

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Spring City, Tennessee 37381

September 2, 2016

10 CFR 50.73

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

> Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 Facility Operating License No. NPF-96 NRC Docket No. 50-391

Subject: Licensee Event Report 391/2016-002-01, Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Inoperable for Longer than Allowable Outage Time due to Turbine Speed Control Failure

This submittal provides Licensee Event Report (LER) 391/2016-002-01. This LER documents an incident where the Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.7.5 for the Auxiliary Feedwater System was not met. This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). This supplement supports that a safety system functional failure did not occur.

There are no regulatory commitments in this letter. Please direct any questions concerning this matter to Gordon Arent, WBN Licensing Director, at (423) 365 2004.

Respectfully

Paul Simmons Site Vice President Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

Enclosure cc: See Page 2 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 September 2, 2016

cc (Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator - Region II NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

	NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							ON APP	PRO\	ED BY OMB: NO	. 3150-0104			EXPI	RES:	10/31/2018		
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							Repo Sence Bran intern Regu DC 2 OMB	orted I com ch (T- net e-r ilatory 0503. contr	burden per response essons learned are inc ments regarding burde 5 F53), U.S. Nuclear mail to Infocollects.Reso Affairs, NEOB-10202, If a means used to im rol number, the NRC n the information collection	orporated into t in estimate to t Regulatory Cor urce@nrc.gov, a (3150-0104), O pose an informa nay not conduc	the lice the FC mmission and to t ffice of ation co	ensing process DIA, Privacy on, Washingt the Desk Offic f Managemen ollection does	s and f and Inf on, DC er, Offi t and I not dis	ed bac ormatic 2055 ce of In Budget play a	k to industry. on Collections 5-0001, or by formation and Washington, currently valid			
	1. FACI	LITY NA	ME							2.	2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE							
			Nuclear	Plant, U	nit 2					05	05000391 1 OF 7							
				xiliary Fo	eedwa	iter Pun	np Inc	operable	e for L	onger t	han	Allowable O	utage Tim	ne di	ue to Ti	ırbin	e Sj	beed
	5. E		ATE	6.	LER N	UMBER		7. F	REPOR	T DATE		8.	OTHER FA		TIES INV	OLVE	D	
	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		IENTIAL MBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY		AR	FACILITY NAME	N/A			DOCKET NUMBER		
1	05	11	2016	2016		02 -	01	09	02	20 ⁻		FACILITY NAME	N/A			N/.	A	ET NUMBER
	9. OPE	RATING	MODE	11. '	THIS R	EPORT	S SUBI		VRSU	ANT TO	THE	REQUIREMEN	rs of 10 C	FR §	: (Check	all t	hat a	pply)
				20.2201(b)				20.2	203(a)	(3)(i)		50.73(a))(2)(ii)(A)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			viii)(A)	
		3		20.2201(d)				20.2	203(a)	(3)(ii)		🔲 50.73(a))(2)(ii)(B)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			viii)(B)
		5		20.2203(a)(1)				20.2203(a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)			ix)(A)
				20.2203(a)(2)(i)				50.36(c)(1)(i)(A				50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(x)			x)
						50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)			Τ	50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71(a)(4)					
							50.36(c)(2) 50.46(a)(3)(ii)			Т	50.73(a))(2)(v)(B)	73.71(a)(5)					
	20.2203(a)(2)(iv)					Т				50.73(a))(2)(v)(C)	73.77(a)(1)						
	0 20.2203(a)(2)(v)					50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)			Т	50.73(a))(2)(v)(D)	73.77(a)(2)(i)				i)		
				20.	2203(a)	(2)(vi)		50.7	'3(a)(2)	(i)(B)	B) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)				73.77(a)(2)(ii)			
								50.7	'3(a)(2)	(i)(C)			Specify in	Abstra	act below or i	n NRC	Form	366A
							12. L	ICENSEE	CONT	ACT FO	R TI	HIS LER						
	LICENSEE Dear			sing Eng	ineer								TEL	EPHO				
1			,			ONE LIN	E FOR	EACH C	OMPO		NILU		IN THIS R	EPO	423-4 RT	52-4	589	
	CAUSI	E	SYSTEM	СОМРО	ONENT	MANU FACTU		REPORTA TO EPI		CAUS	E	SYSTEM	COMPONEN	Т	MANU- FACTURE			PORTABLE
	14. SUP	PLEME	NTAL REP		PECTE)						15. EXP			MONTH	DA	Y	YEAR
		ES (If ye	s, complet	te 15. EXP	PECTEL	SUBMIS	ssion	DATE)		0			MISSION ATE	Γ				
	YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO DATE ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On April 14, 2016, during performance of Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.7.5.2, the Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater pump (TDAFWP) failed to achieve required rated speed of 3950 rpm ± 25 rpm due to an equipment failure. The TDAFWP was declared inoperable, and Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.7.5, Condition B, was entered. The equipment was repaired, the TDAFWP was re-tested successfully and returned to service. TS LCO 3.7.5 was exited on May 4, 2016. On May 11, 2016, a past operability evaluation concluded that the TDAFWP had been inoperable from March 30 through April 17, 2016, during periods of time when the TDAFWP was required for Mode 3 operations. This is reportable as a condition prohibited by TS. During the same time period, the 2A-A Motor Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump (MDAFWP) experienced an oil leak																	
1	throu	igh the	inboard		hous	ing ven	t cap	that res	ulted i			l to add appro						

1979 November 1979 Constant of the second					ge 2 of 7
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORT (LER)	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 319 Estimated burden per response to com lessons learned are incorporated intr comments regarding burden estimate the F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commin Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of M used to impose an information collectic NRC may not conduct or sponsor, a collection.	ply with this may be the licensing the FOIA, P ssion, Washing the Desk Offic anagement and on does not dis	andatory collection request: a g process and fed back t rivacy and Information Colle gton, DC 20555-0001, or by er, Office of Information and B Budget, Washington, DC 2 play a currently valid OMB of	to industry. Send actions Branch (T-5 y internet e-mail to d Regulatory Affairs, 20503. If a means control number, the
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOC	KET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBER	R
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2	05000391		year 2016	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER - 002	REV NO. - 01
NARRATIVE					
I. PLANT OPERATING CONDITION	NS BEFORE THE	EVENT			
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) U	nit 2 was in Mod	e 3 at zero percent rated	d therma	I power.	
II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT					
A. Event					
On April 14, 2016, during the Comprehensive Pump Test, Feedwater Pump (TDAFWP) result, the test was suspende ± 25 rpm was a conservative code. Following this 2-SI-3-9 test was re-performed and wh on mini-flow, the speed at full speed requirement. As a result the pump was declared INOP During the first performance of experienced a spurious overs rpm and was being used to fil {EIIS:LCV} were secured at 0 rose to approximately 4100 rp speed dropped to approximat the Main Control Room receiv confirmed at the pump that th turbine is 110% of rated spee shooting performed on the TE the signal converter in the TD verified that a loose terminatio On March 29, 2016, system e 2A-A Motor Driven Auxiliary F an oil leak through the inboard check the oil bubbler three tin March 30, 2016, the vent cap 2016, checks of the oil bubble of April 11th and April 16th) fr OPERABLE during this timefr INOPERABLE for tracking pu 4 and required only one train	the Terry Turbine failed to reach 39 d. Following res value rather than 23-S was revised file the pump did flow (814 gpm) v It of the failure of ERABLE at 0016 of the comprehen peed trip. The T I the steam gene 057 hours, and w om. The turbine s ely 3813 rpm as ved alarm 60-A, A e TDAFWP had i d, or 4345 rpm. A DAFWP determine AFWP speed sw on could cause a engineering report eedwater Pump d bearing housing thes per shift and was repaired and er were suspende om April 4 throug ame. On April 13 rposes due to inc of auxiliary feedw	EIIS:TRB) associated 250 rpm ± 25 rpm as rec earch into the requirem the ± 1% of speed (± 3) to incorporate a require was 3878 rpm which did the TDAFWP to satisfy hours on April 14, 2016 sive pump test on April DAFWP had failed to m rators (SGs). The TDAI with the turbine speed co speed control {EIIS:SC} read by Maintenance ar NFW PMP A-S ELEC OV n fact tripped. The elect As such, this trip was de ed that a loose connecti itch. Based on a review spurious TDAFWP over ted that the oil level in the (MDAFWP){EIIS:P} was g vent cap was the caus to add approximately 4 d oil level remained state ed. Oil additions were co h April 17, 2016. The N 7, 2016, the 2A-A MDAF creased oil consumption vater.	with the juired by ent, it was 9 rpm) re- ement of ment wh not meet the surv 5. 14, 2016 eet the r FW Leve ontrol still was retu- net on existe of the v respeed the sempty. be. The i ounces of ont a state of the v for the v fo	Turbine Driven A the procedure. as determined the equired by the A 3950 rpm ± 39 then the pump was the minimum 3 reillance required to the TDAFWP equired speed o control Valves I in Manual the s rined to Auto an Equipment. At the ED TRIP. It was rerspeed setpoir d to be spurious ed at the power s endor manual, it rip. The bearing bubble I was determininitial response w of oil on a daily b 000 hours on Ma daily (with the e was considered time, Unit 2 was 0.73(a)(2)(i)(B),	As a at the SME rpm. The as started 3911 rpm ments, f 3925 speed d the his time, s f f or the . Trouble supply to t was ler for the hed that was to basis. On arch 30, exception d in Mode

				Pag	ge 3 of 7			
NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 315	50-0104	EXPIRE	S: 10/31/2018			
		Estimated burden per response to complessons learned are incorporated into comments regarding burden estimate to F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commis Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Mi used to impose an information collectio NRC may not conduct or sponsor, ar collection.	the licensing the FOIA, Possion, Washing the Desk Offic anagement and on does not dis	g process and fed back t rivacy and Information Colle gton, DC 20555-0001, or b er, Office of Information and 1 Budget, Washington, DC play a currently valid OMB	o industry. Sence actions Branch (T-5 y internet e-mail to I Regulatory Affairs 20503. If a means control number, the			
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOC	CKET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBEI	2			
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2	05000391		year 2016	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER - 002	REV NO. - 01			
NARRATIVE	8				A			
B. Inoperable Structures, Co	omponents, or Sy	stems that Contributed I	to the Ev	vent				
There were no additional	structures, comp	onents or systems that o	contribut	ed to this event.				
C. Dates and Approximate T	limes of Occurrer	nces						
_DateTime (EDT) Ever								
		ak identified by system e	engineeri	ng				
	MDAFWP oil lea	ak repaired INOPERABLE TDAFWF	, ,					
	red Mode 3 with i	INOPERADLE I DARVVR						
		ith INOPERABLE TDAF						
	Commenced and aborted testing of TDAFWP due to low rpm							
	TDAFWP trips on spurious overspeed							
04/15/16 2113 TDA		oller replaced and pump	o placed	in standby				
		pairs to TDAFWP - stea						
04/17/16 0906 2A-A oil	MDAFWP consi	dered INOPERABLE for	r tracking	g due to a loss o	f			
04/20/16 1256 2A-A	MDAFWP exits	tracking LCO						
05/11/16 Past		e completed successfull valuation determined T		was				
D. Manufacturer and Model	Number of Comp	onents that Failed						
There were no componer speed control circuit likely			s due to	a loose wire in t	he			
E. Other Systems or Second	dary Functions Af	fected						
No other systems or fund	ctions were affect	ed.						
F. Method of discovery of ea	ach Component o	r System Failure or Pro	cedural I	Error				
The inboard bearing vent building rounds. The oil b					e			
The failure of the TDAFW overspeed were discover				AFWP trip on e	lectrical			
G. Failure Mode and Effect of	of Each Failed Co	mponent						

The initial evaluation of the oil leakage on the inboard bearing housing vent cap of the 2A-A MDAFWP concluded that the leakage did not result in the failure of the pump to perform its safety function. The 2A-A MDAFWP was operated throughout the time in question. Monitoring and oil

					Pag	ge 4 of 7
NRC FORM 366A (11-2015)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 315 Estimated burden per response to comp	oly with this m	andatory collection request: {	
	ICENSEE EVENT REP CONTINUATION S	• •	lessons learned are incorporated into comments regarding burden estimate tt F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commis Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to I NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Me used to impose an information collectio NRC may not conduct or sponsor, an collection.	o the FOIA, P ssion, Washin the Desk Offic anagement and in does not dis	rivacy and Information Colle gton, DC 20555-0001, or by cer, Office of Information and d Budget, Washington, DC 2 splay a currently valid OMB of	ections Branch (T-5 y internet e-mail to I Regulatory Affairs, 20503. If a means control number, the
1. FACILITY NAME		2. DOC			3. LER NUMBER	२
Watts Bar Nuclea	ar Plant Init 2	05000391		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.
		00000001		2016	- 002	- 01
NARRATIVE						
	additions were performed has been found to refute t			OPERA	ABLE. No inform	nation
	As noted in the Descriptio electronic overspeed trip of entire period that Unit 2 w while the pump was detern speeds, a spurious oversp accordance with the WBN mechanical failure or spur 2B-B MDAFWP that provis	circuit to the TDA vas in Mode 3 from rmined to be capa peed trip could ha N Unit 2 Final Safe rious control sign	AFW pump. This condition m 3/30/16 to 4/2/16 and able to produce required ave occurred at any time fety Analysis Report, failural will be addressed thro	on was p 4/8/16 t flow and during ure of the ough the	present through to o 4/17/16. As su d head at reduce this period. In e TDAFWP from operation of the	uch, ed n a
H.	. Operator Actions					
	Due to the failure of TDAF 923-S, the operators enter 3.7.5, Condition B, "One A Condition A."	ered Technical Sp	pecification (TS) Limiting	Conditio	on for Operation	(LCO)
I.	Automatically and Manual	Ily Initiated Safet	y System Responses			
	The condition described ir activation.	n this report did n	iot result in any automati	ic or ma	nual safety syste	∍ms
III. CAUS	SE OF THE EVENT					
А.	. The cause of each compo	onent or system f	ailure or personnel error	, if know	'n.	
	The inability of the TDAFV maintenance practices the				as the result of	
	The TDAFW pump incurre A loose wire was discover resulted in the spurious tri TDAFW pump overspeed existed prior to entering M	red in the power of ip. Work Order s I circuit following e	circuit to the electronic o searches determined that entry into Mode 3, which	verspee t no wor	ed trip module thank was performed	at I on the
B.	. The cause(s) and circums	stances for each l	human performance rela	ited root	cause.	
	Troubleshooting identified The connection on the tes performing the troubleshoo one quarter turn of the scr Manual revealed that over energizes to trip the TDAF loose termination could ca	st block is a flat pl poting reported fin rew before the pla rspeed trip modul FWP. As such, it	late over bare wire type ading the flat plate visibly ates began to snug on th le has an internal, norma was verified that mome	terminat / loose, r ne wire. ally ener ntary los	tion. The technic requiring approxi A review of Ven gized relay that as of power due t	cians imately idor de- to a

					Paç	je 5	of 7			
NRC FORI (11-2015)	LICENSEE EVENT REP	ORT (LER)	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 315 Estimated burden per response to comp lessons learned are incorporated into comments regarding burden estimate to F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commis Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to t NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Ma used to impose an information collection NRC may not conduct or sponsor, an collection.	ly with this ma the licensing the FOIA, P ssion, Washing the Desk Offic nagement and n does not dis	andatory collection request: g process and fed back t rivacy and information Colle gton, DC 20555-0001, or by er, Office of Information and d Budget, Washington, DC play a currently valid OMB of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content of the content	80 hour o indus octions y intern I Regula 20503. control	stry. Send Branch (T-5 net e-mail to atory Affairs, If a means number, the			
1. FACILIT	YNAME	2. DOC			3. LER NUMBER	२				
Watts B:	ar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2	05000391		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REV NO.			
				2016	- 002	-	01			
NARRATIV	E speed switch following pro switch.	oper termination	did not identify any defic	iencies	associated with	the				
IV.	ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT									
	The Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) sy feedwater supply, sufficient feedw core decay heat. It may also be re Control Room (MCR), cooldown a water head in the steam generato Without Scram (ATWS) event, 10 The TDAFW pump assembly alon pressure to ensure adequate flow	ater to the steam equired in some fter a Loss of Co rs following a LO CFR 50, Append g with the motor	n generators to remove p other circumstances suc polant Accident (LOCA) f OCA, a flood above plant dix R, Fires and to suppo driven (MDAFW) pump	orimary s h as the or a sma grade, <i>i</i> ort norma assemb	system residual e evacuation of the all break, mainta Anticipated Trans al cooldown. lies provide the	heat ne N ining sien	t and Iain g a t			
		stablish AFW system safety function requirements are:								
	Loss of Normal Main Feed Main Feedline or Main Ste Loss of offsite power (LOC Large Break Loss of Cool Small Break Loss of Cool Technical Specification 3.7.5, Aux OPERABLE in Modes 1, 2, and 3.	eamline Breaks (OP) ant Accident (LC ant Accident (SB illiary Feedwater	MFLB or MSLB) PCA) ELOCA) (AFW) System requires	all three						
	the Steam Generators are used for path) INOPERABLE in MODE 1, 2 to OPERABLE status within 72 ho turbine driven AFW pump. The 72 afforded by the AFW System, time (DBA) occurring during this time p	or Heat Removal. 2, or 3 for reason ours. This Conditi hour Completion e needed for repa	With one of the require s other than Condition A ion includes the loss of to n Time is reasonable, ba	ed AFW , action wo stear ised on	trains (pump or t must be taken to m supply lines to redundant capat	flow o res o the oilitie	store • es			
	During the timeframe that the TDA OPERABLE and capable of perfor was available. Both MDAFWPs w Additionally, while the TDAFWP c meeting Technical Specification fl	rming their desig /ere in-service du ould not achieve	n function. As a result, s uring the time that the TE rated speed acceptance	sufficien DAFWP e criteria	t AFW system ca was INOPERAB , it was capable	apat LE.				
V.	ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CON	ISEQUENCES								
	A. Availability of systems or components and systems			ne same	function as the					
	As discussed previously, 3, both MDAFWPs were 0									

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) License between the reported with the report of the lead of the local problem between the report of the local problem between the local problem between the report of the local problem between the report of the local problem between the local problem between the report of report problem between the local problem between the report of re						Pag	je 6 of 7			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) LICENSEE LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) LICENSEE LICENSEE		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION							
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 05000391 YEAR SEGUENTIAL 2016 002 - 00 NARRATIVE sufficient AFW system capability was available. Both MDAFWPs were in-service during the time that the TDAFWP was INOPERABLE. Additionally, while the TDAFWP could not achieve rated speed acceptance criteria, it was capable of meeting Technical Specification flow and pressure requirements at the reduced speed. B. For events that occurred when the reactor was shut down, availability of systems or components needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain safe shutdown conditions, remove residual heat, control the release of radioactive material, or mitigate the consequences of an accident. At the time of this occurrence, Watts Bar Unit 2 had not achieved initial oriticality. With the MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown condition was not impacted. With no core decay heat, removal of residual heat is not an issue. With no irradiated fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not credible, The inoperability of the TDAFWP would not have adversely impacted the ability to mitigate the consequences of an accident. C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Nates and the insue of the adversely tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 14, 2016. These exert wo timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 an April 8, 2016 at 1244 hours and subsequently musices on March 30, 2016 and then moved back to Mode 4 at 0529 hours on April 12, 2016. The second occurred whe	AND		Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.							
 Wattis Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 USUU391 2016 - 002 - 0 NARRATIVE Sufficient AFW system capability was available. Both MDAFWPs were in-service during the time that the TDAFWP as INOPERABLE. Additionally, while the TDAFWP could not achieve rated speed acceptance criteria, it was capable of meeting Technical Specification flow and pressure requirements at the reduced speed. B. For events that occurred when the reactor was shut down, availability of systems or components needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain safe shutdown conditions, remove residual heat, control the release of radioactive material, or mitigate the consequences of an accident. At the time of this occurrence, Watts Bar Unit 2 had not achieved initial criticality. With the MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown condition was not impacted. With no core decay heat, removal of residual heat is not an issue. With no irradiated fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not crabible. The inoperability of the DAFWP would not have adversely impacted the ability to mitigate the consequences of an accident. C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Watts Bar Unit 2 entered Mode 3 on March 30, 2016 at 2314 hours. The TDAFWP failed to achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 14, 2016. There were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 2314 hours on March 30, 2016 at d then moved back to Mode 4 at 0629 hours on April 2, 2016. The second occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 3314 hours on March 30, 2016 at d tam moved back to Mode 4 at 0529 hours on Ap	1. FACILITY NAME		2. DOC	KET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBER	2			
 NARRATIVE sufficient AFW system capability was available. Both MDAFWPs were in-service during the time that the TDAFWP was INOPERABLE. Additionally, while the TDAFWP could not achieve rated speed acceptance criteria, it was capable of meeting Technical Specification flow and pressure requirements at the reduced speed. B. For events that occurred when the reactor was shut down, availability of systems or components needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain safe shutdown conditions, remove residual heat, control the release of radioactive material, or mitigate the consequences of an accident. At the time of this occurrence, Watts Bar Unit 2 had not achieved initial criticality. With the MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown the vactor and was not impacted. With no core decay heat, removal of residual heat is not an issue. With no irradiated fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not crabile. The inoperability of the TDAFWP would not have adversely impacted the ability to mitigate the consequences of an accident. C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Watts Bar Unit 2 entered Mode 3 on March 30, 2016 at 2314 hours. The TDAFWP failed to achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 4, 2016. There were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 2314 hours on Warch 30, 2016 at d then moved back to Mode 4 at 028 hours on April 2, 2016. The second occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 334 hours on March 30, 2016 at d tam invest based on the above, the unavailability imoved back to Mod 4 on April 17, 2016 at 0338 hours. Based on the above, the unavaila	Watts Bar Nucle	ear Plant, Unit 2	05000391				REV NO. - 01			
 that the TDAFWP was INOPERABLE. Additionally, while the TDAFWP could not achieve rated speed acceptance criteria, it was capable of meeting Technical Specification flow and pressure requirements at the reduced speed. B. For events that occurred when the reactor was shut down, availability of systems or components needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain safe shutdown conditions, remove residual heat, control the release of radioactive material, or mitigate the consequences of an accident. At the time of this occurrence, Watts Bar Unit 2 had not achieved initial criticality. With the MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown condition was not impacted. With no conditied fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not credible, The inoperability of the TDAFWP would not have adversely impacted the ability to mitigate the consequences of an accident. C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Watts Bar Unit 2 entered Mode 3 on March 30, 2016 at 2314 hours. The TDAFWP failed to achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0627 hours on April 14, 2016. Thes were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 2314 hours on March 30, 2016 and then moved back to Mode 4 at 0629 hours on April 2314 hours on March 30, 2016 at 15 minutes and 7 days, 14 hours and subsequently window was 2 days. 7 hours and 15 minutes and 7 days, 14 hours and 54 minutes respectively for a total exposure time 9 days, 22 hours and 9 minutes. VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority Corrective Action Program and is being tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431.	NARRATIVE	т, — Панина и на селото на село -								
 needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain safe shutdown conditions, remove residual heat, control the release of radioactive material, or mitigate the consequences of an accident. At the time of this occurrence, Watts Bar Unit 2 had not achieved initial criticality. With the MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown condition was not impacted. With no core decay heat, removal of residual heat is not an issue. With no irradiated fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not credible. The inoperability of the TDAFWP would not have adversely impacted the ability to mitigate the consequences of an accident. C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Watts Bar Unit 2 entered Mode 3 on March 30, 2016 at 2314 hours. The TDAFWP failed to achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 14, 2016. There were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when U12 entered Mode 3 at 2314 hours on March 30, 2016 and then moved back to Mode 4 at 0629 hours on April 2, 2016. The second occurred when U2 reentered Mode 3 on April 8, 2016 at 1244 hours and subsequently moved back to Mod 4 on April 17, 2016 at 0338 hours. Based on the above, the unavailability window was 2 days, 7 hours and 15 minutes and 7 days, 14 hours and 54 minutes respectively for a total exposure time 9 days, 22 hours and 9 minutes. VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority Corrective Action Program and is being tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431. A. Immediate Corrective Actions The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequen		that the TDAFWP was INC speed acceptance criteria requirements at the reduc	DPERABLE. Add , it was capable o ed speed.	ditionally, while the TDA of meeting Technical Sp	FWP co ecification	ould not achieve r on flow and pres	rated sure			
 MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown condition was not impacted. With no core decay heat, removal of residual heat is not an issue. With no irradiated fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not credible. The inoperability of the TDAFWP would not have adversely impacted the ability to mitigate the consequences of an accident. C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Watts Bar Unit 2 entered Mode 3 on March 30, 2016 at 2314 hours. The TDAFWP failed to achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 14, 2016. There were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 21314 hours and subsequently window was 2 days, 7 hours and 15 minutes and 7 days, 14 hours and 54 minutes respectively for a total exposure time 9 days, 22 hours and 9 minutes. VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority Corrective Action Program and is being tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431. A. Immediate Corrective Actions The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequently, the speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016 	, B	needed to shutdown the re	eactor and maint	ain safe shutdown cond	itions, re	emove residual h				
 from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service. Watts Bar Unit 2 entered Mode 3 on March 30, 2016 at 2314 hours. The TDAFWP failed to achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 14, 2016. There were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 2314 hours on March 30, 2016 and then moved back to Mode 4 at 0629 hours on April 2, 2016. The second occurred when U2 reentered Mode 3 on April 8, 2016 at 1244 hours and subsequently moved back to Mod 4 on April 17, 2016 at 0338 hours. Based on the above, the unavailability window was 2 days, 7 hours and 15 minutes and 7 days, 14 hours and 54 minutes respectively for a total exposure time 9 days, 22 hours and 9 minutes. VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority Corrective Action Program and is being tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431. A. Immediate Corrective Actions The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequently, the speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016 		MDAFWPs in service and no decay heat on Unit 2, the ability to shut down the reactor and maintain a safe shutdown condition was not impacted. With no core decay heat, removal of residual heat is not an issue. With no irradiated fuel in the Unit 2 core, an uncontrolled release of radioactive material is not credible. The inoperability of the TDAFWP would not have adversely								
 achieve minimum speed acceptance criteria as required by the surveillance and subsequently tripped on electrical overspeed at 0057 hours on April 14, 2016. There were two timeframes of TDAFWP unavailability. The first occurred when Unit 2 entered Mode 3 at 2314 hours on March 30, 2016 and then moved back to Mode 4 at 0629 hours on April 2, 2016. The second occurred when U2 reentered Mode 3 on April 8, 2016 at 1244 hours and subsequently moved back to Mode 4 on April 17, 2016 at 0338 hours. Based on the above, the unavailability window was 2 days, 7 hours and 15 minutes and 7 days, 14 hours and 54 minutes respectively for a total exposure time 9 days, 22 hours and 9 minutes. VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority Corrective Action Program and is being tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431. A. Immediate Corrective Actions The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequently, the speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016 	с	C. For failure that rendered a train of a safety system INOPERABLE, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service.								
 This event was entered into the Tennessee Valley Authority Corrective Action Program and is being tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431. A. Immediate Corrective Actions The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequently, the speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016 		achieve minimum speed a tripped on electrical overs TDAFWP unavailability. T 30, 2016 and then moved when U2 reentered Mode 4 on April 17, 2016 at 033 hours and 15 minutes and	acceptance criteri peed at 0057 hou he first occurred back to Mode 4 3 on April 8, 201 8 hours. Based c 7 days, 14 hours	ia as required by the sur urs on April 14, 2016. T when Unit 2 entered Ma at 0629 hours on April 2 6 at 1244 hours and sul on the above, the unava	veillanc here we ode 3 at , 2016. osequen ilability v	e and subsequer re two timeframe 2314 hours on M The second occ tly moved back t vindow was 2 da	ntly es of March urred o Mode ys, 7			
 tracked under condition report (CR) 1163431. A. Immediate Corrective Actions The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequently, the speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016 	VI. CORI	RECTIVE ACTIONS								
The TDAFWP speed control was initially inspected, the loose wire corrected. Subsequently, the speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016				Authority Corrective Act	ion Prog	ram and is being]			
speed changer module was replaced, the speed control module was calibrated and the TDAFWP successfully completed TS surveillance requirements. The 2A-A MDAFWP oil bubbler monitoring and oil additions were performed. Oil additions were continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016	A	. Immediate Corrective Action	ons							
continued daily (with the exception of April 11th and April 16th) from April 4 through April 17, 2016		speed changer module wa	as replaced, the s	speed control module wa						
B. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence	В	. Corrective Actions to Prev	ent Recurrence							
A strainer cup was installed on the 2A-A MDAFWP in the line containing the bearing cover cap. This device prevents oil spray from blowing past the bearing cover cap and successfully stopped the oil leakage.		This device prevents oil sp								

I

						гац	ge 7 of 7			
NRC FORM (11-2015)	1 366A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 31 Estimated burden per response to com			S: 10/31/2018 80 hours. Reported			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET 1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. LER NUMBER										
1. FACILIT	Y NAME		2. DOC	KET NUMBER		3. LER NUMBER	२			
Watts Ba	ar Nuclea	r Plant, Unit 2	05000391	YEAR		REV NO.				
NARRATIV	E				2016	- 002	- 01			
VII.	ADDIT	IONAL INFORMATION								
	A.	Previous similar events at	the same plant							
		On May 14, 2011, at 14:2 (WBN) entered Mode 3 w INOPERABLE following n INOPERABLE condition v 3.7.5.2 on May 16, 2011. plant entered Limiting Cor repaired and the TDAFW met the acceptance criter May 19, 2011. This report (390/2011-03) was OPERABLE when the therefore, did not violate L Additional Information None. Safety System Functional Based on the initial conclu- with the existing oil leak, t	ith the Turbine D naintenance durin vas identified dur The TDAFW pun ndition for Operat pump was re-tes ia within the allow was subsequent e plant first enter CO 3.0.4. Failure Consider usion that the 2A- his condition did	riven Auxiliary Feedwate ing the WBN Cycle 10 re ing performance of Surv p failed SR 3.7.5.2 due ion (LCO) 3.7.5, Condit ted in accordance with 5 vable time and the plant ly retracted when TVA of ed Mode 3 following Re ration A MDAFWP could perform not result in a safety system	er (TDA fueling o veillance to equip ion B. TI SR 3.7.5 exited L conclude fueling C	FW) pump butage. The Requirement (S oment failure, an ne faulty equipm 5.2. The TDAFW CO 3.7.5 at 17:4 ed that the TDAF Dutage Cycle 10	R) d the ent was pump 45 on WP and, on			
	because two MDAFWPs were capable of supplying AFW to all four steam generators. Follow- up investigation found no evidence to refute the conclusion that the MDAFWPs remained OPERABLE.									
	D. Scrams with Complications Consideration									
		There was no scram asso	ciated with this e	vent.						
VIII.	COMM	IITMENTS								
	None.									