

From: Barela, Maximo [mailto:Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2016 3:41 PM
To: Garcia Santos, Norma <Norma.Garcia-Santos@nrc.gov>
Cc: McKirgan, John <John.McKirgan@nrc.gov>
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review
Importance: High

Norma,

NNSA chooses Option 1, to continue NRC's review of 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1. Therefore, please "Continue with the review of the application the staff received and review the upcoming revision of the 435-B CoC to include organic material separately."

Thank you for NRC's support of NNSA & its missions.

R/Max
505-845-5902

From: Garcia Santos, Norma [mailto:Norma.Garcia-Santos@nrc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 2:55 PM
To: Barela, Maximo
Cc: McKirgan, John
Subject: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review

Good afternoon Maximo,

I talked to my supervisor about the licensing action that it is currently in-house for the 435-B and the additional licensing action that you mentioned to include organics as authorized contents of the Model No. 435-B. Since you informed me that the user would not be able to make a shipment if the 435-B cannot be used for shipping organic material, there are the following options:

1. Continue with the review if the application the staff received and review the upcoming revision of the 435-B CoC to include organic material separately. The application in house may be completed by January 2017 and the second submittal would not be completed in January 2017.
2. Withdraw the application that you have in house and consolidate both changes in one application. The review would not be completed by January 2017.

My supervisor was onboard about having a pre-application meeting.

It is your decision as the applicant to tell us how you want to proceed. Please let me know your preference. Meanwhile, we are proceeding with the review of the application that we received, unless you inform me that we should stop the review.

Thanks,

Norma

From: Barela, Maximo [<mailto:Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 12:55 PM

To: Garcia Santos, Norma <Norma.Garcia-Santos@nrc.gov>

Subject: [External_Sender] RE: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review

Importance: High

Norma,

I thought I should write down what we agreed to during yesterday's (9/14/16) telephone conversation to ensure NNSA's plan complies with our agreement.

I stated the following:

1. NNSA's planned goal is to make the first shipment using the 435-B on January 10, 2017.
2. The 435-B package cannot be used until the NRC approves SAR Revision 4, and the NRC issues the corresponding CoC revision. That SAR Revision 4 is needed to, add correct and complete information and analyses to address organic materials that cannot be removed from proposed contents. Although I did not identify the SAR chapter that may be effected during our teleconference, my guess is the following – 1, General Information, 2, Structural Evaluation, 3, Thermal Evaluation, maybe 4, Containment, and Chapter 7, Operations.
3. That I would provide a rough roadmap for SAR Revision 4 (i.e., for revising SAR revision 3.1 to SAR Revision 4). Since I will be out of the office at close-of-business today and am not scheduled to return until the morning of September 28, 2016, God willing, I probably will not provide the Roadmap to you until September 28, 2016.
4. That I had tasked LANL to have Areva Federal Services, LLC (Areva) accelerate its preparation and submission of SAR Revision 4 to NNSA to earlier than currently scheduled date of 11/15/16.

You believed the NRC could do the following, but needed to get your supervisor's concurrence:

1. Issue the NRC 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 review acceptance view letter.
2. Support NRC's review of 435-B SAR Revision 4 as discussed

Please let me know at earliest convenience when you have gotten your supervisor's concurrence, and if she/he does not concur please let me know that too. I may come to my office for a short period on 9/19/16, but as I stated earlier - I will not be back in the office earlier than the morning of 9/28/16. Therefore, if you are unable to get your final answer to me prior to 4:45 PM Mountain time today, please send your answer to my office e-mail address and m_mb7073@msn.com.

I hope to hear your answer soon.

Thank you for your support of NNSA.

R/Max

505-845-5902

From: Garcia Santos, Norma [<mailto:Norma.Garcia-Santos@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 3:09 AM
To: Barela, Maximo
Subject: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review

Good morning Max,

Sorry you missed me. I have meeting today at 9:00 am. You can call me after 10am and should be in the office by then.

Thanks,
Norma

From: Barela, Maximo [<mailto:Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 4:36 PM
To: Garcia Santos, Norma <Norma.Garcia-Santos@nrc.gov>
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review
Importance: High

Norma,

Sorry about calling so late. I called your office number to talk to you about the additional justification for the 435-B SAR Revision 3.1 accelerated review you requested. Your answering service stated you were out of the office so I left you a voicemail requesting that you call me back.

Given that its already 4:35 PM Eastern time I will not try to reach you again today. Therefore, unless you tell me otherwise I will plan to call you again early (i.e., after 6:15 AM Mountain time) tomorrow morning.

R/Max

From: Barela, Maximo
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 2:12 PM
To: 'Garcia Santos, Norma'
Subject: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review

Norma,

I haven't forgotten the question you asked me last Thursday. I know I still owe you a response to your question about the priority for NRC's review of the subject SAR.

Los Alamos National Laboratory representatives are schedule to come down to my office in Albuquerque to discuss this issue tomorrow morning, and I plan to provide you our response after I have met and discussed this issue with them.

Sorry for the delay.

R/Max
505-845-5902

Email Properties:

Received: from HQPWMSMRS04.nrc.gov (172.17.48.13) by HQPWMSMRS04.nrc.gov (172.17.48.13) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 15.0.1044.25 via Mailbox Transport; Wed, 28 Sep 2016 15:40:52 -0400

Received: from HQPWMSMRS08.nrc.gov (172.17.48.18) by HQPWMSMRS04.nrc.gov (172.17.48.13) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 15.0.1044.25; Wed, 28 Sep 2016 15:40:52 -0400

Received: from HQPWMSMRS06.nrc.gov (172.17.48.15) by HQPWMSMRS08.nrc.gov (172.17.48.18) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 15.0.1044.25; Wed, 28 Sep 2016 15:40:51 -0400

Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by HQPWMSMRS06.nrc.gov (172.17.48.15) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 15.0.1044.25 via Frontend Transport; Wed, 28 Sep 2016 15:40:51 -0400

Received-SPF: Pass (mail2.nrc.gov: domain of Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov designates 205.254.128.11 as permitted sender) identity=mailfrom; client-ip=205.254.128.11; receiver=mail2.nrc.gov; envelope-from="Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov"; x-sender="Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov"; x-conformance=spf_only; x-record-type="v=spf1"

Received-SPF: None (mail2.nrc.gov: no sender authenticity information available from domain of postmaster@mailgate.doe.gov) identity=helo; client-ip=205.254.128.11; receiver=mail2.nrc.gov; envelope-from="Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov"; x-sender="postmaster@mailgate.doe.gov"; x-conformance=spf_only

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 3.5

X-MID: 223095983

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

A0AGAQAhhOxXhwuA/s1TBwMaAQEBAQIBAQEBCAEBAAQGDCTYBAQEBAWcOTgQqB40rmgiRFYIGhh4CgWA4FAECAQEBAQEBAQEBARMBAQEIDQkJGS+EYQEBAQEDEgEWBMBAR0aAQ8CAQIGEQBASEBBgcyFAkIAQEEDgUIGogRAxehNQGBKAecYQUoAopwhTABAQWESBQEG08BAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEUCIY4hFOCR4FVKg

wTFQIPgmiCEh2UDhWFUgYBhxqCEQWIKIRkhDaEZIxsgkaBNx6DRQ4RC4FQcgGGMQF/AQEB

X-IPAS-Result:

A0AGAQAHOxXhwuA/s1TBwMaAQEBAQIBAQEBCAEBAQGDCTYBAQEBAWcOTgQqB40rmgiRFYIGhh4CgWA4FAECAQEBAQEBAQEBARMBAQEIDQkJGS+EYQEBAQEDEgEWBMBBAR0aAQ8CAQIGEQQBASEBBgcyFAkIAQEEDgUIGogRAxehNQGBKAECYQUoAopwhTABAQWESBQEG08BAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEBAQEUCIY4hFOCR4FVKgwTFQIPgmiCEh2UDhWFUgYBhxqCEQWIKIRkhDaEZIxsgkaBNx6DRQ4RC4FQcgGGMQF/AQEB

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="5.30,411,1470715200";
d="scan'208,217";a="223095983"

Thread-Topic: RE: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review

Subject: [External_Sender] RE: Docket Number 71-9355,
435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1 Review

Received: from mailgate.doe.gov ([205.254.128.11])

by mail2.nrc.gov with ESMTP/TLS/AES256-SHA; 28 Sep 2016 15:40:48 -0400

X-WSS-ID: 00E8BZX-01-53B-02

X-Outbound: DOEHQ-Passed

X-M-MSG:

Received: from gcc01-dm2-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com (mail-
dm2gcc01lp0047.outbound.protection.outlook.com [23.103.198.47])

(using TLSv1.2 with cipher ECDHE-RSA-AES256-SHA384 (256/256 bits))

(No client certificate requested)

by mailgate.doe.gov (Postfix) with ESMTPS id 2C7D9AB5575;

Wed, 28 Sep 2016 15:40:44 -0400 (EDT)

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=USDOE.onmicrosoft.com;
s=selector1-nnsa-doe-gov;

h=From:Date:Subject:Message-ID:Content-Type:MIME-Version;

bh=XaVs+DVtfJjmtZHd4nds0DebaNbPzOyZDag/qQhQ6QQ=;

b=AQH2ijYngtGnUG6xgxnlfjZ8nSc5rus7RM3sbWbMo+8EueYdmqlYPWK8s2nqao0q4IicQ
NQ74TsyisG4FsC64cE2JxeKOIrL5flnKUzqvbrp3we8ZBV8jcdIITLjucetEXcu2jg4Tf+HIFKM
BbCNH6pYqilJo3VHddCUhP4cErU=

Received: from BN1PR09MB0259.namprd09.prod.outlook.com (10.160.80.20) by
BN1PR09MB076.namprd09.prod.outlook.com (10.255.203.26) with Microsoft SMTP
Server (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA384_P384)
id

15.1.639.5; Wed, 28 Sep 2016 19:40:42 +0000

Received: from BN1PR09MB0259.namprd09.prod.outlook.com ([10.160.80.20]) by
BN1PR09MB0259.namprd09.prod.outlook.com ([10.160.80.20]) with mapi id

15.01.0639.013; Wed, 28 Sep 2016 19:40:42 +0000

From: "Barela, Maximo" <Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov>

To: "Garcia Santos, Norma" <Norma.Garcia-Santos@nrc.gov>

CC: "McKirgan, John" <John.McKirgan@nrc.gov>

Thread-Topic: Docket Number 71-9355, 435-B Transport Package SAR Revision 3.1

Review

Thread-Index: AdIUSncTeShmER8eSEGSznFOg2/zZAFdHPpA

Importance: high

X-Priority: 1

Disposition-Notification-To: "Barela, Maximo" <Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov>

Date: Wed, 28 Sep 2016 19:40:42 +0000

Message-ID:

<BN1PR09MB025947220CEE36D236602AA0D0CF0@BN1PR09MB0259.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>

References: <85941b0bf2db4634bcbdccffc6e2c275@HQPWMSMRS04.nrc.gov>

In-Reply-To: <85941b0bf2db4634bcbdccffc6e2c275@HQPWMSMRS04.nrc.gov>

Accept-Language: en-US

Content-Language: en-US

X-MS-Has-Attach:

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

authentication-results: spf=none (sender IP is)

smtp.mailfrom=Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov;

x-originating-ip: [206.197.198.20]

x-ms-office365-filtering-correlation-id: e93f992f-80a9-479e-3b3d-08d3e7d7554f

x-microsoft-exchange-diagnostics:

1;BN1PR09MB076;20:sjiyrtvq8N+bIODuaYp/A+iSFmrAkAHE5jnAv/Pf8ZZqA4R0cXZimYd

ZmlI4RWJcmd7uve2MfUjJxsJxuWugWjREBatxXntKz8JSioGit+0u6/CmFPvTKnI0OhHgXra

W2i3K9Uc3Sa/SA0WyUL9HAesa0KIKg6DD9V9hcDoizr92Ewkd/2TIXqFVIBDT4not4IySdp

HJt1lm05qJK2ag4roM5DE/6AULbxbi+/axdORQQHuxjv8R9knhEWKcCen

x-microsoft-antispam: UriScan::BCL:0;PCL:0;RULEID::SRVR:BN1PR09MB076;

x-microsoft-antispam-prvs:

<BN1PR09MB076733EC01EB53E5B55B4D2D0CF0@BN1PR09MB076.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>

x-exchange-antispam-report-test: UriScan:(219297518888565)(21748063052155);

x-exchange-antispam-report-cfa-test:

BCL:0;PCL:0;RULEID:(6040176)(601004)(2401047)(5005006)(8121501046)(3002001)(10201

501046)(6055026);SRVR:BN1PR09MB076;BCL:0;PCL:0;RULEID::SRVR:BN1PR09MB076;

x-forefront-prvs: 0079056367

x-forefront-antispam-report:

SFV:NSPM;SFS:(10009020)(7916002)(199003)(377454003)(504944002)(42274003)(189002)(

2950100002)(102836003)(2906002)(6116002)(586003)(4326007)(16236675004)(33656002)(56

60300001)(19625215002)(790700001)(110136003)(6916009)(87936001)(42882006)(7696004)(

19580395003)(19580405001)(106356001)(99286002)(105586002)(19300405004)(15975445007

)(86362001)(2900100001)(122556002)(77096005)(450100001)(3660700001)(81166006)(54356

999)(81686999)(76576001)(76176999)(101416001)(345774005)(74316002)(7846002)(1899980

01)(8936002)(3846002)(50986999)(7736002)(3900700001)(97736004)(3280700002)(81156014

)(68736007)(11100500001)(92566002)(8676002)(9686002)(10400500002)(66066001)(3259440

08)(5002640100001);DIR:OUT;SFP:1101;SCL:1;SRVR:BN1PR09MB076;H:BN1PR09MB025

9.namprd09.prod.outlook.com;FPR::SPF:None;PTR:InfoNoRecords;A:0;MX:1;LANG:en;

spamdiagnosticoutput: 1:99

spamdiagnosticmetadata: NSPM

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="_000_BN1PR09MB025947220CEE36D236602AA0D0CF0BN1PR09MB0259namp_"
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-originalarrivaltime: 28 Sep 2016 19:40:42.2230 (UTC)
X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-fromentityheader: Hosted
X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-id: 6b183ecc-4b55-4ed5-b3f8-7f64be1c4138
X-MS-Exchange-Transport-CrossTenantHeadersStamped: BN1PR09MB076
Return-Path: Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-Network-Message-Id: f45ec59b-8971-4069-e276-08d3e7d75a84
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AVStamp-Mailbox: SYMANTEC;1;0;info
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AVStamp-Enterprise: 1.0
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthSource: HQPWMSMRS06.nrc.gov
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthAs: Anonymous