

FROM: S.C. Department of Archives and
History
Columbia, S.C. 29211
Charles E. Lee

DATE OF DOCUMENT: 3-20-72
DATE RECEIVED: 3-23-72
NO.: 3-23-72

TO: Mr. Rogers

LTR. MEMO: REPORT: OTHER:
X
ORIG.: CC: OTHER:
1 signed

CLASSIF: U POST OFFICE REG. NO:

ACTION NECESSARY CONCURRENCE DATE ANSWERED:
NO ACTION NECESSARY COMMENT BY:
FILE CODE: 50-269 (ENVIRO FILE)

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)
Ltr furnishing info on Draft Detailed Statement, regarding Historic Land within area... for Oconee Nuclear Station Unit 1


REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
Dicker W/2 cy for ACTION	3-23-72		
DISTRIBUTION			

ENCLOSURES: (1 cy rec'd)

Reg File AEC PDR		G. Blanc H. Denton	
Compliance (2) Muller			
Thorburg M. Fitzpatrick (Ltr only)			
De young H. Dube (Ltr only)			

REMARKS:
1 cy Local PDR (Walhalla, S.C.)

Morris/Schroeder Schwencer		DO NOT REMOVE ACKNOWLEDGED	
Kapas J. Dinanno A-170-67 Kastner			




South Carolina Department of Archives and History
1430 Senate Street
Columbia, S. C.

P. O. Box 11,188
Capitol Station 29211

March 20, 1972

50 - 269



Mr. Lester Rogers, Director
Division of Radiological &
Environmental Protection
United States Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Rogers:

Thank you for your information on the Draft Detailed Statement on the Environmental Considerations for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Docket 50-269, issued on December 13, 1971, as well as the Detailed Statement issued on February 3, 1971.

Duke Power Company has been most cooperative, but this project was initiated before pertinent federal legislation was enacted, and only limited opportunity was given for protection of historic or archeological sites, or for investigation of possible adverse effects within the area impounded.


Although, so far as is known, no one on a state level has discussed with Duke Power Company the historic value of lands surrounding the lakes, it is our understanding that the Pendleton District Historical & Recreational Commission is working with Duke in the moving of a historic house in the area. We also understand, through the Pendleton Commission, that Duke is protecting an old Presbyterian Church and has moved other endangered cemeteries. It is our assumption, therefore, that nothing on the peripheral lands of this project is currently endangered.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Christie Z. Faut

for
Charles E. Lee
State Liaison Officer for
Historic Preservation



CEL/pn



South Carolina Department of Archives and History
1430 Senate Street
Columbia, S.C.

P. O. Box 11,188
Capitol Station 29211

March 20, 1972



50 - 269

Mr. Lester Rogers, Director
Division of Radiological &
Environmental Protection
United States Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Rogers:

Thank you for your information on the Draft Detailed Statement on the Environmental Considerations for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Docket 50-269, issued on December 13, 1971, as well as the Detailed Statement issued on February 3, 1971.

Duke Power Company has been most cooperative, but this project was initiated before pertinent federal legislation was enacted, and only limited opportunity was given for protection of historic or archeological sites, or for investigation of possible adverse effects within the area impounded.

Although, so far as is known, no one on a state level has discussed with Duke Power Company the historic value of lands surrounding the lakes, it is our understanding that the Pendleton District Historical & Recreational Commission is working with Duke in the moving of a historic house in the area. We also understand, through the Pendleton Commission, that Duke is protecting an old Presbyterian Church and has moved other endangered cemeteries. It is our assumption, therefore, that nothing on the peripheral lands of this project is currently endangered.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,
Christie Z. Faut
for
Charles E. Lee
State Liaison Officer for
Historic Preservation

CEL/pn

1568