

Williamson, Alicia

From: Wrublik, John <john_wrublik@fws.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 1:03 PM
To: Williamson, Alicia
Cc: patrick_pitts@fws.gov; Ashleigh Blackford (ashleigh_blackford@fws.gov)
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: Re: RE: list of mitigation and conservation commitments for units 6 and 7

Thanks Alicia,

If you could also restate your determinations for the various Federally listed species in your letter, it would be helpful in order to move forward and complete our consultation on the project. The Service finds that the project will result in adverse effects for the Florida panther, so we will be initiating formal consultation for that species. For the American crocodile, the intent of asking for all those protective measures was to have a project that would not result in adverse effects or incidental take of the crocodile. With the proposed fencing and crossings located between the cooling canals system and the test canals, I think we are almost there. The only additional protection measures I can think of that are needed would be: 1) speed bumps and a slow speed limit (i.e., 25 mph) located along the roadway used to access the spoil storage area proposed in the southern portion of the cooling canal system; and 2) pre-land clearing surveys of the Unit 6 and 7 construction footprint to ensure that crocodiles are not located in the footprint during construction. If a crocodile is observed in the construction footprint, all construction activities should be immediately stopped and not allowed to resume until the crocodile has moved out of the construction footprint by its own volition. It's your determination, but I believe that the Service can concur with a may affect, not likely to adversely affect determination for the crocodile for this project.

John

John M. Wrublik
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1339 20th Street
Vero Beach, Florida 32960
(772) 469-4282

On Thu, Jul 28, 2016 at 12:09 PM, Williamson, Alicia <Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov> wrote:

John

I wanted to let you know that since we have the table of information from US FWS, we are in the process of preparing a letter to US FWS that will include confirmation of the commitments as well as a synopsis of additional information US FWS requested from NRC at the meeting held in August 2015. In addition, the letter would indicate if there are no additional concerns the expectation is the consultation for the new units would be ready for closure.

The only remaining question the staff has is how US FWS would like to proceed with respect to the crocodiles. There is nothing mentioned in the commitment table about this particular species. Can you provide

some guidance on how US FWS would like to proceed? We were thinking that maybe the Units 3 and 4 Biological Opinion would be expanded to cover both units but we wanted to check in with you first.

Thank you

Alicia

Alicia Williamson

Environmental Project Manager

US NRC MS:T6C32

11555 Rockville Pike

Rockville, MD 20852

301-415-1878 (o)

Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov

From: Williamson, Alicia

Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 9:20 AM

To: 'Wrublik, John' <john_wrublik@fws.gov>

Cc: patrick_pitts@fws.gov; Ashleigh Blackford (ashleigh_blackford@fws.gov) <ashleigh_blackford@fws.gov>

Subject: RE: Re: RE: list of mitigation and conservation commitments for units 6 and 7

Great.

Thanx John.

Happy Thursday.

Alicia

From: Wrublik, John [mailto:john_wrublik@fws.gov]

Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 7:12 AM

To: Williamson, Alicia <Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov>

Williamson, Alicia

From: Wrublik, John <john_wrublik@fws.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 3:12 PM
To: Williamson, Alicia
Cc: patrick_pitts@fws.gov; Ashleigh Blackford (ashleigh_blackford@fws.gov)
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: Re: RE: list of mitigation and conservation commitments for units 6 and 7

Alicia,

I just to make sure everyone is on the same page, the Service's consultation on the Unit 6 and 7 project does not conclude until the Services issues our signed biological opinion (BO) on the project that includes an analysis of whether the project will result in jeopardy to the Florida panther (and now I thinking we will probably go formal for the wood stork as well due to the large amount of wetland impacts and loss of foraging habitat). The document that provides the BO will also provide concurrences for others species that the Service finds a may affect, not likely to adversely affect determination is warranted. If you have any questions, please let me know.

John

John M. Wrublik
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1339 20th Street
Vero Beach, Florida 32960
(772) 469-4282

On Thu, Jul 28, 2016 at 12:09 PM, Williamson, Alicia <Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov> wrote:

John

I wanted to let you know that since we have the table of information from US FWS, we are in the process of preparing a letter to US FWS that will include confirmation of the commitments as well as a synopsis of additional information US FWS requested from NRC at the meeting held in August 2015. In addition, the letter would indicate if there are no additional concerns the expectation is the consultation for the new units would be ready for closure.

The only remaining question the staff has is how US FWS would like to proceed with respect to the crocodiles. There is nothing mentioned in the commitment table about this particular species. Can you provide some guidance on how US FWS would like to proceed? We were thinking that maybe the Units 3 and 4 Biological Opinion would be expanded to cover both units but we wanted to check in with you first.

Thank you

Alicia