DEC 0 9 1977

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

FROM:

MEMORANDUM FOR: John F. Stolz, Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1, DPM

D. P. Allison, Project Manager, Light Mater Reactors Branch No. 1, DPM

SUBJECT: INFORMAL DOCUMENTS - DIABLO CANYON 1 & 2

The attached draft material was provided informally by PG&E in order to expedite our review leading up to Supplement No. 6 to the Safety Evaluation Report. All of this material has been subsequently superseded by material submitted formally. The purpose of this memorandum is to document the material in the files and the public document rooms.

> Driginal Signed Dy Dennis P. Allison

D. P. Allison, Project Manager Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1 Division of Project Hanagement

Distribution Docket Files

OFFICE>	LWR 1. DA			
SURNAME >	DAllison/red	*		
D'ATE >	12/9/77	5741 \$166644 \$11 \$1 1 1 1 4 6kg 100 01 1 4 40 01 4 7 pp		 i 4.

. ,

# 

t A a. . . \* , · · · . •

•

In this summary of the differences between reports, "The Evaluation for Effects of Postulated Pipe Break Outside Containment", Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, prepared by Nuclear Services Corp, we have identified the differences and itemized them according to title headings.

We can say essentially that the two units are very similar and were analysed in the same manner, but differences do exist between the units and the reports.

On page 9 (NSC 2), Section 3.2 Identification of High Energy Systems we have the following differences:

#### 3.2.2 Design Basis Breaks

juble Canyon

Design basis breaks for Unit 2 are postulated to occur in the same systems as Unit 1 as identified in Section 5.2 of Reference 2. However, the line designations (numbers) differ between the two plants in some instances, therefore several additional lines were considered for postulated breaks as listed below.

694 3972 3974 3975 3977 3979 3980 4007 4012 4013 4013 4014 4015	4016 4017 4018 4019 4020 4021 4022 4023 4031 4032 4033 4034	4035 4036 4047 4048 4049 4050 4051 4052 4054 4055 4056 4057	4058 4059 4065 4091 4093 4095 4097 4099 4101 4184 4185 4186	4187 4188 4189 4190 4191 4192 4193 4194 4195	(All of these are in the Turbine Building. Mostly Reheater Drain Piping. Some is Feedpums and Heater # 2 Drain Pump Piping.)
---	--	--	--	--	---

illiending covered on a lette

.

₹ t

Υ. .

·

In Section 4.2 Environmental and Jet Effects on Plant Equipment, modifications are basically the same, with the following exceptions:

4.2.1 Area GE/GW, Elevation 115' to 140'

For the purposes of discussion the GE/GW area between elevation 115' and 140' has been divided into three zones, as shown on Figure 4.2-1. Zone 1 consists of the area to the east of the wall near column line R. The only high energy lines in this area are the steam generator blowdown lines and the steam lines to the auxiliary feedwater turbine. For Zone 2 the direct jet impingement zone extends west from the wall near column line R to a point approximately 15' west of column line L. Zone 3 extends from this point west to column line J.

4.2.1.1 Electrical Conduit

o Zone 1

The jets from the high energy lines in this area can potentially cause high local loading on exposed conduits. Therefore, vital conduit in this area will be reinforced at midspan to ensure that in the event of overloading one of the conduit supports, the auxiliary support can carry the load.

High temperatures (212°F) resulting from design breaks in the steam lines to auxiliary feedwater turbine can potentially have adverse effects on the operation of the electro-hydraulic actuators for the auxiliary feedwater control valves which are located in this area. Since the plant operator will probably be unable to detect these breaks by any of the available automatic trip logics, the actuators will be susceptible to long time exposure at 212°F. Therefore, it is recommended that a temperature detection system be installed near the valves to alert the operator of temperature increases (Reference 18).

This is being done

,u

. 

· ·

**,** 1 . .

- · ٣

• •

•

tt.

o Zone 2

ى

By selective routing of vital conduit away from the direct jet impingement zone, the need for additional plant protection has been minimized. Most of the vital conduit and cable runs have been rerouted away from the vicinity of the main steam and feedwater line containment penetrations and the main steam line safety valve riser pipes. Cables and conduits servicing vital equipment in this zone have been routed below the floor at elevation 115' and are returned to the area through floor penetrations at the appropriate location. Vital conduit will be placed against the web of structural beams for added protection where necessary. Much of the exposed conduit in Zone 2 is either non-vital or not required for the mitigation of the consequences of a high energy line break in this area, therefore protective measures are unnecessary.

o Zone 3

This zone is characterized by relatively low potential jet impingement forces (< 10 psig), therefore it was deemed unnecessary to remove all vital conduit from this area. However, vital conduit in this area must be reinforced at midspan as on Unit 1.

· ·

· · ·

· · · · · · - **.** 



· · ·

> . .

1

·

#### 4.2.1.5. Deflection Barriers

As a result of the extensive conduit rerouting in the GE/GW area within Zone 2, it has been possible to eliminate the containment barrier plates which were required for Unit 1 as discussed in 4.1.1.1.1 and 4.1.1.1.3 of Reference 3. Removal of these barriers will not have an adverse effect on equipment in Zones 1 and 3.

The impingement barrier surrounding the main steam line riser pipes which was required for Unit 1 is unnecessary for Unit 2 as a result of equipment relocation and conduit rerouting. The trajectory of the jet from breaks associated with these risers is upwards and along the ceiling. The subsequent loading on distant reinforced conduit in Zone 3 is low (< 10 psig); therefore, no additional protection is required.

The large vertical deflection plate between feedwater line 4 and the containment electrical penetrations which was utilized for Unit 1 is also required for Unit 2. This deflection plate will direct potential jet impingement away from the electrical penetrations at the containment.

4.2.1.6 Impingement Sleeves

Impingement sleeves on main steam and feedwater lines will be necessary at the same postulated break locations as on Unit 1 (Reference 6). Because of the conduit and instrumentation relocations, sleeve end barriers are required at only three locations (mainsteam nodes 4070 and 3180, and feedwater node 1403) to. preclude unacceptable damage to electrical conduit and penetrations.

. κ, ξ,

• , • , • ,

•

·

, ,

۰. ۲

4.2.2.3 Impingement Sleeves

Impingement sleeves will be required for Unit 2 at the Unit 1 equivalent locations. However, due to conduit rerouting, sleeve end barriers will be required only at nodes 1213 and 1113 on the feedwater, and 1180 and 2187 on the mainsteam.

x t 3 • • . . 

In Section 4.3 Thermal Hydraulic Analyses analyses used for Unit 1 apply directly to Unit 2 high energy lines except for the lines in Section 3.2.2 which are not common to both units

### 4.3.1.3. Other High Energy Lines

Many of the other high energy lines for Unit 2 are different from the Unit 1 other high energy lines. For this reason the forces for the other high energy lines have been revised for Unit 2 and are based on Revision 6 of the Line Designation Table (Reference 20). The assumptions, criteria and method of analysis utilized in determining the reaction forces are identical to those used for Unit 1 (see Section 3.0 of Reference  $\frac{1}{4}$ ).

The calculated reaction forces for Unit 2 other high energy lines are summarized in Tables 4.3-1, 4.3-2, and 4.3-3. Since no frictional losses are accounted for, the values are conservative.

.

• ÷ .

-• •

• · · •

,

.

In Section 4.4 Pipe Whip Analyses again both units were analysed directly due to similarities. The exceptions are as follows:

Section 4.4.2.1 Turbine Steam Supply System

It should

be noted that lines 1396, 1398, 2685, and 3593 which were included in the Unit 1 report do not exist in the Unit 2 piping system.

4.4.2.3 Extraction Steam and Heater Drip Systems

The high energy portions of the extraction steam and heater drip systems considered in the analyses are different from those analyzed for Unit 1. That is, lines 1192 and 1193, which were included in the Unit 1 report, are no longer considered since they are not part of the Unit 2 system. As shown in Figure 4.4-16, additional lines which were analyzed include:

- Line number 4034 8" line from the east high pressure moisture separator reheater drain tank 2-2A, connecting to line 4050 which terminates at feedwater heater 2-1C.
- Line number 4035 8" line from the east high pressure moisture separator reheater drain tank 2-2B, connecting to line 4051 which terminates at feedwater heater 2-1A.
  - Line number 4036 8" line from the east high pressure moisture separator reheater drain tank 2-2C, connecting to line 4052 which terminates at feedwater heater 2-1B.

. • • . . • 2 .

.

.

Ň

- Line number 4187 6" line from the east low pressure reheater drain tank 2-2A, terminating at the low pressure west moisture separator reheater #2 drain tank 2-1.
- Line number 4188 6" line from the cast low pressure reheater drain tank 2-2B, terminating at the low pressure west moisture separator reheater #2 drain tank 2-1.
- Line number 4189 6" line from the east low pressure reheater drain tank 2-2C, terminating at the low pressure west moisture separator reheater #2 drain tank 2-1.

• • н. *,* . · • • •

.

. .

.

,

Section 4.4.3 Evaluation of Postulated Break Locations

For the most part the analysis performed on Unit 1 can be directly applied to Unit 2 due to their similarities. The Unit 2 analyses which differ from Unit 1 are discussed in the following paragraph.

The turbine building is the only area in which any significant changes occur. Line numbers 1192, 1193, 1396, 1398, 2685, 3593, and 4198, which were analyzed for Unit 1, are not part of the Unit 2 system; therefore, they do not appear in the Unit 2 tables. However, line numbers 4034, 4035, 4036, 4187, 4188, and 4189, which are unique to Unit 2, have been analyzed, and the specific protection that the restraints on these lines provide is presented in Table 4.4-34.

• • • • . . • · , ų

•



Tables 4.4-1 to 4.4-34 are Restraint Summaries of Unit 2 lines; and Figures 4.4-1 to 4.4-16 are pipe whip mathematical models and break locations of lines similar to Unit 1.

Section 4.5 Structural Evaluation for Unit 2 is the same as for Unit 1. In Table 4.5-1 to Table 4.5-14 Comparison of Applied Restraint Loads to Allowable Restraint Loads, slight differences have been noted.

Section 5.0 Design Modifications deals with the design requirements of Rod Restraint which were not included in Unit 1. Discussion of Design Loads, Additional Structural Modifications and Impingement Barriers and Sleeves concludes the differences between the units. Other Tables and Figures are similar to Unit 1 in format.

. ۰ ۰ , . . -1 • , 

#### 5.0 DESIGN MODIFICATIONS

This section defines the requirements for design modifications which, upon implementation, will provide a protection system that meets the criteria and objectives of this report. In most instances effective alternative designs are feasible, so the suggested designs should not be construed as the only means for providing the required protection. However, the comprehensive analyses of this evaluation have demonstrated that with the suggested modifications the events related to the rupture of a high energy line will not prevent safe shutdown of the reactor. Furthermore, since the efficacy of the system is sensitive to the modification requirements, significant deviations may create an imbalance such that reanalysis of the affected areas would be required.

#### 5.1 Design Requirements for Rod Restraints

The major element of the proposed protection system is the rod restraint. Rods have been designated for numerous locations along main steam and feedwater lines 1, 2, 3, and 4 and along other significant high energy lines throughout the plant, as identified in Section 4.4. In order for the restraint systems to function properly, each rod restraint must meet certain design specifications relating to rod diameter and length, effective gap, and material properties.

Tables 5-1 through 5-10 define the requirements for rod dimensions and maximum effective gaps for proposed restraints in Areas I and II. Unless otherwide noted, a set of two parallel U-bolts (four rods) of the dimensions specified are required at each location. In order to minimize the effective gap and thus reduce the dynamic loads, rod-beams (Figure 4.5-1) rather than U-bolts have been used at numerous locations.

í . • . . • м

a

5.1.1 Effective Gaps and Set Positions .

The effective gap value, which is one of the parameters of the dynamic pipe whip analysis, provides the basis for establishing the position of the U-bolt (or rod-beam) with respect to the pipe. Effective gap values are related to pipe and restraint geometry by one of two relationships which depend on the direction of predicted thermal movements. The first case is applicable when increased pipe temperatures induce thermal movement toward the restraint, and the second case is applicable when thermal movement is away from the restraint.

Case 1 (See Figure 5-1)

 $EG = C_{T_1} + 0.285 (ID - OD) + g$ 

Case 2 (See Figure 5-1)

$$EG = C_r + \delta_r + 0.285 (ID - OD) + g$$

where: `

ID = Inside diameter of U-bolt saddle = OD +  $2C_m + \delta_m$ 

OD = Outside diameter of the pipe (or impingement sleeve) .

C<sub>T</sub> = Minimum allowable transverse clearance between the saddle and pipe (or impingement sleeve) as established by design criteria

δ<sub>T</sub>

= Absolute value of transverse thermal deflection of the pipe from cold to hot position

•

• •

ı.

т н

- $\delta_L$  = Absolute value of longitudinal thermal deflection of the pipe from cold to hot position
- EG = Effective gap for the pipe in the hot condition
  - = Radial gap between impingement sleeve and pipe (if applicable)

For a rod-beam restraint, the effective gap is the clearance between the rod beam and the pipe in the hot position.

Since the effective gap does not represent the physical distance between the pipe and rod, for the final design and field adjustment of the U-bolts and rod beams it will be necessary to determine the set position dimensions (transverse and longitudinal gaps) for the cold pipe position. The set positions are related to the effective gaps for the two possible thermal movement cases as follows.

U-bolt:

 $G_{L} = EG_{R} - 0.285 (ID - OD) + \delta - g - T$ 

Rod-Beam:

$$G_{L} = EG_{R} + \delta - g - T$$

where:

G<sub>L</sub> = Longitudinal gap between saddle (or rod-beam) and pipe in the cold position

 $\delta = \begin{cases} +\delta_{\rm L}, \text{ Case 1} \\ -\delta_{\rm L}, \text{ Case 2} \end{cases}$ 

• • •

T = Fabrication and installation tolerance

 $EG_R = Maximum$  allowable effective gap as defined in Tables 5-1 through 5-10.

5.1.2 Material Property Requirements In order to ensure that unacceptable loads are not developed in the rod restraints, or at attachment structure, annealed 304 material properties should, in general, be certified within specified bounds. All analyses were based on

the assumption that the material properties meet the following requirements.

. The actual stress-strain curve shall fell below the upper bound curve shown in Figure 4.5-2. This requirement limits upper bound loads.

- 2. The strain associated with the ultimate stress ( $\epsilon_{\sigma_{u}}$ ) shall be greater than 0.43 in/in. This requirement insures adequate capacity for the rods.
- 3. The available strain energy for the actual material shall be greater than that provided by the nominal material (Figure 4.5-2). This restriction can be represented by the following relationship:

$$E = \int_{0}^{c_{ma}} \sigma(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \ge 13.57$$

۰ •

• • •

.

• • •

,

 $E = strain energy per unit volume (\frac{in-kips}{2})$ 

 $\sigma$  = stress (ksi)

where

 $\epsilon = strain (in/in)$ 

 $\varepsilon_{\rm ma} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\sigma_{\rm u}}}{2} ({\rm in}/{\rm in})$ 

 $\epsilon_{\sigma u} = \cdot \text{strain at ultimate stress (in/in)}.$ 

This requirement effectively establishes the acceptable lower bound.

If the actual properites do not meet the preceding requirements, load acceptability may be confirmed by satisfying either of two options:

- 1. The rod diameter and/or length may be appropriately adjusted, or
- 2. The restraint allowable is shown to be adequate when the deviations
  - are taken into account.

#### 5.1.3 Design Loads

Although existing structure is available at some restraint locations, supplementary structure will generally be necessary to provide load reaction and rod attachment points. Conceptual designs have been established for supplementary steel structure but precise capacitics have not been determined. To provide an added safety margin, development of preliminary concepts to final designs will be based on design loads equal to 1.25 times the predicted upper bound restraint load (See Section 4.5.3). The design loads and the type of rod attachment structure are tabulated for each restraint in Tables 5-1 through 5-10.

۰. ,

. 

.

• • . . • • N .

**、** r n

.

#### 5.2 Additional Structural Modifications

Modification to selected existing frame restraints in the form of structural shims is necessary to control the amount of kinetic energy generated during a ruputre event. The shims act to reduce the gap through which the pipc moves prior to impact and thus are effective in attenuating the dynamic load amplification at restraints. A list of shim requirements with clearance dimensions is provided in Tables 5-11 and 5-12.

Other changes to existing restraints, aside from the addition of rods, consist of adding supplementary beams, strengthening connections by welding or increasing the number of bolts, and increasing bolt preload. In addition, some secondary members must be removed or trimmed in order to provide adequate clearance to prevent impact during spurious pipe motion. A description of each of the necessary modifications is provided in Table 5-13.

Additional secondary modifications to the plant design include:

- 1. Retention of the construction opening in the wall along row J at elevation 115' in area GW.
- Adding doors in wall openings of area GE between EL. 115' and EL. 140' with design capacity to withstand 3.3 psi overpressure.

#### 5.3 Impingement Barriers

Due to rerouting and relocation of a substantial portion of the electrical - equipment and conduit, the requirements for jet impingement barriers in area

• • • 1 . · , ħ

GE/GW for Unit 2 are less extensive than was the case for Unit 1. Only one barrier is needed to afford adequate protection from postulated breaks in this area. The barrier will be attached to the containment outer wall at a location west of the penetrations for main steam and feedwater line 4. The jet forces will not directly impinge on the barrier so expected design loads are negligible.

In order to protect the component cooling water heat exchangers and the associated electrical conduits, values and piping from the effects of jet impingement, it is necessary to construct an impingement barrier around the exchangers. The barrier will consist of steel plates supported by a steel frame structure similar to the barrier design for Unit 1. The frame extends from six feet south of column line 19 past the south end of the component cooling water heat exchangers and from this point to row G and is between the foundation at El. 85'and the substructure for the floor slab at El.  $104'-7'_{2}$ ". The wide flange beams are attached to the foundation with bolted base connections and beam attachment at El. 104' is accomplished by connecting to the existing structural steel. The steel plates will be welded to the support structure except at the south end, where the panels are removable.

#### 5.4 Jet Impingement Sleeves

Impingement sleeves at eighteen main steam and feedwater pipe elbows have been proposed as a means of preventing unacceptable jet impingement onto essential equipment and structures. Some of the sleeves will also be fitted with end barriers to prevent the jet from escaping through the annular space

:

٢ » ، • 7 •

at the termination points of the sleeve. The basic sleeve and end barrier designs will be similar to those used for Unit 1. Locations, thickness, length and end barrier requirements for each of the sleeves are presented in Tables 5-14 and 5-15.

· · • . • . . . . . •

Pod Prior to Londing



- Denotes Pipe in Hot Position

Case 2

с<sup>г</sup>

Hot Pipe Moves Avay From Saddle.

Centerline of Pige Fricr to Loading Effective Gap, EG Load Direction

Rod During Loeding With Effective Gar Closed

FIGURE 5-1 EFFECTIVE GAPS AND SET POSITIONS FOR U-BOLT RESTRAINTS

·\* · ` . ¥ er effektiv . r Istoria ,

.

# TABLE 4.3-1

# DIABLO CANYON UNIT 2

	REACTION H	FORCE	APPROXIMATION	FOR	SUBCOOLED	WATER	HICH	ENERGY	LINE
--	------------	-------	---------------	-----	-----------	-------	------	--------	------

	-					•	Ferce
-		Break	Operating	Operating	Saturation	Force.	Steady
·	Destand from	Area	Pressure	Temperature	Pressure	Initial	State
Line No.	Lescription	$(ft^2)$	(psig)	(°₽)	(psig)	(Kivs)	(Kips)
		•	×				
93	FW PPS to Phos. Mix Tee	0.0205	1015.3	371	160	2.997	0.95
189	Main Steam Leads 1 & 2	0.3326	918.3	536	916	(43.981)	87.7
459, 460, 481	FW Htr Outlet	1.2271	546.3	202	∿0	96.532	0,50
482, 483, 484	FW Htr Outlet	1.2271	545.3	258	. 11	96.536	6.0
485, 486, 487	FW Htr Outlet	1.2271	544.3	310.	· 62	96.179	21.9
491 .	FW Htr Bypass	1.2271	550.3	· 202	<b>∿</b> 0	97.239	7.2
493	FW Htr Cond/Hdr	1.9688	544.3	310	62	154.312	35.2
494, 495, 496	FW Htr Inlet	1.2271	544.3	310	62	96.179	21.9
497, 498, 499	FW Htr Outlet	1.2271	543.3	367	151	95.002	53.4
500	FW Bypass	1.5764	544.3	310	62	123.556	28.1
501 <sup>2</sup>	Fw Htr Outlet Header	4.4794	543.3	367	151	350.447	136.4 <sup>2</sup>
503, 504	FW Pump Suction	2.7917	465.3	369	156	187.053	125.4
538	W Pumps Disch HDR	5.080	1015.3	371 .	160	742.712	234.086
541, 542	FW Pump Discharge	2.3889	1015.3	371	160 ·	349.265	110.1
543	FW Inlet Hdr	4.1307	1015.3	371	160	603.922	190.3
544, 546, 548	FW Htr Inlet	1.4180	1015.3	371	160	207.316	. 65.3
545, 547, 549	FW Htr Outlet	1.4180	1015.3	434	343	207.316	140.1
550	FW Htr Header	4.1307	1015.3	434	343	603.922	408.0
551	FW Htr Bypass .	0.8521	1015.3	434	343	124.580	84.2
552, 553	FW Pump Recir.	0.3174	1015.3	371	160	46.404	14.6
554, 555, 556	SG FW Supply	1.1174	1015.3	434	343	163.367	110.4
and 557		•	•	•		•	
569, 570, 571	Aux FW Lines	0.0458	1285.3 .	434	343 ·	8.477	4. 🚘
572, 575, 576	Aux FW Lines -	0.0458	1285.3	.434 .	343	8.477	4.5
577, 578	Aux FW Lines	0.0458	1285.3	434	343	8.177	4.5
694	Feedwtr PP 2-2 Recirc	0.3474	1015.3	371 .	. 160	50.791	. 37.118
812, 813, 814	Mi Turo Stm Inlet Drain	0.0205	915.3	536	916	(2.702)	5.4
and 815		-	•	,-		*	· ·
820	HP Turb Drain	0.0205	383.3	444 -	. 383	(1.131)	. 2.3
830, 832	NS Dump Drip Leg	0.2007	902.3	536	916	(26.077)	52.9
836	Rentr Start-Up Vent	0.0123	902.3	534	901	(1.598)	. 3.2
-							_
			•		•		

.

•

ι. . · · · ·

4 \*

• •

.

## TABLE 4.3-1 (Cont.)

DIABLO CANYON UNIT 2

# REACTION FORCE APPROXIMATION FOR SUBCOOLED WATER HIGH ENERGY LINES

		[		·.			Forus
1	-	Brook	Operating	Operating	Seturation	Force	Steady
		Area	Proceiro	Ternorsture	Processo	Tritial	Steta
Line No	Decomintion	$(\hat{\tau}^{+2})$	(neic)	(02) Temperature	(ncig)	(Kinc)	(Xine)
<u></u>					(051%)	(1105)	112.337
. 857	MI Steam Dump Drip	0.0123	902.3	534 .	901	(1.598)	3.2
1040, 1041	S.G. Blowodwn Hdr	0.0294	918.3	536	916	(3,888)	7.8
1042, 1043	S.G. Blowdown Hdr	0.0294	918.3	536	915	(3.888)	7.8
1101	FW Htr Bypass	2.7917	550.3	367	151	221.223	121.6
1104	Htrs Conds Out Hdr	1.2271	546.3	202	~~~	96.532	3.2
1105, 1107	Htrs Conds Out Hdr	1.9688	546.3	202	~0	154.980	2.0
1106	Htrs Conds Out Hdr	2.7917	546.3	202	$\sim$	219.615	1.4
1109	Htrs Conds Out	2.7917	544.3	310	62	218.811	49.8
1111,1112,1113	Etr Cond. Inlet	1.2271	544.3	202	$\sim 0$	96.161	0.30
1179	Htr Drain Discharge	1.5764	493.3	373	165	111.980	74.9
1183	Htr Drain Recirc.	0.2007	493.3	373	165 ·	14.257 .	9.5
1226,1227,1228	FW Heater Cnds Drip -	0.3472	367.3	381 .	183	18.364	18.3.
1338	NW 40% Dump Drip Dran	0.01227	920.3	536	916	(1.625)	- 3.2
1357	RC PPS Barrier Hdr	0.1465	2485.3	650	1993	(52.430)	84.1
1359, 1363	FW Htr Conds Rv In	0.0513	420.3	381	183 -	3.105	2.7
1375,1377,1379	FW Htr Level Spill	0.3472	367.3	381	183	18.363	18.3
1361	FW Htr Cnds RV 97 In	0.0513	420.3	381	183	3.105	2.7
1899	FW Pump Discharge	4.1307	1015.3 .	371	160	603.922	190.3
2478, 2479	SG FW Lead	2.3889	1015.3	434	343	349.265	236.0
3013	FW PP 1-2 Recirc to Cnds	0.3472	1015.3	370	158	50.762	15.8
3701	Htr 1 Extr Stm PCV Dr	0.0205	383.3	444 *	383	(1.132)	2.3
3411,3412,3413	MI Stm Leads 4	0.01227	915.3	<sup>-</sup> .535	908	(1.617)	3.2
3415	MN Stm Leads Drain Hdr	0.3326	915.3	535	903	(43.838)	87.0
.3419,3420,3421	MN Stm Leads 3	0.01227	915.3	535 .	908	(1.617)	3.2
3425, 3426, 3427	141 Stm Leads 2	0.01227	915.3	535	908	(1.617)	3.2
3431,3432,3433	MI Stm Leads 1	0.01227	915.3	535	908	(1.617)	3.2
3438,3439,3440	MI Stm Lead Turb. Dr	0.02051	915.3	535	908	(2.703)	5.4
34,71	MI Stm Lead Turb. Dr	0.02051	915.3	535	. 908	(2.703)	5.4
3444, 3445	MN Stn Lead FCV Dr	0.01227	915.3	535	· 908 · · ·	(1.417).	3.2
1		1	ł	1	<b>}</b>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

.

• • a 

, .

## TABLE 4.3-1 (Cont.)

#### DIABLO CANYON UNIT 2

REACTION FORCE APPROXIMATION FOR SUBCOOLED WATER HIGH ENERGY LINES

Line No.	Description	Break Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Operating Pressure (psig)	Operating Temperature (°F)	Saturation Pressure (psig)	Force Initial (kips)	Force <sup>1</sup> Steady State (kips)
3446, 3447 3768, 3769 3770, 3771 3774 3870 3874, 3876, 3878 3860 3874, 3876, 3878 3860 387, 3888, 3869 3890, 3892 3894 3917, 3918 3972, 3974, 3975 3977, 3979, 3980 4007 4012, 4013, 4014 4015, 4016, 4017 4012, 4013, 4014 4015, 4016, 4017 4031, 4032, 4033 4034, 4035, 4036 4047, 4048, 4049 4050, 4051, 4052 4054, 4055, 4056 4057, 4058, 4059 4057, 4058, 4059 4055 4184, 4185, 4186 4187, 4188, 4189 4190, 4191, 4192 4193, 4194, 4195	MN Stm Lead FCV Dr MN Stm Lead Drip Pot MI Stm Lead Drip Pot Htr 1 Extr Stm DP SG 1 & 2 FW Lead SG Blwdn Cleanup Hdr SG Blwdn Cleanup Hdr Retrs LP DP/DR Retrs LP DP/DR W Rhtrs LP Htg Stm DP Dn MI Stm Leads BP Retr Drn TR 2-X Cnd Dump Retr Drn TR 2-X Cnd Dump Htr 2 Drn Pump Disch Hdr Retr 2-X LP Htg Stm Out. Retr 2-X LP Htg Stm Out. Retr 2-X LP Htg Stm Out. Wst HP Drn Tnk 2-1X Drip Est MJ-R Hp Dr Tk 2-2X" Wst HP Drn Tnk 2-2X Drip Est MS-R Hp Dr Tk 2-2X" Retr 2-2X HP Htg Stm Out. Retr 2-2X HP Htg Stm Out. Re	0.01227 0.02051 0.02051 0.02051 0.0205 0.0205 0.0205 0.02051 0.006 0.2006 0.2006	915.3 915.3 915.3 383.3 1015.3 918.3 918.3 383.3 383.3 383.3 915.3 902.3 902.3 902.3 902.3 902.3 902.3 902.3 902.3 371.3 371.3 889.3 889.3 889.3 889.3 889.3 889.3 375.3 371.3 371.3 371.3 371.3	535 535 535 444 434 536 536 536 442 442 536 534 534 534 534 532 532 532 532 532 532 532 532 532 532	908 908 908 363 343 916 916 375 375 908 901 901 165 367 367 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 88	(1.617) (2.703) (2.703) (1.132) $5^{1}9.270$ (2.710) (2.710) (1.132) (1.132) (1.132) (1.132) (1.132) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (43.254) (42.630) (18.775) (18.775) (18.775) (18.775) (18.775) (18.775) (18.775) (10.725) (10.725) (10.725) (10.725)	3.2 5.4 5.4 2.3 371.1 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 13.4 $60.48^2$ 37.322 36.718 36.728 39.128 43.33 21.433 21.433

NOTES: <sup>1</sup>Steady state force assumed to start at time zero if the initial force is less than steady state force. Initial force is in parenthesis if less than steady state force.

<sup>2</sup>A thrust coefficient of 1.4 was used to establish steady state force (see Section 3.5.3 of Ref. 4).

**ب** • • • • £ • ε<sub>λ</sub> . • r. . , 

.

TABI	ΞЦ	. 3-	2
			_

DIABLO CANYON UNIT 2

REACTION FORCE APPROXIMATION FOR SUBCOOLED BORIC ACID SOLUTION<sup>1</sup> HIGH ENERGY LINES

Line No.	Description	Break Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Operating Pressure (psig)	Operating Temperature (°F)	Saturation Pressure (psig)	· Force <sup>2</sup> Initial (Kips)	Force <sup>2</sup> Steady State. (Xips)
26	Let Down Ht/Exch Inlet	0:02331	350	380	181.0	· (1.18)	·1.22 ·
				· ·			Ť
							-
4						. • •	-
			· ·		•.		•
							•
	•••						
			· .		•	т	
· .					· 、 ·		• •

NCTES: <sup>1</sup>Assures Boric Acid solution acts like water.

<sup>2</sup>Steady state force assumed to start at time zero if the initial force is less than steady state force. Initial force is in parentheses if less than steady state force.



.

## TABLE 4.3-3

DIABLO CANYON UNIT 2

REACTION FORCE APPROXIMATION FOR SATURATED STEAM HIGH ENERGY LINES

Line No.	Description	Break Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Operating Pressure (psia)	Force <sup>1</sup> Initial (Kips) ·	Force <sup>1</sup> Steady-State (Kips)
225, 226	Steam Outlet Lines	3.687	933	487.6	613.7
227, 228	Steam Outlet Lines	3.687	.933	487:6	613.7
4332	Rehtr 1 & FW Htr 1 Stm Hdr	2.882	398	159.1	See Fig. $3-37^2$
583, 584	HP Turb Main Steam Leads	3.687	933	487.6	613:7
565, 586	HP Turb Main Steam Leads	3.687	933	487.6	613.7
587	40% Dump Hdr	3.220	930	424.4	534.2
589	Lead 4 to 40% Dump Hdr	2.653	930	349.7	440.2
590	35% PCV Header	4.293	933	567.7	714.6
591, 592	Lead 2/4 to 35% PCV Header	1.485	· 933	196.4	247.2
593, 594	Aux FP-1 Steam Leads	0.0884	893	11.2	14.1
595, 596	Rehtr 1/2 HP Htg Stm Sup. Hdr	0.7375	933	97.5	122.8
597,598,599	Rehtr/HP Htg Stm Inlet	0.3326	917	43.2	54.4
601 <sup>3</sup> ,602,603	Rehtr HP Htg Stm Inlet	0.3326	917	43.2	See Fig. $3-36^3$
616,617,618	Rehtr/LP Hts Stm Inlet	0.3472	390	18.8	23.7
619,620,621	Rehtr/LP Htg Stm Inlet	0.3472	390.	18.8	23.7
632, 633	FP 1/2 Dr Turb Main Stm	0.0884	893	11.2	14.1
662,663,661	1:0% Dump Route	0.7375	930	97.2	122.4
665,666,667	40% Dump Route	0.7375	917	95.8	120.6
668,669,670	1:0% Dump Route	0.7375	917	95.8	120.6
·671,672,673	40% Dump Route	0.7375	917 -	95.8	120.6
758, 759 ·	Lead 1/3 35% PCV Hdr	1.485	933	196.4	247.2
760	Aux FP Turb 1 Main Stm	0.0884	933	11.7.	14.7
799,800,801,802	HP Turb Stm to LP Rehtr	0.7854	398	43.4 * *	54.8
· 803	HP Turb Ext to LP Reht	1.6229	398	89.6,	113.3
804, 805	Rehtrs 1/2 LP Htg Stm Sup Hdr	0.7854	398	43.4	· 54.8
806, 807	40% Stm Dump Hdr	1.485	930	195.7	246.4
808,809	40% Stm Dump Hdr	3.220	930	• 424.4.	534.2 .
. 810	Gland Main Stm Supply	0.0884	930	11.7	14.7
. 811	HP Turb Stm to LP Rehtr	1.6223	398	89.6	113.3
816,817,818,819	HP Turb Stop Valve Drain	0.02051	930	2.7	3.4

۰. ۰. ۰. 

• •

## TABLE 4.3-3 (Cont.)

DIABLO CANYON UNIT 2

REACTION FORCE APPROXIMATION FOR SATURATED STEAM HIGH ENERGY LINES

Line No.	Description	Breek Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Operating Pressure (psia)	Force <sup>1</sup> Initial (Kips)	Force <sup>1</sup> Steady-State (Kips)
$\begin{array}{c} 1063, 1084\\ 1065, 1066\\ 1196, 1197, 1198\\ 1244\\ 1245\\ 2273, 2274, 2275\\ 2391, 2392\\ 2395\\ 2446\\ 2948\\ 3552\\ 3871, 3878, 3875, 3877\\ 3879, 3881, 3883, 3885\\ 4018, 4019, 4020\\ 4021, 4022, 4023\\ 4069\\ 4091, 4093\\ 4091, 4093\\ 4095, 4097, 4099, 4101\\ \end{array}$	Rehtr Excess Stm Hdr. MN Stm Lead Relief VLV Hdr FW Htr Extr Stm Inlet FW Htr Oper Vent Manifold FW Htr Oper VH Leads 3/2/1 40% Dump Hdr LP Rehtr Excess Stm FW Htr 1 Vent Hdr Aux Sy Mn Stm Sup Header MI Stm Gland Sup X-T1B FW Htrs 1 Starting Vent Hdr Rehtr Hp Coil Excess Stm Rehtr Lp Coil Excess Stm Rehtr 2-1X HP Equalizing VT Flash Tank Vep Outl to Cond Rehtr 2-1X LP Dr Ln Vent Hdr Babtr 2-1X LP Dr Ln Vent Hdr	0.01227 2.6528 0.9576 0.02051 0.06840 2.6528 0.01227 0.0884 0.3326 0.0884 0.02051 0.01227 0.01227 0.01227 0.01227 0.05134 0.05134 0.2006 0.05134	917 933 382 382 933 378 382 930 930 382 930 382 917 378 917.1 917.1 917.1 933.0 386.0	1.6 350.8 50.6 1.1 4.7 350.8 0.6 4.7 43.8 11.7 1.1 1.6 0.6 6.671 6.671 26.526 2.745 2.745	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0\\ 441.6\\ 64.1\\ 1.4\\ 5.9\\ 441.6\\ 0.8\\ 5.9\\ 55.2\\ 14.7\\ 1.4\\ 2.0\\ 0.8\\ 8.264\\ 8.264\\ 8.264\\ 32.866\\ 3.457\\ 3.457\\ 3.457\end{array}$

NOTES: <sup>1</sup>Initial force not used. Steady state force was assumed to act at time zero.

<sup>2</sup>PRTHRUST run mede (see Figure in Reference 4).

<sup>3</sup>Frictional losses considered (see Figure in Reference 4).

. . .

, ,

• •

·

¢., •

.

Interfaces mong; the computer codes, and leatures of the codes which maintain; compliance with the Acceptance Criteria. The individual codel are described in a detail in References (3) through (6).

The enalysis presented here was performed using the October, 1975 version of the Restinghouse Evaluation Model. This version includes the modifications to the models, referenced sboys, as specified by the NEC in Reference (7) and complies with Appendix K of LOCPR Part 50. The October, 1975 Westinghouse Evaluation Hodel is documented in References (8) through (10).

The analysis were performed using so upper head fluid temperatore equal to the hot leg temporature. The effect of using the hot leg temporature in the reactor vessel upper head region is described in References (13) and (14). An analysis was performed using the Salom No. 2 plant as a reference plant (Reference 15), The only significant differences between Disblo Canyon and the reference plant is in the containment parameters which are discussed in detail below. Thus, the reference analysis was used for satablishing the limiting break for Diablo Canyon. The containment has internal steel and concrete structural heat sinks which conform to the guidelines of Branch Tachmical Position CSB 6-1. The reference analyses identify Cn = 0.6 as the limiting break discharge coefficient; the reanalysis for <u>Plab</u>le Canyon is based on C<sub>D</sub> = 0.6:

Hoblo Canyon Unit 2 parameters are used to perform the molysis. Unit 2 is at a ligher power (3411 Mat) then Unit 2, and in addition, a comparative analysis was performed at the same power level with both with, which showed that Unit 2 parameters resulted in a peak clad temperature 14°F bigher than Voit 1. Thus, Unit 2 parameters are conservative for Unit 1.

ATSAT

CHARS

The containment backpressure is cslculated using the methods and assumptions described in Reference (2), Appendix A. Input parameters used in the reference analysis and the Diablo Canyon analysis are presented in Tables 3x and 3b, respectively.

The containment initial conditions of 90°F and 14.7 pairs are representatively low values enticipated during normal full-power operation. The initial relative banidity was conservatively assumed to be 98.8 percent.

The condensing hast transfer coefficients used for heat transfer to the steel containment structures for the limiting breaks are given in Figure 16a for the reference malysis and Figure 16b for the Diabio Canyou analysis.

15,40-3

. . -

• ۴

. .

• • •

June 20, 1977

To: Loon Engle, USNEC

Prome J. R. Mach/W. H. Mutting, Pass

3

Subject: Equipment Failures During a Degraded Grid Voltage Condition at Hillstone, Unit 2 (Diable Canyon Units 1 and 2)

In reference to Mr. John F. Spolate lenner of June 6, 1977, due to time required to collect data and perform analyses, we expect to submit the requested information by October 15, 1977.

> N. H. Hubling WHTMatting

NHN:03

٠,

ب**ي**د. د

¥ ę

**)** 

.

,

۲ k i

• •

"Temperature Monitoring of ESF Electrical Equipment"

1977

ROUGH DRAFT

May 5.

-----

<u>Scope</u>: The system should provide continuous monitoring and a continuous record of the ambient temperatures in spaces containing major ESF electrical components.

The system should be redundant in nature with redundant sensors, recorders, alarms and power sources.

The system should alert the control operator of any high temperature conditions. Attached is a table listing equipment spaces, recorders and alarms. <u>Discussion</u>: Each equipment space will have 2 strip chart recorders capable of providing many days of continuous temperature records. The temperature sensor, · probably an RTD or T/C, shall be suitably mounted in the space to sense ambient temperature.

Each recorder shall have a contact closure output whenever a predetermined set point is exceeded. This output shall feed into a local alarm unit with visual indication and identification of problem area. The local alarm units retransmit a common alarm signal to the annunciator in the Main Control Room. Each local alarm unit is capable of reflashing the main annunciator each time a new off-normal condition is detected even though one alarm condition exists.

· · . **、** e '\_\_\_\_\_\_

•

. • • •

y . .

EQUIPMENT SPACE	ELEV.	TEMP. RECORDER	POWER SOURCE	LOCAL ANNUNC.	ELEV.	MAIN CONTROL ROOM ALARM WINDOW
480V BUS F	100	TR4FA	1A	ANT-1A	100	480V BUS VENT FAILURE
11	100	TR4FB	1B	ANT-1B	100	11
480V BUS G	100	TR4GA	1A	ANT-1A	100	11
<u>с</u> и	100	TR4GB	18	ANT-1B	100	U
480V BUS H	100	TR4HA	1A	ANT-1A	100	88
11	100	TR4HB	1B	ANT-1B	100	11
BATTERY 11	115	TRB11A	<sup>2</sup> 2A	ANT-2A	115	BATTERY/DC EQUIP. VENT FAILURE
11	115	TRB11B	2B	ANT-2B	115	11
BATTERY 12	115 .	TRB12A	2A	ANT-2A	115	11
11	115	TRB12B	2B	ANT-2B	115	11
BATTERY 13	115	TRB13A	2A	ANT-2A	115	11
11	115	TRB13B	2B	ANT-2B	115	11
D.C. EQUIP. 11	115	TRD11A	2A	ANT-2A	115	11
11	115	TRD11B	2B	ANT-2B	115	11
D.C. EQUIP. 12	115	TRD12A	2A	ANT-2A	115	11
**	115	TRD12B	2в	ANT-2B	115	<b>t</b> 1
D.C. EQUIP. 13	115	TRD13A	2A	ANT-2A	115	и
11	115	TRD13B	2B	ANT-2B	115	11

.

5

-1-

۰,

•

,

• • • •

,

e

.

•

, **,** ,

RHR PUMP 11	64	TRRHR1A	3A	ANT-3A	73	E.S.F. PUMP ROOM, HIGH TEMP
89	64	TRRHR1B	3B	ANT-3B	73	н
RHR PUMP 12	64	TRRHR2A	3A	ANT-3A	73	11
13	64	TRRHR2B	3B	ANT-3B	73	11
SPRAY PUMPS	73	TRSPA	3A	ANT-3A	73	11
11	73	TRSPB	3B	ANT-3B	73	11
CHG PUMP 1	73	TRCP1A	3A	ANT-3A	73	11
. 11	<sup>,</sup> 73	TRCP1B	3B	ANT-3B	73	н
CHG PUMP 2	73	TRCP2A	3A	ANT-3A	73	11
11	73	TRCP2B	3B	ANT-3B	73	11
CHG PUMP 3	73	TRCP3A	3A	ANT-3A	73	u
11	73	TRCP3B	3B	ANT-3B	73	11
CCW PUMP 1	73	TRCC1A	3A	ANT-3A	73	
11	73	TRCC1B	3B	ANT-3B	73	11
CCW PUMP 2	73	TRCC2A	3A	ANT-3A	73	11
11	73	TRCC2B	3B	ANT-3B	73	, II ,
CCW PUMP 3	73	TRCC3A	3A	ANT-3A	73	
rt	73	TRCC3B	3B	ANT-3B	73	11
S.I. PUMP 1	85	TRSI1A	3A	ANT-3A	73	11
11	85	TRSI1B	3B	ANT-3B	73	n

-2-

-\* \* \* \*

• •

•

.

**.** 

v

'n

S.I. PUMP 2	85	TRS12A	3A	ANT-3A	73	E.S.F. PUMP ROOM HIGH TEMP
11	85	TRS12B	3B	ANT-3B	73	́ н
DIESEL GEN. 11	85	TRDG11A	4 <b>A</b>	ANT-4A	85	DIESEL/4KV SWGR HIGH TEMP
11	<sup>*</sup> 85	TRDG11B	4B	. ANT-4B	. 85	11
DIESEL GEN 12	85	TRDG12A	4A	- ANT-4A	85	u .
11	85	TRDG12B	4B	ANT-4B	85	11
DIESEL GEN 13	85	TRDG13A	4A	ANT-4A	85	"
11	85	TRDG13B	4B	ANT-4B	85	и.
4KV SWGR BUS F	119	TRSGFA	4A	ANT-4A	85	11
H .	119	TRSGFB	4B	ANT-4B	85	<b>11</b> *
4KV SWGR BUS G	119	TRSGGA	4A	ANT-4A	85	**
	119	TRSGGB	4B .	ANT-4B	85	11
4KV SWGR BUS H	119	TRSGHA	4A	ANT-4A	85	11 *
"	119	TRSGHB	4B	ANT-4B	85	11
CABLE SPREAD ROOM	128	TRCSA	54	ANT-5A	128	CABLE SPREADING RM/SSPS HI-TEMP
- 11	128	TRCSB	5B	ANT-5B	128	11
SSPS ROOM	140	TRPSA	5A	ANT-5A	128	11
11	140	TRPSB	5B	ANT-5B	128	•• .

а ў.

۹ ۱ ۲ . ۲۰۰۹ کریٹر در

5

.