

FROM:
Fish & Wildlife Service
Washington, D.C. 20240
Willis King

DATE OF DOCUMENT:
Oct. 4, 1971

DATE RECEIVED
Oct. 6, 1971

LTR. MEMO: REPORT: OTHER:
X

TO:
Mr. Harold L. Price

ORIG.: CC: OTHER:
1

ACTION NECESSARY CONCURRENCE DATE ANSWERED:
 NO ACTION NECESSARY COMMENT BY:

CLASSIF: **U** POST OFFICE REG. NO:

FILE CODE:
50-269 50-270 50-287 (CONSULTANT FILE)

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)
Ltr in response to Mr. DeYoung's 7-12-71 ltr....furnishing comments on Enviro Monitoring Program for Oconee Station Units 1 thru 3.....

REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
Schwencer w/2 cys for ACTION	10-7-71		

ENCLOSURES:

DISTRIBUTION:
 Reg File Cy (3)
 AEC PDR (3)
 OGC-Ra-P-506-A
 Compliance (2)
 Dube/Wilson
 DeYoung

**DO NOT REMOVE
 ACKNOWLEDGED**

REMARKS:
**1 CY LOCAL PDR WALHALLA, S.C.
 DISTRIBUTION PER FRED O. DONALDSON**

DTIE (Laughlin)
 NSIC (Buchanan)
 ARC HQS LIB-J-004
 ASLB-Mr. Yore H St.
 Warren Nyer (2)
 Chief Water Reactors

1003

DL



Regulatory

File

ADDRESS ONLY THE DIRECTOR,
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES
AND WILDLIFE

United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

50-269
50-270
50-287

OCT 4 1971



Mr. Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D.C. 20545


Dear Mr. Price:

This is in response to Mr. DeYoung's letter of July 12, 1971, transmitting copies of the Pre-Operational Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring Program Report for the Duke Power Company's Oconee Nuclear Station, South Carolina, AEC Docket Nos. 50-269, 270, and 287.

The environmental monitoring program for this station for the most part is adequate, but data for benthic organisms are omitted in some tables. The list of criteria for the selection of sampling locations as mentioned on pages 6 and 7 of the report appears adequate. However, in subsequent tables, listing samples and locations (summary, 2-1 and 2-1a) no data are shown for benthic organisms. Also, the distance from the radioactive waste discharge point to the nearest downstream sampling station is not clearly indicated.

Sincerely yours,

Willis King
Assistant
Director



4313



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

ADDRESS ONLY THE DIRECTOR,
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES
AND WILDLIFE

50-269
50-270
50-287

OCT 4 1971

Mr. Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D.C. 20545



Dear Mr. Price:


This is in response to Mr. DeYoung's letter of July 12, 1971, transmitting copies of the Pre-Operational Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring Program Report for the Duke Power Company's Oconee Nuclear Station, South Carolina, AEC Docket Nos. 50-269, 270, and 287.

The environmental monitoring program for this station for the most part is adequate, but data for benthic organisms are omitted in some tables. The list of criteria for the selection of sampling locations as mentioned on pages 6 and 7 of the report appears adequate. However, in subsequent tables, listing samples and locations (summary, 2-1 and 2-1a) no data are shown for benthic organisms. Also, the distance from the radioactive waste discharge point to the nearest downstream sampling station is not clearly indicated.

Sincerely yours,

Willis King
Assistant
Director

4313



[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]