

Housing and Urban Development
Georgia 30323

Leo J. Zuber

DATE OF DOCUMENT
1-14-72

DATE RECEIVED
1-18-72

NO.:

LTR.
X

MEMO:

REPORT:

OTHER:

TO:
Lester Rogers

ORIG.:
1

CC:

OTHER:

ACTION NECESSARY

CONCURRENCE

DATE ANSWERED:

NO ACTION NECESSARY

COMMENT

BY:

FILE CODE:

50-269

(ENVIRO FILE)

CLASSIF.:

POST OFFICE

REG. NO.:

U

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)

Ltr re our 12-13-71 ltr...furnishing
comments on draft Environmental Statement
and related papers for Oconee Nuclear
Power Station, Unit #1...

REFERRED TO

DATE

RECEIVED BY

DATE

J. G. Keppler
w/2 cys for ACTION

1-18-72

DISTRIBUTION:

Reg File
ABC PDR
Compliance (2)
Henderson
Paulus
M. Fitzpatrick
DeYoung
N. Dube
Morris/Schroeder
Schwencer
Keras
DiNunno

GT

A-170

Do Not Remove
ACKNOWLEDGED

rht

ENCLOSURES:

REMARKS:

U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

MAIL CONTROL FORM

FORM AEC-326
(8-60)



DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
PEACHTREE SEVENTH BUILDING, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30323


January 14, 1972

REGION IV

50-269

IN REPLY REFER TO:
4ME

Mr. Lester Rogers
Director, Division of Radiological
and Environmental Protection
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D.C. 20545



Dear Mr. Rogers:

We have reviewed the draft Environmental Statement and related papers on the Oconee Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, of the Duke Power Company (Docket No. 50-269) which you transmitted by letter dated December 13, 1971, to Mr. Edward H. Baxter, Regional Administrator.

These reviews reveal that there is no objection by this Department in those areas of concern or special expertise in which HUD has an interest relative to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1.

However, there is ancillary comment to proposed future recreational and residential development in the Keowee Lake area. The element of possible subdivision development adjacent to the lake was not clearly defined. Extreme care should be taken to establish good design criteria and development controls for this type of development. Any plans and proposals should be coordinated with the areawide planning agency for that region of South Carolina. Similarly, designated design criteria and development control should be exercised in providing for recreational uses and its allied commercial activities.


Historically, a majority of lakeside development has left much to be desired in terms of long term utility. Therefore, the necessity of establishing model development control relative to residential, commercial and related development would be essential to protect and enhance the Keowee-Toxaway environment.

We return herewith a copy of the documentation surplus to our needs.

Sincerely,

Leo J. Zuber
Acting Assistant Regional Administrator
Community Planning and Management

Enclosure



LB