

FILE: _____

FROM: Pacific Gas & Electric San Francisco, CA F. T. Searls			DATE OF DOC 6-18-74	DATE REC'D 6-20-74	LTR X	TWX	RPT	OTHER
TO: Directorate of Licensing			ORIG 1 signed	CC 42	OTHER	SENT AEC PDR XXX SENT LOCAL PDR XXX		
CLASS XXX	UNCLASS	PROP INFO	INPUT	NO CYS REC'D 43		DOCKET NO: 50-275/323		

DESCRIPTION:
Ltr trans the following.....

PLANT NAME: DIABLO CANYON UNITS 1 & 2

ENCLOSURES:
DO NOT REMOVE
Appendix 1 to Emergency Plan....Interim Evacuation Plan.....

**DISTRIBUTION AS PER V. WILSON
ACKNOWLEDGED**

(343 cys encl rec'd)

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION 6-21-74 GMC

BUTLER (L)	SCHWENCER (L)	ZIEMANN (L)	REGAN (E)
W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS
CLARK (L)	STOLZ (L)	DICKER (E)	
W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS
DADD (L)	VASSALLO (L)	KNIGHTON (E)	
W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS
KNIEL (L)	PURPLE (L)	YOUNGBLOOD (E)	
W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS	W/ CYS

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG FILE	<u>TECH REVIEW</u>	DENTON	<u>LIC ASST</u>	<u>A/T IND</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AEC PDR	HENDRIE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRIMES	DIGGS (L)	BRAITMAN
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OGC	SCHROEDER	GAMMILL	GEARIN (L)	SALTZMAN
MUNTZING/STAFF	MACCARY	KASTNER	GOULBOURNE (L)	B. HURT
CASE	KNIGHT	BALLARD	KREUTZER (E)	
GIAMBUSSO	PAWLICKI	SPANGLER	LEE (L)	<u>PLANS</u>
BOYD	SHAO		MAIGRET (L)	MCDONALD
MOORE (L)(LWR-2)	STELLO	<u>ENVIRO</u>	REED (E)	CHAPMAN
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEYOUNG (L)(LWR-1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOUSTON	MULLER	SERVICE (L)	DUBE w/input
SKOVHOLT (L)	NOVAK	DICKER	SHEPPARD (L)	E. COUPE
GOLLER (L)	ROSS	KNIGHTON	SLATER (E)	
P. COLLINS	IPPOLITO	YOUNGBLOOD	SMITH (L)	D. THOMPSON (2)
DENISE	TEDESCO	REGAN	TEETS (L)	KLECKER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG OPR	LONG	PROJECT MGR	WILLIAMS (E)	EISENHUT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILE & REGION (3)	LAINAS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILSON (L) LV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HIRONS
MORRIS	BENAROYA	HARLESS		
STEELE	VOLLMER			

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LOCAL PDR SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA	(1)(2)(10)-NATIONAL LABS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-PDR-SAN/XXXX
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 - TIC (ABERNATHY)	1-ASLBP(E/W Bldg, Rm 529)	1-BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 - NSIC (BUCHANAN)	1-W. PENNINGTON, Rm E-201 GT	1-G. ULRIKSON, ORNL
1 - ASLB	1-B&M SWINEBROAD, Rm E-201 GT	1-AGMED (RUTH GUSSMAN)
1 - P. R. DAVIS	1-CONSULTANTS	Rm B-127 GT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 16 - ACRS HOLDING	NEWMARK/BLUME/AGBABIAN	1-RD..MUELLER, Rm F-309
		..GT

17



.....
.....

.....



.....

.....

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PG&E + 77 BEALE STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 • (415) 781-4211

FREDERICK T. SEARLS
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL
JOHN C. MORRISSEY
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL
WILLIAM B. KUDER
WILLIAM E. JOHNS
MALCOLM H. FURBUSH
CHARLES T. VAN DEUSEN
MALCOLM A. MACKILLOP
PHILIP A. CRANE, JR.
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL



NOEL KELLY
HENRY J. LAPLANTE
RICHARD A. CLARKE
GILBERT L. HARRICK
EDWARD J. MCGANNNEY
JOHN B. GIBSON
GLENN WEST, JR.
ARTHUR L. HILLMAN, JR.
CHARLES W. THIBSELL
ROBERT OHLBACH
DANIEL E. GIBSON
SENIOR COUNSEL
DAN GRAYSON LUBBOCK
BERNARD J. DELLASANTA
JACK F. FALLIN, JR.
HOWARD V. GOLUB
DONALD L. FREITAS
JAMES C. LOGBODD
JOSEPH S. ENGLERT, JR.
LOUIS F. SCHOFIELD
ROBERT L. BORDON
DENNIS C. SULLIVAN
KATHY GRAHAM
ROBERT L. HARRIS
LINDA B. FRIEDMAN
GERALDINE M. RANDALL
J. PETER BAUMGARTNER
ROBERT N. SCHIFF
RICHARD L. MEISS
ATTORNEYS

Director
Directorate of Licensing
Office of Regulation
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Re: Docket No. 50-275-OL
Docket No. 50-323-OL
Units 1 and 2
Diablo Canyon Site

Dear Sir:

Enclosed in support of our application for an operating license for Units 1 and 2 at the Diablo Canyon Site are 43 copies of Appendix 1 to the Diablo Canyon Power Plant Emergency Plan. This appendix consists of the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department Interim Evacuation Plan.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the above material on the enclosed copy of this letter and return it to me in the enclosed addressed envelope.

Very truly yours,

F. T. Searls

Enclosures

CC w/enc.: Mr. Frederick Eissler c/o Mr. Gordon Silver
Ms. Elizabeth E. Apfelberg } c/o Ms. Sandra A. Silver
Ms. Sandra A. Silver }
Mr. John Forster } c/o Mr. John Forster
Mr. Lonnie Valentine }

CC w/o enc.: Lawrence J. Chandler, Esq.



The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures that the financial statements are reliable and can be audited without any discrepancies.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. These include direct observation, interviews, and the use of specialized software tools. Each method has its own strengths and limitations, and it is essential to choose the most appropriate one for the specific research objectives.

The third part of the document focuses on the results of the study. It presents a series of tables and graphs that illustrate the trends and patterns observed in the data. The findings suggest that there is a significant correlation between the variables being studied, which supports the hypothesis that was initially proposed.

Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the key findings and a discussion of their implications. It suggests that the results of this study could be useful for other researchers in the field and for practitioners who are looking for ways to improve their processes.

The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the data collected during the study. It shows the distribution of responses across different categories and highlights any notable differences between the groups being compared.

The data indicates that a large majority of respondents are in favor of the proposed changes, with only a small percentage expressing concerns. This suggests that the changes are well-received and likely to be successful.

In addition, the study found that there are several factors that influence the level of support for the changes. These include the perceived benefits, the ease of implementation, and the involvement of staff in the decision-making process.