

FROM: Dept. of Health, Education & Welfare
 Washington, D. C. 20201
 Merlin K. DuVal

DATE OF DOCUMENT: 2-3-72	DATE RECEIVED: 2-8-72	NO.:
LTR. X	MEMO:	REPORT:
OTHER:		

TO: Lester Rogers


ORIG.:	CC:	OTHER:
1		
ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE <input type="checkbox"/>	DATE ANSWERED:
NO ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/>	BY:

CLASSIF: U POST OFFICE REG. NO:

FILE CODE: 50-269 (ENVIRO FILE)

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)
 Ltr re our 12-13-71 ltr...furnishing
 comments on the draft environmental
 statement for Oconee Nuclear Station,
 Unit #1...

REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
J. G. Keppler w/2 cys for ACTION	2-8-72		
DISTRIBUTION:			

ENCLOSURES: 

- Reg File
- AEC PDR
- Compliance (2)
- Paulus
- M. Fitzpatrick
- DeYoung
- N. Dube
- Morris/Schroeder

REMARKS:
 (1 cy Local PDR - Walhalla, S. C.)

Schwencer		Do Not Remove ACKNOWLEDGED	
Karas			
DiMunno	GT	A-170	
			678
			rht



Regulatory


File Cy.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

50-269

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FEB 8 1972



Mr. Lester Rogers
Director
Division of Radiological and
Environmental Protection
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Rogers:

This is in response to your letter of December 13, 1971, wherein you requested comments on the draft environmental impact statement for the Oconee Nuclear Station Unit 1.


This Department has reviewed the health aspects of the above project as presented in the documents submitted. This proposed nuclear generating station does not appear to represent a hazard to public health and safety. Moreover, it appears that any delay in such construction and operation could result in power shortages with consequences prejudicial to the public health.

Final documentation concerning this station should include information on actions to be initiated in the event of an accident including notification of and participation with cognizant State and local authorities. It should also describe arrangements for the exchange of information and coordination with such authorities on routine environmental surveillance activities.

The opportunity to review the draft environmental impact statement is appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Merlin K. DuVal, M.D.
Assistant Secretary for
Health and Scientific Affairs



678


CB



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FEB 3 1972



Mr. Lester Rogers
Director
Division of Radiological and
Environmental Protection
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Rogers:

This is in response to your letter of December 13, 1971, wherein you requested comments on the draft environmental impact statement for the Oconee Nuclear Station Unit 1.

This Department has reviewed the health aspects of the above project as presented in the documents submitted. This proposed nuclear generating station does not appear to represent a hazard to public health and safety. Moreover, it appears that any delay in such construction and operation could result in power shortages with consequences prejudicial to the public health.

Final documentation concerning this station should include information on actions to be initiated in the event of an accident including notification of and participation with cognizant State and local authorities. It should also describe arrangements for the exchange of information and coordination with such authorities on routine environmental surveillance activities.

The opportunity to review the draft environmental impact statement is appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Merlin K. DuVal, M.D.
Assistant Secretary for
Health and Scientific Affairs

678