that the plant can withstand a magnitude 7.5 earthquake on the Hosgri fault. This is a very severe earthquake design basis.

The plant's owner, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, is performing this engineering analysis. Then the matter must be reviewed in turn by the NRC staff and by the independent Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. In the process, it will have been scrutinized by many competent geologists, seismologists and structural engineers, including independent consultants of national stature. Finally, the matter will be considered by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board at a public hearing.

|           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE >  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SURNAME > |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATE >    |  |  |  |  |  |  |



The Honorable Richard S. Schweiker - 2 -

It is not known, at this time, what the final decision will be. However, the NRC's regulations require that the plant be designed to withstand the most severe earthquake that could be expected to occur at the site. This must be demonstrated before operation of the plant is permitted.

As you requested, this response is made to you in duplicate and the original correspondence is returned. I trust you will find this information responsive to your request.

Sincerely,

Enclosure:

Letter from Ms. Jarzab

Distribution

|                        |             |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Docket Files           | R. Heineman |
| NRC PDR                | V. Stello   |
| Local PDR              | J. Miller   |
| EDO Reading            | H. Denton   |
| LWR 1 File             |             |
| B. C. Rusche           |             |
| E. G. Case             |             |
| R. S. Boyd             |             |
| D. B. Vassallo         |             |
| Attorney, ELD          |             |
| CA (3)                 |             |
| G. Ertter (#01557)     |             |
| M. Groff               |             |
| E. Hughes              |             |
| L. Dreher              |             |
| J. Stolz               |             |
| D. Allison             |             |
| E. Hylton              |             |
| J. Yore, ASLB          |             |
| IE (3)                 |             |
| SECY Mail Facility (3) |             |

\* SEE PREVIOUS YELLOW FOR PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES. REWRITTEN PER OELD.

bcc: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

OELD

NRR  
EGCase

NRR  
BCRusche

3/ /77

3/ /77

3/ /77

| OFFICE >  | LWR 1         | LWR 1  | LWR/DPM    | DPM     | EDO       | OCA    |
|-----------|---------------|--------|------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| SURNAME > | E. Hylton/red | JStolz | DBVassallo | RSBoyd  | LVGossick |        |
| DATE >    | 3/10/77       | 3/ /77 | 3/ /77     | 3/10/77 | 3/ /77    | 3/ /77 |

