



CONVERSATION RECORD

8/12/2016

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU Maximo Barela, et. al (NNSA, AFS, PNNL)		DATE OF CONTACT 08/09/2016	TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> E-MAIL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
E-MAIL ADDRESS Barela, Maximo <Maximo.Barela@nnsa.doe.gov>		TELEPHONE NUMBER (505) 845-5902	

ORGANIZATION NNSA	DOCKET NUMBER(S) 71-9355
----------------------	-----------------------------

LICENSE NUMBER(S) NA	CONTROL NUMBER(S) NA
-------------------------	-------------------------

**SUBJECT**  
8/9/16 - 1:30 PM - Conference Call Between NNSA, PNNL, AFS - Request for Supplemental Information for the Revision 1 of the Certificate of Compliance for the Model No. 435-B (Docket No. 71-9355, CAC No. L25126)

**SUMMARY**  
Attendees:

- NNSA Office of Packaging and Transportation: Maximo Barela
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL): David McCollum and David Chavez
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory: Harold Adkins
- AREVA Federal Services: Phillip Noss, Ron Burnham, and Dan Wick

NRC: Jason Piotter, Jimmy Chang, and Norma Garcia Santos

**Continue on Page 2**

**ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)**  
NNSA will respond to the RSI with a revised application including only the change bars corresponding to the changes to the Model No. 435-B package design and a cross walk document.

**Continue on Page 3**

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION  
Norma Garcia Santos (Reviewed by NRC and M. Barela)

SIGNATURE

**CONVERSATION RECORD (continued)**

SUMMARY: (Continued from page 1)

On August 9, 2016, staff from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), National Nuclear Safety Administration (NNSA), Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, and AREVA Federal Services (AFS) had a conference call to discuss the requests for supplemental information (RSIs) (ADAMS Accession No. ML16211A414) for revision 1 on the certificate of compliance (CoC) of the Model No. 435-B. In a previous communication, the applicant noted that the information requested in the RSI letter was outside of the scope of the amendment, since the proposed change in the thermal evaluation was related to the temper of aluminum. The staff pointed out that the staff reviewed the crosswalk of the changes provided by the applicant and also the information corresponding to the change bars marked in the application. Therefore, the staff reviewed the information responding to the change bars in the thermal evaluation, even though the pages were marked at the top "...2014." After additional discussion, the applicant agreed to submit the application with markings corresponding to only the changes corresponding to revision 1 of the CoC as well as a crosswalk of the changes corresponding to these changes within the next three weeks.