| From:    | Harris, Paul                                                                               |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| To:      | KLINE, Dave; bmeadors@southernco.com                                                       |
| Cc:      | Gott, William; Smith, Will; Zaleski, Brian; Khanna, Meena; Doyle, Daniel; Benowitz, Howard |
| Subject: | Lunchtime Drop-In = Part 26 and Incorporation By Reference                                 |
| Date:    | Monday, September 19, 2016 1:29:00 PM                                                      |

Dave and Brian,

Thank you for dropping in at the lunch table with Howard and I to discuss options to help prevent recurrent rulemaking to update Part 26 drug testing provisions with those detailed in the HHS guidelines for federal workplace drug testing programs. Three options discussed: (1) full industry commitment to the Guidelines in their Security Plans; (2) IBR via the 49 CFR Part 40 provisions; and, (3) amendment of the Energy Policy Act or some other congressional tool.

I note that no regulatory decisions or commitments were made.

R, Paul *Paul Harris* 

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission / Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response Division of Security Policy / Security Programs Support Branch Senior Program Manager, Fitness for Duty Programs, Drugs and Alcohol

| **⊆** E-mail: <u>Paul.Harris@NRC.gov</u> | **☎** Office: (301) 287-9294 | **⊆** Fax: (301) 287-9347 | **⊡**Cell: (240) 498-9691 The information in this response is provided as a public service and solely for informational purposes and is not, nor should be deemed as, an official NRC position, opinion or guidance, or "a written interpretation by the General Counsel" under 10 CFR 26.7, on any matter to which the information may relate. The opinions, representations, positions, interpretations, guidance or recommendations which may be expressed by the NRC technical staff responding to an inquiry are solely the NRC technical staff's and do not necessarily represent the same for the NRC. Accordingly, the fact that the information was obtained through the NRC technical staff will not have a precedential effect in any legal or regulatory proceeding.