

Comments to Braidwood Initial License Examination Outline Submittal

1. ES-301-5 sheets for Scenario 2: Reactivity event for the ATC operator should be Event number 6, not number 5.
Licensee Response: Typo fixed.
2. Scenario #4: Technical Specification calls are not required for this scenario since, per the schedule, Scenario #4 SRO position will be filled by a surrogate. The only change I'd consider for the scenario is having Event #1, not be a failed surveillance test, since Technical Specification call is not required to be made by surrogate.
Licensee Response: Scenario #4 will stay as is. If required, we can ask ATC to make TS call if needed. Otherwise, surrogate SRO will be briefed that TS call not required and to continue with scenario.
3. Raise SI accumulator level JPM was performed in last NRC exam. JPM c should have code "P" (previous) added to Type Code box.
Licensee Response: JPM from last exam was similar to but not identical to JPM this exam.
4. On Written Examination Outline, Forms 401-2 and 401-3, ensure that column labeled "#" contains the written exam question number that corresponds to K/A on the 401 forms.
Licensee Response: Forms 401-2 and 401-3 will include written exam question in "#" column for corresponding K/A.
5. Need to review licensee's Audit Exam for duplication with NRC Exam.
Licensee Response: Licensee to provide audit exam outline at a later date.
UPDATE: 14 Audit exam questions that appeared to be similar to NRC exam questions were reviewed by the chief examiner. No issues were identified.