

FROM: Department of Transportation
 U. S. Coast Guard
 Washington, D. C. 20591
 H. A. Solberg

DATE OF DOCUMENT: 3-24-71
 DATE RECEIVED: 3-26-71
 NO.:
 LTR. MEMO: REPORT: OTHER:
 X

TO: Harold L. Price

ORIG.: 1
 CC:
 OTHER:
 ACTION NECESSARY
 NO ACTION NECESSARY
 CONCURRENCE
 COMMENT
 DATE ANSWERED:
 BY:

CLASSIF: U
 POST OFFICE
 REG. NO:

FILE CODE:
 50-269 50-270 50-287 (ENVIRO FILE)


DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)
 Ltr re our 2-12-71 ltr...furnishing
 comments on Duke Power's Enviro
 Statement for Oconee Nuclear Sta.....

REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
Long W/4 cys for ACTION	3-1-71		
(W/ORIG FOR MATS)(Orig to be returned to 016)			
DISTRIBUTION:			
Reg Files(ltr only 50-270/287)			
AEC FDR(ltr only 50-270/287)			
Compliance (2) Henderson		Klecker	
Felton Shapar(OGC(Rm P 506A)		G. Blanc	
DiNunno Boyd		DO NOT REMOVE	
DeYoung E. Price, SIR			ACKNOWLEDGED
N. Dube B. Chitzer/TR Morris/Schroeder			

ENCLOSURES:

REMARKS:
 OK for release per G. Blanc
 4-1-71.

2457 fod



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

Address reply to:
COMMANDANT (AWL)
U.S. COAST GUARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20591

SA 0306

24 MAR 1971

Mr. Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

50-269
50-270
50-287

Dear Mr. Price:



This is in response to your letter of 12 February 1971 addressed to Mr. Michael S. Cafferty, Acting Assistant Secretary for Environment and Urban Systems, concerning the environmental considerations for The Duke Power Company's Oconee Nuclear Station, South Carolina.

The concerned operating administrations of the Department of Transportation have reviewed the environmental considerations for the Oconee Nuclear Station. It is the determination of this Department that the impact of this project upon transportation is minimal. Other than to recommend issuance of the license and early construction of this project, no additional comments are offered.

The opportunity for the Department of Transportation to review and comment on this report is appreciated.

Sincerely,

[Handwritten Signature]
H. A. SOLBERG
Captain, U. S. Coast Guard
Acting Chief, Office of Public and International Affairs



1457

PS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

Address reply to:
COMMANDANT (AWL)
U.S. COAST GUARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20591

.SA 0306

24 MAR 1971

Mr. Harold L. Price
•Director of Regulation
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

50-269/270 /287


Dear Mr. Price:

This is in response to your letter of 12 February 1971 addressed to Mr. Michael S. Cafferty, Acting Assistant Secretary for Environment and Urban Systems, concerning the environmental considerations for The Duke Power Company's Oconee Nuclear Station, South Carolina.

The concerned operating administrations of the Department of Transportation have reviewed the environmental considerations for the Oconee Nuclear Station. It is the determination of this Department that the impact of this project upon transportation is minimal. Other than to recommend issuance of the license and early construction of this project, no additional comments are offered.

The opportunity for the Department of Transportation to review and comment on this report is appreciated.

Sincerely,


H. A. SQUIRE
Captain, U. S. Coast Guard
Acting Chief, Office of Public and International Affairs

1457