

October 12, 2016

MEMORANDUM TO: Mark Tonacci, Chief
Licensing Branch 1
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

FROM: Rocky D. Foster, Project Manager */RA/*
Licensing Branch 1
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

SUBJECT: MEETING SUMMARY CLOSED MEETING WITH NUSCALE
POWER, LLC TO DISCUSS NUSCALE'S DRAFT TOPICAL
REPORT ON NON-LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT
EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

On September 13, 2016, representatives of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and NuScale Power, LLC (NuScale) held a closed meeting at the NuScale office located at 11333 Woodglen Avenue, Suite 205, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, for the NRC staff to conduct a page-turn evaluation and discuss NRC staff's questions on the draft non-loss of coolant accident evaluation methodology topical report (TR).

To prepare for the meeting, the NRC staff performed an initial cursory review of the TR. The NRC staff identified potential issues that would prevent docketing of the TR for review by NRC staff. These issues are identified below. The NRC staff makes no claims that this list is complete, and that additional items could be revealed once a more thorough acceptance review is performed.

Items identified by NRC staff that would prevent docketing include:

- The TR needs to include detail on what approval is being sought. The current statement focuses on the evaluation model, but the contents of the TR extend beyond the evaluation model (e.g. input parameters and initial conditions, interface requirements with other evaluation models).
- The TR contains unjustified statements regarding the treatment of delayed neutron fraction and neutron lifetime. The treatment of these parameters in the TR appears to be unconservative and inconsistent with past precedent.

CONTACT: Rocky D. Foster, NRO
301-415-5787
rocky.foster@nrc.gov

- The conservative pressure bias direction to minimize the critical heat flux ratio is not consistent with known physical trends. The cited reference is the subchannel methodology. Further justification is required, as the subchannel methodology TR does not appear to justify this.
- Criteria for identification of the limiting case for subchannel analysis needs to be identified. These criteria need to be justified.
- Low flow and stagnation critical heat flux ratio evaluation needs further justification. The current discussion lacks sufficient detail to support a technical review. In particular, it remains unclear whether the correlation is implemented into NRELAP5. Additional justification regarding the applicability to the NuScale fuel design, within the context of how it is implemented into the evaluation model, needs to be provided (e.g. models used in data reduction as applicable).

At the conclusion of the meeting, the NRC project manager asked each NRC staff participant if they had any additional questions or concerns. No additional items were identified by the NRC staff. With no further discussion, the meeting was adjourned.

The list of meeting attendees is included in the Enclosure. The meeting notice and agenda are available in Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) with Accession No. ML16242A092. ADAMS is the system that provides text and image files of NRC's public documents and can be accessed at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or have problems accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room staff at (800) 397-4209, (301) 415-4737, or pdr@nrc.gov.

Enclosure:
Meeting Attendees

cc: NuScale DC ListServ

- The conservative pressure bias direction to minimize the critical heat flux ratio is not consistent with known physical trends. The cited reference is the subchannel methodology. Further justification is required, as the subchannel methodology TR does not appear to justify this.
- Criteria for identification of the limiting case for subchannel analysis needs to be identified. These criteria need to be justified.
- Low flow and stagnation critical heat flux ratio evaluation needs further justification. The current discussion lacks sufficient detail to support a technical review. In particular, it remains unclear whether the correlation is implemented into NRELAP5. Additional justification regarding the applicability to the NuScale fuel design, within the context of how it is implemented into the evaluation model, needs to be provided (e.g. models used in data reduction as applicable).

At the conclusion of the meeting, the NRC project manager asked each NRC staff participant if they had any additional questions or concerns. No additional items were identified by the NRC staff. With no further discussion, the meeting was adjourned.

The list of meeting attendees is included in the Enclosure. The meeting notice and agenda are available in Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) with Accession No. ML16242A092. ADAMS is the system that provides text and image files of NRC's public documents and can be accessed at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or have problems accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room staff at (800) 397-4209, (301) 415-4737, or pdr@nrc.gov.

Enclosure:
Meeting Attendees

Distribution

Public	RFoster, NRO	RidsOgcMailCenter
GCranston, NRO	MTonacci, NRO	RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter
MBrown, NRO	RKaras, NRO	JSchmidt, NRO
TDrzewiecki, NRO	RSkarda, NRO	ABurja, NRO

ADAMS Accession No.: ML16274A148

***via email**

NRC-002

OFFICE	PM: NRO/DNRL/LB1	LA: NRO/DNRL/LB1	BC:NRO/DSRA/LB1	PM: NRO/DNRL/LB1
NAME	RFoster*	MBrown*	RKaras*	GCranston*
DATE	10/03/2016	10/ 03 /2016	10/07/2016	10/12/2016

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

List of Meeting Attendees

September 13, 2016

NuScale Power, LLC
11333 Woodglen Ave., Suite 205
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Attendee Name	Affiliation
Tim Drzewiecki	NRC
Alex Burja	NRC
Rocky Foster	NRC
Michael Zavisca	ERI
Mohsen Khatir-Rahbar	ERI
Erick Ball	ERI
Steven Mirsky	NuScale
Steve Pope	NuScale
Meghan McCloskey	NuScale
Dean Throckmorton	NuScale
Albert Ghara Khanian	NuScale
Archie Manoharan	NuScale
Kent Welter	NuScale (Corvallis)
Clavoio Delfino	NuScale (Corvallis)
Ben Bristol	NuScale (Corvallis)
Kenny Anderson	NuScale (Corvallis)
Brian Wolfe	NuScale (Corvallis)
Yeuw June Yoo	NuScale (Corvallis)
Wendell Wagner	NuScale (Corvallis)
Jeannie Wike	NuScale (Corvallis)
Urmi Shome-Dinath	NuScale (Corvallis)

Enclosure