

FROM: Department of Transportation
 Washington, D.C. 20590
 W.H. Bensert

TO: Mr. Lester Rogers

DATE OF DOCUMENT Jan. 14, 1972	DATE RECEIVED Jan. 19, 1972	NO.:
LTR. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MEMO:	REPORT: OTHER:
ORIG.:	CC:	OTHER:
ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE <input type="checkbox"/>	DATE ANSWERED:
NO ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/>	BY:

CLASSIF.: U
 POST OFFICE
 REG. NO:

FILE CODE:
50-269 (ENVIRO FILE)

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)
 Ltr re our 12-13-71 & their 3-24-71 ltrs...
 furnishing info in reference to draft
 detailed statement for Oconee Station
 Unit No. 1.....

REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
J.G. Keppler w/2 cys for ACTION	1-19-72		

ENCLOSURES:

DISTRIBUTION:
 Reg File Cy
 AEC PDR
 Compliance (2)
 Henderson
 Paulus
 M. Fitzpatrick
 DeYoung
 N. Dube

REMARKS:

Morris/Schroeder
 Schwencer
 Karas
 DiNummo A-170

DO NOT REMOVE
ACKNOWLEDGED

DL



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD


50-269

MAILING ADDRESS:
U.S. COAST GUARD (WS/83)
400 SEVENTH STREET SW.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590
PHONE: (202) 426-2262



• SA 0306

14 JAN 1972



- Mr. Lester Rogers
Director, Division of Radiological
and Environmental Protection
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Rogers:


This is in response to your letter of 13 December 1971 addressed to Mr. Herbert F. DeSimone, Assistant Secretary for Environment and Urban Systems, Department of Transportation, concerning the draft detailed statement on the environmental considerations for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1, of the Duke Power Company, South Carolina.

Reference is made to our letter of 24 March 1971 addressed to Mr. Harold L. Price, Director of Regulation, AEC, regarding this Department's previous review of the Oconee Station.

The concerned operating administrations and staff of the Department of Transportation have reviewed the additional material and the draft detailed statement on the environmental considerations of the Oconee Station and no further comments are offered.

The opportunity for the Department of Transportation to review this report is appreciated.

Sincerely,



W. M. Benkert
W. M. BENKERT

Rear Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard
Chief, Office of Marine Environment
and Systems

LB

302