

### EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER (Written Exam Before the Op Test)

<b>Chief:</b>	Thomas Farina	<b>Facility:</b>	AN1	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	8/19/2016
<b>UI:</b>		<b>Start of Op Test:</b>	8/22/2016	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	8/31/2016
<b>Written Exam Developed By: Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: Facility</b>		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
2/19/2016	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	1/6/16	TJF		
3/18/2016	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	1/6/16	TJF		
3/18/2016	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	1/6/16	TJF		
3/18/2016	Corporate Notification Letter sent	1/11/16	TJF	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
4/15/2016	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A		ES-201 Att-3	
5/20/2016	Integrated exam outlines due	5/20/16	TJF		
5/25/2016	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	5/27/16	TJF	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
5/25/2016	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	5/27/16	TJF		
6/20/2016	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	6/21/16	TJF		
6/25/2016	Peer review of written exam complete	6/29/16	TJF	Document review on ES-401-9	
7/2/2016	Written Exam and operating test review completed	7/11/16	TJF	Document review on ES-401-10	
7/15/2016	Preliminary license applications due	7/21/16	TJF	NRC Forms 398/396	
7/22/2016	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	7/25/16	TJF		
7/25/2016	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	7/25/16	TJF		
7/22/2016	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	7/11/16	TJF		
7/22/2016	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	7/11/16	TJF		
8/5/2016	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared / Final exam due 8/5/16		TJF	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
8/5/2016	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	8/11/16	TJF	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
8/12/2016	Facility Licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination	7/27/16	TJF		
8/12/2016	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent Final Exam due (if significant changes made from draft)	8/11/16	TJF		
8/12/2016	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	7/28/16	TJF		
8/12/2016	Exam material to exam team	8/12/16	TJF		
8/22/2016	Administer Operating Test	8/22/16	TJF		
9/7/2016	Facility post-exam documentation due	9/8/16	TJF		
9/7/2016	NRC written exam grading completed	9/8/16	TJF	ES-403-1 to BC	
9/7/2016	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	9/8/16	TJF		
9/14/2016	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	9/8/16	TJF	Signed ES 303's to BC	
9/21/2016	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	9/15/16	TJF		
9/28/2016	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	9/15/16	TJF		
9/28/2016	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	9/16/16	TJF		
9/28/2016	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	9/16/16	TJF	print Report-21	
10/12/2016	Examination Report Issued	9/28/16	TJF	produced by CE	
10/19/2016	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	9/27/16	TJF	SUNSI checklist to LA	
10/26/2016	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	TJF		
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 10, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

Facility: <u>ANO-1</u>		Date of Examination: <u>8-22-2016</u>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	ch
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	X	X	TF
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	X	X	TF
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	X	X	TF
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	X	X	TF
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	P	Rkm	TF
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	P	Rkm	TF
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	P	Rkm	TF
3. W A L K T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	P	Rkm	TF
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	P	Rkm	TF
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	P	Rkm	TF
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	yes	Rkm	TF
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	yes	Rkm	TF
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	yes	Rkm	TF
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	yes	Rkm	TF
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	yes	Rkm	TF
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	yes	Rkm	TF
a. Author	Printed Name/Signature Robert Postage / <u>John Cork</u> / <u>John W. Cork</u>	Date	5/20/16	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Randal Martin / <u>Rkm</u>	Date	5/20/16	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>Thomas Fajina</u> / <u>Thomas Fajina</u>	Date	7/7/14	
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>Vincent Gallego</u> / <u>Vincent Gallego</u>	Date	3/5/16	
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.			

\* Written Exam outlines provided by NRC.

Facility: <u>AND Unit 1</u>		Date of Examination: <u>8/22/16</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>2016-1</u>	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	P	Rkm	TF	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	P	Rkm	TF	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	P	Rkm	TF	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	P	Rkm	TF	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	P	Rkm	TF	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		-	-	-	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	P	Rkm	TF	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	P	Rkm	TF	
3. Simulator Criteria		-	-	-	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		P	Rkm	TF	
	Printed Name / Signature	Date			
a.	Author <u>Robert Possage</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/8/16</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Randal Martin</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-11-16</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Thomas Garcia</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-11-16</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent Gaddy</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4/11/16</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Updated post validation. Rkm

Facility: ANO Unit 1		Date of Exam: 8/22/16		Scenario Numbers: 1 - 5		Operating Test No.: 2016-1	
/QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials					
		a	b*	c#			
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	P	Rkm	TF			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	P	Rkm	TF			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	P	Rkm	TF			
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	P	Rkm	TF			
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	P	Rkm	TF			
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	P	Rkm	TF			
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	P	Rkm	TF			
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	P	Rkm	TF			
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	P	Rkm	TF			
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	P	Rkm	TF			
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	P	Rkm	TF			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	P	Rkm	TF			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	P	Rkm	TF			
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)</b>		<b>Actual Attributes</b>		-	-	-	
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/2/2/2/2	P	Rkm	TF		
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4/4/4/4/3	P	Rkm	TF		
3.	Major transients (1-2)	1/1/1/1/1	P	Rkm	TF		
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2/1/1/1/1	P	Rkm	TF		
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/1/1/1/1	P	Rkm	TF		
6.	EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)	3/2/3/2/2	P	Rkm	TF		
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Updated post validation Rkm

Facility: <b>ANO-1</b>		Date of Exam: <b>9/1/16</b>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	P	Rok	TF		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	P	Rok	TF		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	P	Rok	TF		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	P	Rok	TF		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	P	Rok	TF		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	P	Rok	TF		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>Robert Passage / <i>Robert Passage</i></u>	<u>9/5/16</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Randal Martin / <i>Rok</i></u>	<u>9-5-16</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Thomas Farina / <i>Thomas Farina</i></u>	<u>9/9/16</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy / <i>Vincent Gaddy</i></u>	<u>9/14/16</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

## From 01/01/2016 To 09/08/2016

Page 1 of 4  
 09/16/2016 08:26:56  
 Report 21  
 Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
01/01/2016	Arkansas Nuclear One / 050000313 / 2016301 TAC #: X02568		Prep		FARINA, THOMAS J.	FARINA, THOMAS J. HAYES, MAHDI O. HEDGER, SEAN D. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
07/14/2016	Arkansas Nuclear One / 050000313 / 2016301 Procedure #: 7111111B				STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
07/25/2016	Arkansas Nuclear One / 050000313 / 2016301 TAC #: X02568		Prep		FARINA, THOMAS J.	FARINA, THOMAS J. HAYES, MAHDI O. HEDGER, SEAN D. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
08/22/2016	Arkansas Nuclear One / 050000313 / 2016301 TAC #: X02568	RO - 9 SROU - 4	Admin	NFF	FARINA, THOMAS J.	FARINA, THOMAS J. HAYES, MAHDI O. HEDGER, SEAN D. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
08/28/2016	Arkansas Nuclear One / 050000313 / 2016301 TAC #: X02568		Doc		FARINA, THOMAS J.	FARINA, THOMAS J. HAYES, MAHDI O. HEDGER, SEAN D. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Aug 15 - Aug 22, 2016 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8/15 - 9/1. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Robert Passage	Lead Exam Writer	<i>Robert Passage</i>	1/9/16	<i>Belt Pige</i>	9/2/16	
2. John W. Cork	Instructor Exam Developer	<i>John W. Cork</i>	1-8-16	<i>John W. Cork</i>	9/2/16	
3. Steve Garchow	Exam Reviewer	<i>Steve Garchow</i>	4/26/16	<i>Steve Garchow</i>	9/7/16	
4. Randall Martin	Ops Test Support/Facility Rep	<i>Randy Martin</i>	5-5-16	<i>Randy Martin</i>	9/2/16	
5. Raymond Alejandro	Simulator Support	<i>Raymond Alejandro</i>	5-5-16	<i>Raymond Alejandro</i>	9/5/16	(2)
6. Denver Walker	Simulator Support	<i>Denver Walker</i>	5-12-16	<i>Denver Walker</i>	9/2/16	
7. Eric Nicholson	Ops Work Liaison	<i>Eric Nicholson</i>	5-17-16	<i>Eric Nicholson</i>	9/2/16	(2)
8. Kevin Casey	Simulator Validation	<i>Kevin Casey</i>	5-24-16	<i>Kevin Casey</i>	9/16/16	(2)
9. Keith Ledbetter	Simulator Validation	<i>Keith Ledbetter</i>	5-24-16	<i>Keith Ledbetter</i>	9/15/16	(2)
10. Bentley Johnson	Exam Validation	<i>Bentley Johnson</i>	5-24-16	<i>Bentley Johnson</i>	9/15/16	(2)
11. CJ Smith	RO / EXAM VALIDATION	<i>CJ Smith</i>	5/24/16	<i>CJ Smith</i>	9/15/16	(2)
12. John Lindsey	RO / EXAM VALIDATION	<i>John Lindsey</i>	5-24-16	<i>John Lindsey</i>	9-2-16	
13. Bill Cobble	NRC Exam Developer	<i>Bill Cobble</i>	6-17-16	<i>Bill Cobble</i>	9-6-16	
14. Thomas P. Benge	Simulator Validation	<i>Thomas P. Benge</i>	6-17-16	<i>Tom Bruce</i>	9-6-16	(2)
15. Mark Gohman	Simulator Validation	<i>Mark Gohman</i>	6-17-16	<i>Mark Gohman</i>	9/7/16	(2)

NOTES:

(2) Acknowledgement obtained via either email or per telecom. Belt Pige

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8-15 thru 8-22-16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8/15-9/1. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Karla Morris	Simulator validation	<i>Karla Morris</i>	6-13-16	<i>Karla Morris</i>	9/6/16	(2)
2. Mike Rozen	SIMULATOR validation	<i>Mike Rozen</i>	6-13-16	<i>Mike Rozen</i>	9/6/16	(2)
3. Danny Jara	simulator validation	<i>Danny Jara</i>	6-13-16	<i>Danny Jara</i>	9/6/16	(2)
4. Gary Burton	EXAM RE-ORGAN	<i>Gary Burton</i>	6/16/16	<i>Gary Burton</i>	9-1-16	(2)
5. Ryan Gault	Exam validation	<i>Ryan Gault</i>	6-16-16	<i>Ryan Gault</i>	9/6/16	(2)
6. John Harrell	Exam Development	<i>John Harrell</i>	6-29-16	<i>John Harrell</i>	9-2-16	(2)
7. James Pope	Exam Validation	<i>James Pope</i>	7-26-16	<i>James Pope</i>	9/6/16	(2)
8. Keith Parks	Simulator Validation	<i>Keith Parks</i>	7-26-16	<i>Keith Parks</i>	9/7/16	(2)
9. Erell Dickson	Exam Validation	<i>Erell Dickson</i>	7-26-16	<i>Erell Dickson</i>	9/7/16	(2)
10. Donald Walls	Exam validation	<i>Donald Walls</i>	7-26-16	<i>Donald Walls</i>	9/5/16	(2)
11. Buck Dickson	EXAM VALIDATION	<i>Buck Dickson</i>	7-26-16	<i>Buck Dickson</i>	9/5/16	(2)
12. Daniel Reed	Exam Validation	<i>Daniel Reed</i>	7-28-16	<i>Daniel Reed</i>	9-2-16	(2)
13. Jackie Hayes	Exam Administration	<i>Jackie Hayes</i>	7-29-16	<i>Jackie Hayes</i>	9-2-16	(2)
14. Dillon Harris	Sequester	<i>Dillon Harris</i>	08-17-16	<i>Dillon Harris</i>	9/5/16	(2)
15. MIKE MARTIN	ON-SHIFT SHIFTMANAGER	<i>Mike Martin</i>	8-17-16	<i>Mike Martin</i>	9/5/16	(2)

NOTES:

(2) Acknowledgement obtained via either email or per telecom. *Rlt Rje*



**1. Pre-Examination**

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8/22 thru 9/1/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

**2. Post-Examination**

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8/15-9/1. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Joshua Jones	CRS on-shift		8/17/16	Joshua Jones	9/6/16	(2)
2. Chad Parrish	sequester		8-17-16	Chad Parrish	9/7/16	(2)
3. Daniel Schambroet	Sequester		8/18/16	Dan Schambroet	9/2/16	
4. FRANCIS X. MCGEE	SEQUESTER		8/18/16	Francis X. McGee	9/6/16	(2)
5. Rich Pruitt	Sequester		8-18-16	Rich Pruitt	9/6/16	(2)
6. Greg Wallace	Sequester		8-18-16	Greg Wallace	9/6/16	(2)
7. Jim Wright	SEQUESTER		8-18-16	Jim Wright	9/6/16	(2)
8. MARK SETTLER	CSG		8-18-16	Mark Settler	9/5/16	(2)
9. John A. Brown	OPS-1		8-22-16	John A. Brown	9/7/16	(2)
10. ROGER KEMER	Operational Technology		8/22/16	Roger Kemer	9/6/16	(2)
11. Jason Horton	Sequester		8/22/16	Jason Horton	9/5/16	(2)
12. Scott Cupp	CSG		8/22/16	Scott Cupp	9/6/16	(2)
13. Al Spencer	CSG		8-25-16	Al Spencer	9/6/16	(2)
14. SHANNON MCINTYRE	CSG		8-25-16	Shannon McIntyre	9/6/16	(2)
15. BRAD WOOTEN	OPS INSTRUCTOR		8-31-16	Brad Wooten	9-2-16	(2)

NOTES:

(2) Acknowledgment obtained via either email or per telecom. Rlt Bz

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8/22 - 9/1/16 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 8-22-16. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
Cody Heflin	I+C	Cody Heflin	8/23/16	Cody Heflin	9/6/16	(2)
Vincent Messer	I+C	Vincent Messer	8-23-16	Vincent Messer	9/5/16	(2)
Patricia Austin	SR. DOSIMETRY TECH.	Patricia Austin	8-23-16	Patricia Austin	8/31/16	(1)
Jessica Briner	RP / Dosimetry	J. Briner	8-23-16	J. Briner	8/31/16	(1)

NOTES: (1) Reference CR-AWO-1-2016-2615

(2) Acknowledgment obtained via either email or per telecom. Blt Pje