

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 9/20/16 11:28 AM <b>Received:</b> September 18, 2016 <b>Status:</b> Pending Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k0-8rz4-hjzx <b>Comments Due:</b> October 17, 2016 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Docket:** NRC-2016-0195

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant; Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Conforming Amendment

**Comment On:** NRC-2016-0195-0001

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant; Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Conforming Amendment; Application for Direct Transfer of License

**Document:** NRC-2016-0195-DRAFT-0002

Comment on FR Doc # 2016-22229

9/15/2016  
81FR 63500

2

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

RECEIVED

2016 SEP 20 PM 1:06

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
SUNSI  
UNAP

## General Comment

FitzPatrick is old, past its original lifespan. It continues to make waste that as to be protected. Why make more waste that has no permanent safe home? It is my understanding that additional taxpayer funds will be needed to keep this plant open. Why not use these funds for other clean energy sources?

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= D. Render (DLRH)