98 OCT 20 A9:42

OFFICE October 16, 1998

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ADJUDICATION STAFF NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of		
)	
HYDRO RESOURCES, INC.)	Docket No. 40-8968-ML
(2929 Coors Road, Suite 101)	ASLBP No. 95-706-01-ML
Albuquerque, NM 87120))	
)	

ENDAUM'S AND SRIC'S REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF PETITION FOR REVIEW

Intervenors Eastern Navajo Diné Against Uranium Mining ("ENDAUM") and Southwest Research and Information Center ("SRIC") request expedited review of their Petition for Review of Memorandum and Order (Scheduling and Partial Grant of Motion for Bifurcation) (September 22, 1998), filed October 7, 1998 ("Petition for Review"). Expedited review by October 23, 1998, is necessary in light of an October 13, 1998, Order, which denies ENDAUM and SRIC's request for reconsideration of the schedule for filing briefs and testimony in the bifurcated proceeding, such that Intervenors must now begin to file briefs and testimony by November 6, 1998.

Memorandum and Order (Reconsideration of the Schedule for the Proceeding)

(October 13, 1998) ("October 13 Order"). The October 13 Order also adds to the confusion surrounding bifurcation. *Id.* at 4. Review by the Commission must be expedited in order to ensure that any relief granted by the Commission on the bifurcation issue is meaningful and timely.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION RULEMAKINGS & ADJUDICATIONS STAFF OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

Document Statistics

Postmark Date 10/16/98 (emailed)
Copies Received
Add'l Copies Reproduced 12
Special Distribution

email farward: Comm; HRB
4 MMD, Hoyle
5105, RIDS

In conformance with the Presiding Officer's September 22 Order, ENDAUM and SRIC submitted a schedule whereby they would file their written presentations on November 6, December 7, January 7, and February 1. Notice in Response to Memorandum and Order (Scheduling and Partial Grant of Motion for Bifurcation) of September 22, 1998 (October 2, 1998). ENDAUM and SRIC also filed, with the other Intervenors, a request for reconsideration of the scheduling order. On October 13, 1998, the Presiding Officer issued a Memorandum and Order (Reconsideration of the Schedule for the proceeding ("October 13 Order"), which denied Intervenors' joint motion for reconsideration of the scheduling order.

Now that their request for reconsideration of the schedule has been denied, ENDAUM and SRIC face the first deadline for their written presentations on November 6. The September 22 and October 13 Orders cause confusion as to which issues raised by the Intervenors are ripe and which issues must be deferred until some later hearing.³ By having to guess at which issues fall within the scope of issues that

¹Joint Motion for Reconsideration by ENDAUM, SRIC, Marilyn Morris, and Grace Sam of Memorandum and Order (Scheduling and Partial Grant of Motion for Bifurcation) of September 22, 1998 (September 30, 1998).

²The Order also denies ENDAUM's and SRIC's request for directed certification of the bifurcation order, and in doing so, expands on the Presiding Officer's rationale for the September 22 bifurcation decision. It addresses, for the first time, the issue of segmentation under the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"). Adopting the position of the licensee, the Order asserts that the September 22 Order "in no way segments the NEPA process, but rather merely sets a logical schedule for reviewing all of Intervenors' concerns pertaining to the HRI license, including the environmental impact statement drafted by NRC." October 13 Order at 4.

³For instance, it is unclear which issues may be heard now under the category of challenges to the "validity of the license." September 22 Order at 2. The license itself covers all four mining sites: Section 8, Section 17, Unit 1 and Crownpoint. Presumably, noncompliance with NEPA's requirements for all four licensed sites would affect the "validity" of the license. (For instance, the license would be invalid if it were not supported by an EIS that adequately considered the environmental impacts of the entire project.) Yet, the October 13 Order indicates that the

are ripe for litigation, Intervenors risk briefing too many or too few issues, thus either wasting limited resources or losing the opportunity to brief issues that they mistakenly believed were outside the scope of the hearing.

Any delay in resolving the bifurcation issue will now seriously undermine ENDAUM and SRIC's ability to clarify the issues that must be addressed and adequately prepare testimony. A stay of this proceeding will have little meaningful effect if it is not imposed until after they submit their presentations on November 6. Accordingly, ENDAUM and SRIC respectfully request the Commission to expedite review of their Petition for Review and decide whether to review the September 22 Order and grant a stay by October 23, 1998.

Johanna Matanich

Douglas Meiklejohn

Lila Bird

Douglas W. Wolf

NEW MEXICO

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER

1405 Luisa Street, Suite 5

Santa Fe NM 87505

(505) 989-9022

Diane Curran
HARMON, CURRAN, SPIELBERG,
& EISENBERG, LLP
2001 "S" Street Suite 430

2001 "S" Street, Suite 430 Washington DC 20009

(202) 328-3500

DOCKETED

'98 OCT 20 A9:42

October 16, 1998

OFFICE OF SECRETARY UNITED STATES OF AMERICA RULEMAKINGS AND NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of)	•
HYDRO RESOURCES, INC.)	Docket No. 40-8968-ML
2929 Coors Road)	
Suite 101)	ASLBP No. 95-706-01-ML
Albuquerque, NM 87120)	
)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that:

On October 16, 1998, I caused to be served copies of the following:

ENDAUM's and SRIC's REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW

upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, and in accordance with the requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 2.712. The parties marked by an asterisk (*) were also served by e-mail. The envelopes were addressed as follows:

Office of the Secretary* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Shirley Ann Jackson, Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Greta J. Dicus, Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Nils J. Diaz, Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Edward McGaffigan, Jr., Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge*
Peter B. Bloch
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C. 20555

Administrative Judge
Thomas D. Murphy*
Special Assistant
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555

Jep Hill, Esq.
Attorney for Hydro Resources, Inc.
Jep Hill & Associates
P.O. Box 2254
Austin, TX 78768

Mitzi Young
John T. Hull
Office of the General Counsel*
Mail Stop - O-15 B18
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Mervyn Tilden Mary Lou Jones Zuni Mountain Coalition P.O. Box 39 San Rafael, NM 87051

Roderick Ventura*
Samuel D. Gollis
DNA - People's Legal Services, Inc.
PO Box 306
Window Rock, AZ 86515

Diane Curran*
HARMON, CURRAN, SPIELBERG &
EISENBERG, LLP
2001 "S" Street, N.W., Suite 430
Washington DC 20009

Richard Packie, Executive Director Water Information Network P.O. Box 4524 Albuquerque, NM 87106

Mervyn Tilden P.O. Box 457 Church Rock, NM 87311

Lori Goodman Diné CARE Navajo Nation 10-A Town Plaza, S-138 Durango, CO 81301

Jon J. Indall Joseph E. Manges COMEAU, MALDEGEN, TEMPLEMAN & INDALL, LLP* P.O. Box 669 Santa Fe, NM 87504-0669

Herb Yazzie, Attorney General Steven J. Bloxham, Esq. Navajo Nation Department of Justice P.O. Drawer 2010 Window Rock, AZ 86515 Anthony J. Thompson Frederick Phillips Barry S. Neuman David C. Lashway SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE* 2300 "N" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1128

Dated at Santa Fe, New Mexico, October 16, 1998,

Johanna Matanich