

JUL 10 1979

DOCKET NOS. 50-269, 50-270, 50-287, and 50-313

NOTE TO: Robert W. Reid, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, DOR

FROM: William O. Miller, Chief, License Fee Management Branch, ADM

SUBJECT: FEE CONSIDERATIONS FOR TWO APPLICATIONS EACH ON OCONEE UNITS
1, 2, AND 3 AND ANO - 1 UNIT 1

Attached are the originals of the fee forms which you recently sent to this Branch on the subject facilities:

1. ORB #4-193/79 (signed 6/19/79) for application dated May 25, 1979 on Oconee Units 1, 2, and 3 relating to feedwater system. For this item, you determined that the application is exempt from fees because it was requested by NRC Order dated 5/7/79.
2. ORB #4-195/79 (signed 6/20/79) for application dated June 6, 1979 for ANO-1 on feedwater system. For this item, you determined that the Class III fee paid by AP&L is correct.
3. ORB #4-194/79 (signed 6/20/79) for application dated 5/21/79 on Oconee Units 1, 2, and 3 which appears to respond to I&E Bulletin 79-05B on setpoints of the pressurizer electromatic relief valve and reactor coolant system high pressure trip. In this case, you determined that the application is exempt from fees because the 5/7/79 Order (page 3) requested it.
4. ORB #4-196/79 (signed 6/20/79) for application dated 4/24/79 on ANO-1 Unit 1 which appears to also be in response to I&E Bulletin 79-05B on the same subject as item 3 above. For this item, you determined that a Class III fee is due because it is not related to reload application, as the licensee implies.

After reviewing items 1 and 2 above, it appears that if we exempt the application for Oconee from fees and charge for ANO application we would be inconsistent on fees since both items seem to be in response to NRC orders (5/7/79 and 5/17/79).

7908290508

On item 3 above, for which you requested an exemption because the 5/7/79 Order required the application, we can only find a discussion of the subject on page 3 of the order. This application does not seem to be a part of the ordered items, but rather only a discussion on what the Bulletin required. Consequently, we do not feel that item 3 for Oconee should be exempt. We feel that items 3 and 4 above are both subject to amendment fees.

M4

Robert W. Reid

-2-

JUL 10 1979

It would be greatly appreciated if you would re-review the above two situations and provide further comments on them for our clarification. If you agree that the fee assessments should be changed, simply provide amended fee forms to us with any necessary clarifying notes.

Original Signed by
Wm. O. Miller

William O. Miller
License Fee Management Branch
Office of Administration

Enclosures:
Items 1 thru 4 above w/applications

cc w/o enclosures:
M. Fairtile, ORB-4
G. Vissing, ORB-4
R. Ingram, ORB-4
R. Diggs, LFMB

DISTRIBUTION-w/o enclosures:
Regulatory Docket Files 50-313, 50-269, 50-270, 50-287
LFMB Reactor Files 50-313, 50-269, 50-270; 50-287
(w/copy of fee forms only)
LFMB R/F

OFFICE	LFMB:ADM	LFMB:ADM	LFMB:ADM		
SURNAME	<i>mb</i>	CJH	WOM		
DATE	7/2/79	7/1/79	7/5/79		