

CP 99. 0254

December 17, 1998  
East Hampton, NY, 11937  
~~December 16, 1998~~

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland

Dear Ms. Shirley Jackson:

I'm a resident of East Hampton, New York and I am very concerned because of Millstone 3 nuclear power plant. Over the time it has been running it has shut down more than five times. Once it was shut down for two years and they bought their electricity from another source. This is much easier because there is no danger of radiation.

This is just the beginning because there is no escape plan for Long Island. Because of the shape of Long Island there are only four routes to go, but there is one area where a four lane street turns into a two lane and there is bound to be an accident. After a while there will be a closed lane and all of the people who do not have boats will be suck. Also the millstone three nuclear power plant has been caught dumping nuclear waste in the wrong places. They were caught dumping a lot of nuclear waste in the Long Island Sound that contained tridium. That is very dangerous stuff once that gets caught up in the food chain people might get cancer. I think that's enough to shut the plant down.

I feel very strong about this problem and I hope this can be taken care of. Please write back with your response. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
John Dayton



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Camilo Valencia  
317 Accabonac Rd.  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr./Ms. Valencia:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Scott Bluedorn  
30 Lee Avenue  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Bluedorn:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 2000

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

James Van Nostrand  
Village of Southampton  
23 Main Street  
Southampton, NY 11968-4899

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Van Nostrand:

Thank you for your letter sent to former Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



516 283-0247  
FAX 516 283-4990

## Village of Southampton

23 MAIN STREET  
SOUTHAMPTON, NEW YORK 11968-4899

VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR  
JAMES A. VAN NOSTRAND  
VILLAGE TREASURER  
ALISON M. BURRELL  
VILLAGE ATTORNEY  
RICHARD E. DEPETRIS  
BUILDING INSPECTOR  
JONATHAN B. FOSTER

MAYOR  
JOSEPH P. ROMANOSKY, JR.  
TRUSTEES  
JACOB BUCHHEIT  
WILLIAM M. MANGER, JR.  
JOSEPH A. McLOUGHLIN  
ROY L. WINES, III

December 14, 1999

Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, Chair  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Subj : Board Resolution

Dear Dr. Jackson:

Please be advised that the Board of Trustees, at their December 10, 1999 public session, approved the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Village of Southampton is a mid-size residential village containing a year round population of approximately 5,000 residents, and

WHEREAS, the function of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), a federal agency regulating interests in the energy industry, is to protect the health and safety of the public, and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the Village of Southampton is ultimately responsible for the safety, health and emergency preparedness of the citizens of the Village, and

WHEREAS, there is an apparent lack of any federal requirements for Northeast Utilities in Waterford, Connecticut to include the East End of Long Island in its evacuation planning, despite the fact that the reactors are located approximately fifteen miles across the Long Island Sound from the shores of eastern Long Island, and have evidenced the poor record of compliance to safety regulations; Northeast Utilities have not been permitted to operate the three reactors since 1985 due to operational problems, including improper procedures for refueling; Millstone One has been permanently shut down due to past safety violations, however, the NRC granted permission for Northeast Utilities to reopen and operate Millstone Two and Millstone Three; Millstone Three is presently running since June 1998 and Northeast Utilities will be trying to restart Millstone Two in the Spring of 1999; and


WHEREAS, the Village of Southampton, together with other communities of the East End, have concerns that the requirements of

safety and a safety-conscious work environment have not been adequately shown by Northeast Utilities to neighboring communities, despite recent approvals granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

WHEREAS, research has shown that even low level radiation from an operating nuclear reactor is carcinogenic; a risk of increased cancer rates due to radioactive pollution is cited; and the potential exists that nuclear accidents at these sites across the Long Island Sound may pose health and safety threats to the communities of the Peconic Region with catastrophic effects to our population, ecosystems, and local economy and no evacuation plan in existence, no requirements for such plan for Northeast Utilities, and no possible evacuation plan appears forthcoming,

NOW, THEN, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees of the Village of Southampton hereby requests the permanent closure of the entire Millstone Nuclear Facility in Waterford, Connecticut.

Sincerely,



James Van Nostrand  
Village Administrator



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 29, 1999

James Merrell  
31 Carlisle Lane  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Merrell:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*Adria T. Byrdson*  
for Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, Chair  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Dr. Jackson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*James Mesell*  
131 Carlisle Lane  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963





OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 29, 1999

Susan Merrell  
31 Carlisle Lane  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Merrell:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*for* *Adrian T. Byrdson*  
Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, Chair  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Dr. Jackson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Susan Merrell  
31 Carlisle Ln  
Sas Harbor 11963

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 26, 1999

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Alex Bluedorn  
30 Lee Ave.  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Bluedorn:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*Adrian T. Byrdson*  
for Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

30 Lee Ave.  
East Hampton. NY.  
11937  
December 16, 1998

REC'D BY SECY Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman N.R.C  
18 MAR 99 3: 11 1555 Rockville pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Chairman Jackson:

I would like to voice my opinion on the millstone nuclear power plant and how and why it should be shut down because of Long Island. We are fortunate to live on this beautiful island with great beaches. There is one problem though, there is no evacuation plan for this island that we live on.

First of all I don't like the whole idea of nuclear power plants. I have a list of reasons why I don't like this plant. In January the power plant goes on the list of nations worst run power plants in the world. The state found over 100 unlicensed contractors working on Millstone. N.R.C fines them 650,000 for safety reasons. Also the states sue them for a million dollars for violations of clean water rules and N.R.C sues again for not meeting safety reasons.

Long Island is at risk of a nuclear disaster because it has no evacuation plan! It has no evacuation plan because the whole island is surrounded by water. Which would make gridlock on the long island expressway the only way off the island.

Thank you for your time Ms. Jackson. I think this is a major issue and I Don't want to have my future destroyed. We are 10 miles away from the plant I want to keep my future here and raise my kids. This is important to me and others.

Thanks,  
ALEX BLUEDORN  
*Alex Bluedorn*

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 26, 1999



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY


Peter Deutsch  
1700 Village Lane  
P.O. Box 171  
Orient, NY 11957

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Deutsch:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*for*   
Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, Chair  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

REC'D BY SECY

Dear Dr. Jackson,

18 MAR 99 3:12 I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



Peter Deutsch  
1700 Village Lane, PO Box 171  
Orient, NY 11957  
Phone: 516 323 3815  
Fax: 516 323 2379  
E-mail: deutsch@peconic.net

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 26, 1999



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Tahlia Miller  
317 Three Mile Harbor Road  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Miller:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*Adria T. Byrdson*  
for Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 26, 1999


B. Wachsberger  
Box 473  
Orient, NY 11957

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr./Ms. Wachsberger:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*for*   
Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, Chair  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Dr. Jackson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Rudina Walshe*  
Orient NY

WALSBERGER  
BX 473  
ORIENT NY 11957

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C. 20555-0001

March 26, 1999



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Dan Welden  
P.O. Box 520  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Welden:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*for* *Adria T. Byrdson*  
Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, Chair  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Dr. Jackson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



DAN MELBER  
POST OFFICE BOX 520  
CAG HARBOR NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 26, 1999

Mariah Whitmore  
5 Alexis Court  
Amagansett, NY 11930

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Whitmore:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*for* *Adrian T. Byrdson*  
Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

CLP 49-0253

5 Alexis court  
Amagansett, New York, 11930  
December 16, 1998

Mrs. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms. Jackson,

I am very concerned about Millstone. I live on Long Island, New York about 10 miles away from the Millstone 3 power plant. It is said that if you live or are 10 miles or farther away you are out of the danger of a melt down. What about the wind that can carry radiation and harmful materials over on to Long Island? Our water supply will be contaminated. Also are you really sure people are safe 10 miles away? What about your people that live so close to the power plant?

Millstone 3 was shut down for two years because of 1,200 outstanding safety violations. Millstone had numerous valve problems, 3 Mile Island had valve problems that caused the accident. A one billion-dollar over haul was used to get it back on line, but in five months following the reactor had five emergency shut downs. Also unit 3 went back on line because the owners couldn't take the cost of it staying closed not because it was ready for operation.

Nuclear reactors produce Iodine 131, Cesium 137, and Strontium 90, which are all very hazardous to humans when in the food chain [biological concentration]. Radiation caused by meltdowns can affect humans in many ways. Radiation can destroy or distort molecules in the cell, break DNA strands, cause various kinds of cancer, birth defects and genetic mutations.

Thyroids, gonads and bone marrow are all very sensitive to radiation. And one rem of gamma radiation can cause a 5% increase in the risk of cancer. An acute dose of 600 rem will usually result in death within a 60-day period.

Think about our chances of getting radiation. The Chernobyl nuclear reactor melt down gave 24,000 people out of 116,000 serious radiation doses of about 45 rem. It was

also forecasted there would be 100-200 cancer deaths in the next few decades. The radiation caused by this meltdown traveled for hundreds of miles even reaching here on Long Island. The government has no evacuation plan that will get all of us off the island. If anything happens in the reactor it'll take a bigger toll out on Long Island and the residents living around the reactor. Please shut the power plant down.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

*Mariah Whitmore*

Mariah Whitmore

insville

865



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 23, 1999

Cynthia Beer  
P.O. Box 74,  
Orient, NY 11957

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Beer:

We received a copy of your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Senator Joseph Bruno regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The copy of the letter you had sent to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy was referred to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Senator Joseph Bruno  
909 LOB  
Albany, NY 12247

Dear Senator Bruno,

I am writing to ask you to support the passage of bill #A3465/S2022. This bill will provide a greater number of people protection in the event of radiological emergency. We in Eastern Long Island feel that Connecticut's Millstone Nuclear Power Plant, 12 miles away from us, poses a great threat to our health and safety. I am frustrated that there is no evacuation plan for our area in case of emergency.

It is also important for the medical community and the public to be informed about potassium iodide (KI). This would offer the population some protection in case of radiological emergency (it acts as a thyroid blocker for radioactive iodine 131). It should be stockpiled and distributed to medical personnel, pharmacists, and school nurses.

The Millstone Nuclear Power Station has a long history of systematically violating safety regulations; in fact, it is operating under probationary controls. Please pressure the NRC officials to block any attempts to restart Reactor #2.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is responsible for public health and safety in regards to the operation of nuclear power facilities. The legislation, A3465/S2022, directs the NRC to expand its emergency planning for the Millstone plant in order to fulfill its mandate.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,

*Cynthia Beer*  
CYNTHIA BEER  
P.O. Box 74  
ORIENT, NY 11957





UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 23, 1999


Eleanor Swan  
22 Washington Avenue  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Swan:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

  
Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Eleanor Swan*

*Eleanor Swan  
22 Washington Ave  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963*



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Dan Asselin  
3 Woodhollow Drive  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Asselin:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

3 Woodhollow Drive  
East Hampton, NY 11937  
January 7, 1999

Mrs. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman, N.R.C.  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Chairman,

I am a loyal citizen of East Hampton, NY. I would like to inform you about a nuclear reactor in New London, Connecticut called millstone III. It has had 5 emergency shut downs in the last 5 months. It recently opened this august with a broken valve. This flinstone operation nuclear plant has violated 1200 safety regulations in the last 2 years. I suppose I would be more confident in this plant if my beautiful island paradise if it were part of the emergency evacuation plan. But unfortunately the meltdown evacuation plan is only available within a ten-mile radius of the plant. East Hampton is 11 miles from millstone reactor. Heaven forbid the plant was to melt down, the gases would emerge from within the tanks and the northerly winds would carry them to Long Island. Every single thing it touches becomes contaminated. Here we are citizens of eastern Long Island, like sitting ducks. East Hampton is very isolated. And even if there was an evacuation plan for eastern Long Island, it couldn't possibly save every person around.

God forbid it mam, but if Millstone 3 were to melt down today, the results would be catastrophic. We would not know about it until it was to late. The gases would have already contaminated the miles of beautiful, spacious farmland. The sirens would not scream any savior armies with oxygen masks to take us away. A silent doom. The news channels would slowly catch on, and report from their safe high rises and skyscrapers in Manhattan. Then, the exodus begins. Twenty thousand people panicking to their cars, trying to get as far away from here as they possibly can. But the gridlock on the highway only allows them to get only so far before they realize there is no way out. Now, this may seem to you as a bit of an exaggeration. But believe me, it could definitely happen. I hope you understand that I do not stand alone with this opinion. Others are afraid of this plant as well. Women and children. Remember that if millstone 111 melts down, all the people living on eastern Long Island would never be allowed back ever again. Billions of dollars of property, gone. I have invested every penny I've ever had in my home, and I want only the best for my children. Please, anything you can do to help the people of eastern Long Island would be greatly appreciated by all.

Thank you for your time,

Sincerely,

Dan Asselin



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Scott Bluedorn  
30 Lee Avenue  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Bluedorn:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

30 Lee Avenue  
East Hampton, NY  
11937  
March 10, 1999

Mrs. Shirley Jackson  
Chairwoman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Mrs. Jackson

I am a concerned citizen of Long Island, and I am very concerned with the safety aspects of the Millstone 3 Nuclear Power plant based in Waterford, Connecticut. I am most of all concerned about the event of a nuclear meltdown of this facility due to the build up of various radio-active materials such as Plutonium, radium and other dangerous radioisotopes, as well as the poor upkeep and other technical problems such as "leaky valves". I know this has been a past problem in Millstones history and that the reactor has been shut down on a **temporary** basis repeatedly for numerous safety violations, and yet it still remains operational.

I am writing this letter as a concerned citizen in request of your authority, and not only for the many people involved if such a disaster were to take place, (In which there is no organized evacuation plan) But for the well being of the Eco-system as well. If the damaging effects of a meltdown were to occur, it would so cripple the population of local wildlife that call Long Island, as well as Connecticut home, that nothing would live within miles around, not only would their habitat be destroyed, but the land so contaminate that they couldn't return, it would take years before a species could recover from such a devastating blow. This imposing threat to the Tri-state area is to great to be underestimated. In my opinion, the continued operation of the Millstone 3 facility is a dangerous and detrimental risk to our wildlife, economy, and most importantly our lives.

In conclusion, to restate my reasons for discontinued operation of Millstone Unit 3; First, the devastating effects of a meltdown on Long Island, Second the Imposing risk to our Eco-system, wildlife, and Economy, and finally the threat to our own lives. As far as I am concerned, No one is safe as long as we remain within the shadow of this nuclear terror. I hope you can consider my opinion and the many others for whom I share it. And thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
Scott Bluedorn





OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Karina Cascante  
P.O. Box 2381  
Amagansett NY, 11930

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Cascante:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

**Karina Cascante  
PO box 2381  
Amagansett NY, 11930**

**March 11,1999**

**Ms. Shirley Jackson  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852**

**Dear Ms. Jackson,**

**I am a current resident of the town of East Hampton, NY. I am deeply concerned about the absence of an evacuation plan for the East End in the case that Millstone3 (Nuclear Power plant) in Connecticut were to explode and send radioactivity our direction.**

**Now, I am not a type of pessimist that is making up wild stories about the power plant, I have my reasons. For starters, Millstone 3 has the worst safety record in the United States. Since its last "official" shutdown in August, it has had 5 emergency shut downs...in 5 months!**

**(And it isn't one of those types of shutdowns due to an immediate change of a light bulb; it's a shut it down or it'll explode!)**

**In the case that it exploded in one of the incidents that have been on for the past months the people could at least escape by following their emergency escape plan, but what if your area doesn't, where would it, as well as you, go? Well, that is the main problem we the people in the East End are facing with no secure evacuation plan, and, the radiation heading towards us, we would be literally trapped.**

**This brings me to my next reason, if the plant were to explode, and we were able to escape, the radioactivity would probably increase the cancer rate in the United States. Not only that, but**



**childbirth by the East End would in fact increase the rate of “mutated” babies by at least 50%.**

**Millstone 3 may indeed be a method of profitable energy for the government, but is it really worth the lives of millions of Long Islanders? If this plant were to explode, tell me, who will there be to sell this power to, all that would be left is an abandoned ghost “town” and millions of radioactive East End citizens scattered all over the East coast with no past, and for most of them, no future. I really do hope that you are truly listening to me, and do something about this potential crisis.**

**Sincerely,**

  
**Karina Cascante**



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Angela Cincis  
P.O. Box 515  
Wainscott, NY 11975

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Cincis:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

P.O box 515  
Wainscott, NY 11975  
December 16,1998

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman N.R.C.  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland  
20852

Dear Ms. Shirley,

I am very concerned with this power plant issue, Millstone 3 and I think that it should be closed down. My risk-to cancer and other diseases worry's myself, friends and family. Where will we go if something happens? We have no plan!

I am worried about my risks of receiving cancer in five to ten years. The only way to stop the high risk of children and adults who live by, or near power plants in getting cancer is shutting them down. For example 168 cases of thyroid cancer were reported in children in Belarus, and that is only one of the many explosions in the world!

It is not fair risking innocent peoples lives to make money, and it is not only people Millstone has records stating that they use 1000tons of water each minute and releasing radio active waist. We {The U.S} could get our power from Canada for a lot cheaper then we are paying now, It people like me who are paying for this, its just wrong!. I know that closing it down would cost a lot of money, but what is more important, people's lives or money? Can we actually put a price on a person's life?

It is just not worth the time money and the risking of all people. Thank you for your time, and I hope that we can come to a reasonable decision, that will be good for everyone.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Cincis



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Joseph Corwin  
175 Three Mile Harbor Rd.  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Corwin:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

175 Three Mile Harbor Road  
East Hampton NY 11937

March 12, 1999

Ms. Shirley Jackson

Chairman NRC

11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms. Jackson:

I am a citizen of the town of East Hampton. I am very concerned with the condition of the Millstone Three Nuclear Power Plant. It has had more emergency shutdowns in the past few months than most plants have in a year. The town of East Hampton is located only about 15 miles away from the Millstone complex. The safety requirements for Millstone have been lowered numerous times but it still has had more emergency shutdowns than most Nuclear Power plants have had in a year.

If the Millstone Power Plant should leak the towns of East Hampton, Greenport, Riverhead, Southampton and other towns on Long Island will be exposed to radiation. **The** Millstone power plant is a great danger to the well-being of everyone

and everything on the island because if  
Millstone leaks the towns and villages of  
Long Island will become radioactive. Some  
people are still unaware of where their  
power comes from. If the power plant should  
leak they will have no idea where the  
radiation is coming from.

The Millstone power plant has been  
shut-down numerous times. The inspectors for  
Millstone have lowered the safety requirements  
so the plant could pass. Sometimes the power  
plant still doesn't pass inspection.

Sincerely,

Joseph Corwin.

9/11



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Alejandro Giraldo  
Neighborhood House Drive  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Giraldo:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

Neighborhood house Drive  
East Hampton NY  
11937  
December 16, 1998

MS. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am a resident at the town of East Hampton Long Island. I am very concerned about the nuclear power plant in Connecticut. I don't really think its safe and I think it should be shut down. This power plant has been shut down several. The issue that concerns me the most is that is that they have no escape plan for us.

The reason why the escape plan concerns me the most is because this nuclear reactor is only fifteen miles away from us. They only have a plan for the people within ten miles and not even that one works right. Nuclear meltdowns have been proven to effect up to a hundred thousand miles. You really don't need a nuclear meltdown to kill a couple of million people, but I think that it would be nice to have one just in case. Specially sense they have a forty-six percent chance of meltdown.

Millstone has been previously because of several safety violations, such as leaking valves. Even after a one billion-dollar overhaul to get the reactor back on line it had five Emergency shutdowns in only five moths. This I heard on the Channel twelve news. The radioactive waste dumped then flows into our rivers and mutates our fishes, clams, oysters etc. This could really effect our economy.

I want this power plant to be shut down now!! The nuclear company is making a lot of money of us. I really don't have a problem against that as long as they are not killing. The problem is that they are killing us. I really don't think you can put a price on a human life none the less thousands of innocent people. That's why I request that they shutdown this nuclear power plant.

Sincerely,



Alejandro Giraldo





OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Alison Hanson  
8 Cordwood Lane  
P.O. Box 5045  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Hanson:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

8 Cordwood La.  
PO Box 5045  
East Hampton  
New York 11937

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockvill Pick  
Rockvill, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms. Jackson:

I am a resident of East Hampton and I am very concerned with Millstone Nuclear power plant. East Hampton is about fifteen miles away from the power plant. That is too far to have an evacuation plan but close enough for the nuclear waste to reach us. So I think we should have an evacuation.

They set an evacuation plan up for places from one to ten miles away. I believe they should have an evacuation plan at least twenty miles from the plant. The nuclear waste from the plant can go further than 20 miles away. But the radiation can reach up to 50 and plus miles. When a power plants leak or explodes it is hazardous to almost everyone on the planet. When it enters the air it is carried every where.

The millstone plant has been shut down 5 times in a six month time period. The most common was the valves getting stuck and not shutting or opening. The valves were also leaking so the inspectors shut the plant down numerous times.

In conclusion I believe the millstone Nuclear power plant should be shut down very soon! If it can not be shut down we should at least have an evacuation that works well. Also while they are in the process of shutting it down we should have an escape rout for an emergency.

Sincerely,



Alison Hanson



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Alexander P. Kauffman  
P.O. Box 1923  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423


Dear Mr. Kauffman:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



317 Three Mile Harbor Road  
East Hampton, New York 11937  
March 11, 1999


Ms. Shirley Jackson

REC'D BY SECY Chairman N.R.C  
11555 Rockville Pike  
18 MAR 99 3: 12 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms Sherily Jackson

My name is Tahlia Miller and I am a resident of East Hampton town and I have a complaint about the Millstone power plant in Connecticut. As a resident of East Hampton I'm very frightened about the millstone plant being only 15 miles away From Long Island and there is no evacuation plan for us. I think that it should be shut down. One of my reasons for wanting the plant shut down is this after a 1 billion dollar over-haul to get reactors back on line they had 5 emergency shut-downs in just 5 months.

Also the millstone power plant had 3 unit reactors closed for 2 years because of 1200 outstanding safety violations. Also millstone has experienced nurmous valve problems and it was just a small valve problem that caused the 3 mile Island power plant meltdown. These are some of the reasons that I'm fearful of having the plant so close to myself and my friends and family. The reason why I'm so fearful of the radiation sickness and cancer is because many of my friends and family members have died from cancer and the thought of me dying at such a young age scares me.




1 week ago today December 15, 1998 the millstone plant was shut down yet again for another safety violation how many more safety violations is millstone going to have before we all realize That this power plant is a very bad thing to have.

For these many reasons I think that the millstone power plant should be shut down and I hope to hear from you soon or you will have many more letters from me and the other people who have a problem with millstone. Thank you for reading my letter and I hope to see a change in the millstone nuclear power plant.

Yours truly

Tahlia Miller

*Tahlia Miller*



Alexander P. Kouffman

P.O. Box 1923

East Hampton, N.Y.

537-4249 11937

Mar. 9<sup>th</sup>, 1999

Ms. Shirley Jackson

Chairmen N.R.C.

11555 Rockville Pike

Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms. Shirley Jackson:

My name is Alexander Phillip Kouffman, and I am a resident of Long Island. I am writing this letter, because I am concerned about the problems, and condition of Millstone Powerplant. Problems such as the state has found over 100 ~~unlicensed~~ <sup>unlicensed</sup> contractors working there, or that the N.R.C. has fined them over \$650,000, because of safety violations.

One of the main reasons I am concerned, is because of the waste that gets dumped in the ocean. This is a major problem. One of the biggest reasons that Long Island is beautiful, is because of our beaches.

Our beaches, are also why all of the tourists come here in the summer. Imagine what would happen to our economy, if no tourists came here. SCARY, huh?

○ Another major reason I am concerned with Millstone Powerplant, is that Long Island has no evacuation plan. This is what most people are concerned with. And so am I. Imagine what it would be like having to leave everything you've ever owned, and never coming back to it again. That is, if you did make it out.

○ Thank you for your time, support, and understanding, and I do hope to hear from you soon.

Sincerely,  
Alex Kouffman



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Kaitlyn Kelly  
P.O. Box 2377  
1853 Montauk Highway  
Amagansett, NY 11930

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Kelly:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



**P.O. Box 2377  
1853 Montauk Hwy.  
Amagansett N.Y. 11930  
March 10, 1999**

**Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville Maryland 20852**

**Dear Senator:**

**Millstone 3 recently has reopened, and that just puts our very quaint and small town back in danger. Unit 3 was shut down for 2 years because it had 1200 outstanding safety violations and after a 1 billion-dollar overhaul to get the reactor back on line, it has had 5 emergency shut downs in only 5 months. Millstone has experienced numerous valve problems, and it was a valve problem that caused the 3-Mile Island accident. Last but definitely not least, experts have testified that there is a 45% chance of a meltdown occurring in an operating U.S. reactor. Those are four terrific reasons for why many loyal Long Island and I think that Millstone 3 should be shut down.**

**I have conclusive evidence that Millstone 3 is a time bomb waiting to explode. After 1200 outstanding safety violations, a 1 billion-dollar overhaul couldn't keep it safe. Even after 1 billion - dollars it has had 5 emergency shutdowns since it reopened. One billion dollars couldn't help it. If they insist on having a nuclear power plant they could have taken that money and built a new one.**

**And among the many problems Millstone has had, the scariest has been their numerous valve problems. The 3-Mile Island accident was caused by a valve problem. So if it could happen to them it could happen to us just as easily. Plus even if a valve problem doesn't make Millstone blow, experts have testified that any Nuclear Reactor in the U.S., has a 45% chance of somehow malfunctioning.**

Did those four reasons get my point across? Well if it didn't consider this. If you lived on an island only 11 miles away from a faulty NUCLEAR REACTOR, and since the state of New York only requires an evacuation plan for a 10 mile radius, and you didn't have an escape if it blows up, would you feel safe? Think about it before responding.

Ponder my thoughts,  
Kaitlyn Kelly

*Kaitlyn Kelly*



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Whitney Lucas  
34 Sherrill Rd.  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Lucas:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

Whitney Lucas  
34 Sherrill rd East Hampton,  
N.Y 11937  
December 15, 1998

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairmon NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms. Shirley Jackson


Ms. Jackson lately I have been looking into a matter about the Millstone Power Plants of Connecticut, NY. I am very much concerned about the lives of the many citizens of Long Island. This is so because within the last few months the Millstone 3 has had numerous shut downs because of a threat of a meltdown of other dangers in which it has put us.

The reason the East end of Long Island has a larger concern is that if the power plant should have a meltdown we are not warned because the law indicates that we only have a warning if we are in a 10-mile radius. Even though the warning goes as far as 10-miles from the occurrence the radiation over time kills or severely injure many people and may destroy our land and economy farther from the Power Plant.

If the Millstone 3 dose have a meltdown it's radiation is very harmful to human and animals even farther then 10 miles from the power plant. The harm of the radiation has been shown in past test and to our unfortune, past occurrences. The radiation can cause many harmful disease such as cancer which may lead to death.

The radiation is also very pollutant to our environment by ruining our land and soil. Long Island's main economy is based on our grand agriculture. If radiation should leak to our soil it would ruin the agriculture and there leading to the major loss of our economy.

Those are only two reasons for the Millstone 3 should consider a shut down, not just for some time but for good. The loss our people and environment is even alone a great loss to Long Island. Thank you for your time and I hope you consider my suggestion.

Sincerely,  


Whitney Lucas



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Brian Marciniak  
P.O. Box 230  
Amagansett, NY 11930

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Marciniak:

Ⓢ Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

*Emile L. Julian*

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

Ⓢ

**Brian Marciniak  
P.O. Box 230  
Amagansett, NY 11930**

**March 11, 1999**

**Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852**

**Dear Ms. Jackson;**

**I have written to you to ask a favor. That favor is to shut down the Millstone III power plant in Connecticut. If there were to be a meltdown, and since I live in eastern Long Island, there would not be a fast enough evacuation plan. The radiation would travel too fast for us and we would die. Through my many weeks of looking over and researching data, these were the things that blew my mind.**

**Every year the three power plants of Millstone together have burned 25 million barrels of oil per year. A couple of weeks ago after Millstone started and shut down the Nuclear power plant is out of Connecticut's electric rates, a decision that could cause Northwest Utilities an estimated \$14 million a month. That is very expensive. Between November and April, the price of fuel used to produce electricity dropped and power produced by hydroelectric dams increased significantly. A Northwest Utilities spokeswoman said, "the fuel adjustment will save the average residential customer \$1.26 a month"; it adds up. Tom McCormick, also a Northwest Utilities spokesman replied, "people should be seeing an immediate 7 percent reduction in their monthly bills from the removal of Unit 3 from rates, rather than being forced to lend Northwest Utilities money".**

**This is costing us too much money.**

**It has also been shown and confirmed that the occurrence of leukemia is 65% higher than on the workers of Oak Ridge National Laboratory who work with radiation, the same radiation that is located in the Millstone III power plant. Northwest Utilities was hit with a \$2.1 million dollar penalty by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for more than 60 engineering and licensing violations noted during inspections at Millstone between October 23, 1995 and December 31, 1996.**

**The most frightening topic that I have researched; Northwest Utilities has decided to seek restart for the Millstone III Nuclear power plant in Waterford without trying to replace or make permanent repairs to a damaged valve in the primary water cooling system. Terrence McCintosh stated, "what they've done is stopped the leak and they feel that it is safe and appropriate not to continue with that (repair) effort." "If they continue it could actually damage the valve." Earlier before the statement he said, "approximately 112 valves at Millstone III are being shut down." At Three Mile Island they had to shut it down because of a broken valve.**

**So please do all of us a favor and do something about Millstone III. Lives are at stake, so make the right decision.**

**Sincerely,**

*Brian Marciniak*

**Brian Marciniak**

*[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]*



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Jackie McConnell  
23 McElnea Street  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. McConnell:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



Jackie McConnell  
23 Mc Elnea Street  
East Hampton, NY 11937  
March 12, 1999

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Dear Ms. Jackson:

I am a concerned citizen of East Hampton, New York. I have heard of numerous fines put on the nuclear plants Millstone I, II, and III. I have also heard of government safety violations, and that they are on the NRC's watch list. I am aware that Northeast Utilities will not be able to charge Connecticut ratepayers for the costs of the troubled reactor. I am requesting that a workable evacuation plan be devised for the New England/Long Island area. I do not believe a safe evacuation plan is possible from Eastern Long Island since we have only one road that leads to safety. I feel that without a safe evacuation plan, the reactors must remain shut down.

Some of the safety violations that make Millstone a category 2 on the NRC's watch list include: 1. In 1998, plant operators were caught sleeping on duty. 2. Recently a leaky valve was discovered that would not be fixed until at least a year after the plant is operating again. 3. During plant heat up, operators failed to record the rate of heat up and did not follow certain procedures.

The fines put on Millstone numbered \$2.1 million a few years ago. That was when Millstone was shut down for two years from valve problems like the ones that caused the Three Mile Island accident. Now Millstone's fines number \$3.6 million, and I am afraid the cost will be much higher because Millstone has a 20% failure rate for fixing technical problems.

Please see that this plant is kept closed. I am sure if you lived where I do, in an area that is impossible to evacuate, 15 miles from the troubled reactors; you would want to know your family was safe from nuclear contamination should there be an accident.

Sincerely,

*Jackie McConnell*  
Jackie McConnell



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Ashley McGinniss  
P.O. Box 1136  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. McGinniss:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

P.O. Box 1136  
Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963  
March 11<sup>th</sup>, 1999

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Honorable Shirley Jackson:

I am a resident of East Hampton, N.Y., and I have been researching nuclear power and nuclear power plants, I have found several well-known and published facts from reliable sources. I, therefore, feel that the Millstone III, in Connecticut, should not re-start. Here are some facts that I would like to share with you.

“Three troubled reactors are located only eleven miles from a densely populated island, with no opportunity to evacuate if an accident occurs.” – From the New York Times and East Hampton Star. “The island” as you probably know, is Long Island, and my home. The quote briefly states that we are “only eleven miles” from nuclear power plants, the main one’s are Millstone II, III, and I. It also states that our island has “no chance to evacuate, if an accident occurs”, obviously, because the only way off the island is by water, planes, or, of course, the Long Island Expressway!

“After a one billion dollar overhaul to get the reactor back on-line it has had five emergency shutdowns in only five months”- From the Channel 12 News and the New York Times. This “reactor” is the Millstone III, to Connecticut. Also, the Hartford Courant proclaimed that “The Unit 3 reactor was shut down for two years because it had one-thousand outstanding safety violations”. The “Unit 3 reactor” is Millstone-III also.

I feel that Millstone III should not re-start, and I have many facts to back my opinion up. As you can see, I am not just stating my opinion blindly, I have many true facts. Thank you for your time and hopefully your support in advance!

Sincerely,

*Ashley McGinniss*  
Ashley McGinniss



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

M. Salaver  
114 Boatheaders Lane  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr./Ms. Salaver:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

114 Boatheaders Lane  
East Hampton New York 11937  
March 9, 1999

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairtown NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland

-Dear Ms. Jackson:

My name is Malorie Salaver. I am a resident of East Hampton Town. I am writing in concern about the reopening of Millstone III (The nuclear reactor in Connecticut). The reason it concerns me is because it is located 11 short miles away from where I live.

Millstone III was shutdown for two years because of 1,200 safety violations. Worse than that they are still shutting it down on and off as recently as 3 to 4 weeks ago. If it is being shutdown so much because it is hazardous to our health or is endangering us in some way then don't you think it should be shutdown permanently? Also if anything ever went wrong with the reactor and we had to evacuate the island we wouldn't be able to. Long island citizens have no foolproof way of getting off the island to safety.

I believe Millstone III should be permanently shutdown because it endangers the lives of thousands of people every day. Any small accident can turn into a monumental catastrophe. The Three Mile accident was caused by a valve problem. Millstone III has had many valve problems in the past and even some quite recently. It's a horrible feeling knowing that your life is in danger every day.

I also have a concern that everyone will get stuck on the island because we don't have an evacuation plan. Most people have some sort of transportation unit but the problem is the lack of space we have for them all. The roads will not be big enough to fit all those cars without having some

sort of accident. The boats only have so much room in the water. There also isn't enough room in the sky for too many planes. And just think of all the people that would die just trying to get off the island.

Now that you've heard my concerns please consider the following. If Millstone III stays open it endangers thousands of peoples lives every day with it's thousands of safety violations and giving us no way of escaping off of the island. Please remember that there are probably hundreds more that think as I do. And even more who don't even know their lives are endanger and should. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

*Maloru Salaver*



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Camilo Valencia  
317 Accabonac Rd.  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr./Ms. Valencia:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 11, 1999  
316 Accabonac rd  
East Hampton N.Y

Ms. Shirley Jackson  
Chairman NRC  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852


I am a concerned resident of East Hampton N.Y. and I think that Millstone should be shut down. The NRC owns the millstone power plants. Millstone power plant three was shut down for two years for a thousand safety violations, it has also been shut down for meltdowns and there is no evacuation plan

The power plant was shut down because it had a thousand safety violations. The chemicals were not been treated correctly,  
The valves were not in good condition, this could mean that the radioactive activity could have escaped from the plant or a melt down could have happened.

Millstone power plant is only eleven miles away from East Hampton and with all of the safety violations it occurs to me that it will not take long for a tragedy to occur, a tragedy that could end thousands maybe even millions of lives. There is no way out of this island in case of an emergency such as a nuclear meltdown.

I want this power plant to be shut down before innocent people get hurt or even killed by means of cancer caused by radiation which come from these power plants, before this ends up in

Sincerely,



Camilo Valencia





UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Camille Ward  
P.O. Box 2423  
Amagansett, NY 11930-2423

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Ward:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

P.O. Box 2423  
Amagansett N.Y.  
11930-2423

Ms Sirley Jackson  
Chairman N.R.C.  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland  
20852

Dear Shirley Jackson:


I think you should shut down Millstone 3 because we as Eastenders have no evacuation plan. Also we may have an environmental hazard. I'm in the 7<sup>th</sup> grade at East Hampton Middle School. I'm 13 yrs old.

If we had an evacuation plan, I would have no problem with the operation of Millstone 3. As an example if everyone was trying to get away from the plant after a problem and the wind was blowing about 30 mph, we would only have about half an hour to evacuate. It would be very dangerous because most of the people would be blocking the roads and everyone would not get very far. The results would be disastrous.

Environmental hazards are very dangerous especially to children and older adults. If there is a problem with the plant, we as people would get radiated and it would never leave us. The Millstone withdraw about 100 gallons of water out of Long Island Sound a minute. When the animals go in with the water, the animals die. Sometimes your seafood has radiation in it and that's not very healthy for anyone.

That's why I think you should shut down Millstone 3. It's not safe for the environment and we have no evacuation plan. No one wants to reside somewhere that is not safe.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Camille Ward', written in a cursive style.

Camille Ward



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Taylor Wasteful  
57 Harbor View Lane  
East Hampton, NY 11937

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket No(s). 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Wasteful:

Thank you for your letter sent to Chairman Jackson regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your correspondence and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely yours

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

57 Harbor view la  
Easthampton NY 11937

Shirley Ann Jackson  
Chairman NCR  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

3/9/99

Dear Ms. Jackson:

I am writing to tell you that I oppose the running of the Millstone nuclear power plants in Connecticut. I hope you are aware of the toll that the plant has biologically, and environmentally on the surrounding region Long Island ( which is only 11 miles away from Millstone ) the rates of cancer victims are significantly larger than those of upstate areas. If there was ever a serious problem with the plant , and radioactive materials leaked out, there would be horrible effects on the economy of New England.


Along with cancer , the area around the plant has a higher rate of sickness , and diseases than areas further away from the it. If a problem was to occur, and we were exposed to radioactive material, it would only be a matter of time before the radiation started to take toll.. Among the many side effects , sterility, cataracts and Acute Radiation Syndrome, which can be fatal, are some of the most common. The internal systems of the residents effected, would also suffer damage. The Hematopietic, Gastrointestinal and Central Nervous Systems would also be ruined. So in turn, peoples bodies would not function correctly, and hospitals would be overflowing with patients. There would be no way to house all the patients, and some would systematically have to be turned away. So if you don't want sickness and death along with nuclear power, you should vote to close the Millstone nuclear reactor.

The Millstone plants are causing billions of fish to die needlessly every year. The water that is needed to cool down the reactors, is taken from the Sound surrounding the plant. While the pipes are sucking in water, fish which

habituate that area, are also being pulled int. released water is not the same temperature, but degrees hotter so the normally cold water, which the fish are used to is changed, and causes some fish to leave the area. This doesn't help the residential fisherman, with their already challenging occupation. Also if one of the pipes were ever to get clogged and backfire, radioactive materials would be released into the waters and quickly spread. The waters would become dangerous, and Long Islands major source of revenue would be lost along with tourism.

I hope you consider all of the consequences carefully , enclosed in my letter are just a few, and the lives that will be lost out number these greatly. So please shut down Millstone. How many innocent people have to die before this conclusion is made ?

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Taylor Wasteful". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Taylor Wasteful



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Abram/Soffel  
165 Hampton Street  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Abram/Soffel:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



**ABRAM/SOFFEL**  
165 Hampton Street  
Sag Harbor, New York 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Kathleen K. Becker  
154 King St.  
P.O. Box 151  
Orient, NY 11957

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Becker:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Kathleen K. Becke*  
154 King St  
POB 151  
Orient, NY 11957



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Doris Blossom  
P.O. Box 2396  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Blossom:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

PO Box 2396  
Bag Harbor, NY  
11963

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway, ~~until~~ there is <sup>NO</sup> ~~an~~ adequate evacuation plan for <sup>Possible</sup> Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Doris Blossom



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Meikle Blossom-Weiss  
295 Madison Street  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Blossom-Weiss:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*MWB*

Meike Blossom-Watts

295 Madison St

Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Sally S. Booth  
127 Madison St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Booth:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Sally S. Booth*

Sally S. Booth  
127 Madison St.

Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Kristina Campeau  
P.O. Box 2494  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Campeau:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Kristina Campeau*

Kristina Campeau  
PO Box 2494  
Sag Harbor NY 11963

PO Box 2494  
Sag Harbor NY  
11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Jeff Cole  
127 Madison St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Cole:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



JEFF COLE  
127 Madison St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Kathleen Conrad  
Box 1497  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Conrad:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Kathleen S. Conrad*

Box 1497  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Peter Deutsch  
1700 Village Lane, P.O. Box 171  
Orient, NY 11957

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Deutsch:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,


I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



Peter Deutsch  
1700 Village Lane, PO Box 171  
Orient, NY 11957  
Phone: 516 323 3815  
Fax: 516 323 2379  
E-mail: deutsch@peconic.net



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Liz Dobbs  
17 Latham St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Dobbs:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Liz Dobb

Liz Dobb

17 LATNAM ST

SAB HARBOR NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Michel Dobbs  
17 Latham St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Dobbs:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Michel Dobs

Michel Dobbis

17 LATHAM ST

SAG HARBOR NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

E. S. Fearon  
22 Washington Ave.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr./Ms. Fearon:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,


I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



Eamon S. Fearon  
22 Washington Ave  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Dana Hilbert  
P.O. Box 737  
Hampton Bays, NY 11946

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Hilbert:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) ~~It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such~~ high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



Dana Hilbert  
PO. Box 737  
Hampton Bays  
New York, 11946



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

June Kluglein  
17 Pheasant Rd.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Kluglein:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*June Kluglein  
17 Pleasant Rd.  
Sag Harbor  
N.Y.  
11963*



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Elizabeth McMahon  
P.O. Box 23  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. McMahon:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Elizabeth McMahon*  
Elizabeth McMahon

P.O. Box 23

Sag Harbor, N.Y.  
11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

William G. Miller  
23 S. Valley Rd.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Miller:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,


I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



William G. Miller  
28 S. Valley Rd.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

George Rubino  
P.O. Box 20  
Amagansett, NY 11930

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Rubino:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*George Rubino*

GEORGE RUBINO

PO BOX 20  
AMAGANSETT, N.Y. 11930



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Shirley A. Ruch  
28 S. Valley Rd.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Ruch:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



28 S. Valley Rd.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Meg Rudansky  
36 Woodvale St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Rudansky:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Meg Rudansky  
36 Woodvale St  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Catherine Sandell  
P.O. Box 2081  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Sandell:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Catherine Sandell*  
*P.O. BOX 2081*  
*Sag Harbor, NY*  
*11963*



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Scott Sandell  
P.O. Box 2081  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Sandell:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Scott Sandell  
P.O. Box 2081  
Sag Harbor, NY  
11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

A. Scott  
De Simone Company  
P.O. Box 1590  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Scott:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Angel S. J. M.*

*A. S. J. M.*

DE SIMONE COMPANY  
P.O. BOX 1590  
SAG HARBOR, NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Dale Scott  
P.O. Box 2118  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963-0077

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Scott:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



P.O. Box 2118  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963-0077



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Jane Smith  
1750 Platt Rd.  
Orient, NY 11957

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Smith:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Jane Smith*  
1750 Platt Rd  
Orient, NY 11957



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Margaret M. Smith  
776 Route 114  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Smith:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thryoid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Margaret M. Smith*  
Margaret M. Smith  
776 Route 114  
Sag Harbor NY 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Rita Solow  
Box 996  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Solow:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications



March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway, ~~until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.~~

*I do not believe any drug can help in event of fallout.*

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

*Rita Solow  
Box 996  
Sag Harbor, NY.  
11963*



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Jo Anna Melrose Wandel  
P.O. Box 742  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Wandel:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,


I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

  
JO-ANNA MELROSE WANDEL  
P.O. Box 742  
SAG HARBOR  
N.Y. 11963



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Scott Weiss  
Box 442  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963-0009

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Mr. Weiss:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

003922

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,



SCOTT WEISS  
BOX 442  
SAG HARBOR, NY 11963-0009



OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20555-0001

March 22, 1999

Carol Williams  
86 Ferry Road  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

REFERENCE: Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Nos. 50-245/336/423

Dear Ms. Williams:

We received your March 9, 1999, letter addressed to Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy regarding the Millstone Nuclear Power Station. The Department of Energy determined that the subject of your letter does not fall within its purview. Therefore, they referred your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We have forwarded your letter to the NRC staff for appropriate consideration. A copy of your letter and this reply are also being placed in Agency records on the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Emile L. Julian".

Emile L. Julian  
Assistant for Rulemakings  
and Adjudications

March 9, 1999

Bill Richardson  
Secretary of Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Richardson,

I write in strong opposition to any attempts to restart reactor #2 at Millstone Nuclear facility in Waterford, Conn. Various accidents and safety violations have shutdown the Millstone plant frequently since its reopening last summer. Our waterways are being polluted--although you say in your letter to Representative Michael Forbes of February 9, 1999 that the amounts of radioactive tritium released by Millstone into the water are acceptable, I strongly disagree. I understand from the NRC hearing held in Riverhead, NY, March 1, 1999, that the reactor #3 is now operating under probationary restrictions imposed by your commission. With this in mind, any attempts to restart reactor #2 seem irresponsible at best, criminal at worst.

! Please don't allow Millstone to increase its operations in anyway until there is an adequate evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island. Provisioning of potassium iodide should be included in this evacuation plan; this effective thyroid blocker should be stored in every doctor's office, every school nurse's office, every hospital and pharmacy in case of emergency.

! We in Eastern Long Island live in a densely populated area only 12 miles away from Millstone. We are trapped; there is NO evacuation plan for us in case of accident. (After much study, Suffolk County government concluded years ago that an evacuation plan for Eastern Long Island was not feasible.) It is irresponsible to allow our communities to be at such high risk for the sake of the profits of the private corporation, Northeast Utilities.

Please Close Down The Millstone Nuclear Power Plant. The threat to our health and safety is too great!

Sincerely,

Carol Williams

86 Ferry Road  
Sag Harbor N.Y. 11963