DOCKETED USMRC

September 15, 1999 SEP 17 P2:53

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

| OFFICE | | 0.00 | | |
|--------|------|------|----|--|
| ADJUD! | 13.5 | | ٠, | |
| | | | | |

| In the Metter of | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|
| In the Matter of |) | |
| |) | |
| HYDRO RESOURCES, INC. |) | Docket No. 40-8968-ML |
| (2929 Coors Road, Suite 101 |) | ASLBP No. 95-706-01-ML |
| Albuquerque, NM 87120) |) | |
| , |) | |

INTERVENORS EASTERN NAVAJO DINÉ AGAINST URANIUM MINING'S AND SOUTHWEST RESEARCH AND INFORMATION CENTER'S RESPONSE TO HRI'S MOTION TO STRIKE

I. INTRODUCTION

Intervenors Eastern Navajo Diné Against Uranium Mining ("ENDAUM") and Southwest Research and Information Center ("SRIC") hereby submit their response to Hydro Resources, Inc. ("HRI's") Motion to Strike Intervenors' Reply Brief on Review of Partial Initial Decision LBP-99-13, Financial Assurance for Decommissioning, and Request for Attorneys' Fees (September 14, 1999) ("HRI Motion"). The HRI Motion unreasonably seeks to strike Intervenors' Reply Brief in its entirety for allegedly exceeding page limits and seeks sanctions against Intervenors and their counsel in the form of attorneys' fees incurred in the preparation of the motion to strike.

II. ARGUMENT

When they submitted their Reply Brief on Review of Partial Initial Decision LBP-99-13 ("Intervenors' September 13th Reply Brief"), Intervenors ENDAUM and SRIC

| HIS MICHEAD DE | - >1 !! | COUNTY OUNTY INCOME |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------|
| FIULEMATERATION | | 1 STAFF |
| | | * For GY |
| Ŭr [‡] 1 | | |
| • | | . 1. 741 |

Pooum at Claibties

| Pestmark Date <u>9/15/99</u> |
|------------------------------|
| Copies Roseived |
| Add't Oubleb Flat in duotidO |
| Special Distribution |
| - RIDS, EGT |
| Email dist |

understood that it was properly submitted pursuant to the Commission's July 23, 1999 Order, CLI-99-22, slip op. At 24, 50 NRC ___ (July 23, 1999) ("Commission Order"). The Commission's Order states that "Intervenors may file a reply brief within 10 days of receiving the briefs of the NRC Staff and HRI" and that "[t]he reply brief shall be no longer than 10 pages." Commission Order at 25. In accordance with the practice throughout this proceeding before the Presiding Officer, counsel for ENDAUM and SRIC interpreted the Commission Order to mean that they were permitted to submit a ten page reply brief to each of the response briefs filed by HRI and the Staff. On that basis, Intervenors consolidated their reply briefs into one brief less than 20 pages in length.

Having reviewed HRI's Motion to Strike and having reconsidered the language of the Commission's Order, counsel for Intervenors now believe that their interpretation of the Commission's Order may have been incorrect, and that the Commission intended that the Intervenors file one reply brief no more than ten pages long. Therefore, Intervenors request that the Commission accept for filing the attached ten page reply to the responses filed by HRI and the Staff. As is apparent from the attached reply, it presents the same arguments as were set forth in Intervenors September 13th Reply. The only difference between the two replies is that the arguments are presented in ten pages in the attached reply as opposed to the 15 pages contained in the Intervenors' September 13th Reply.

Because this is a reply and because the Intervenors are presenting exactly the same arguments in the attached reply as they did in their September 13th Reply, there will be no

prejudice to either HRI or the Staff if the Commission does accept the attached reply for filing.

Finally, Intervenors request that the Commission deny HRI's request for sanctions, including attorneys' fees. If they have misinterpreted the Commission's Order, counsel for Intervenors did so based on the extensive practice before the Presiding Officer throughout this proceeding. Such a mistake does not amount to a refusal to follow orders of the Commission or a licensing board or any other type of conduct that is grounds for sanctions outlined in the Commission's regulations (10 CFR §2.713) or in the Commission's Policy on sanctions. *See* STATEMENT OF POLICY ON CONDUCT OF LICENSING PROCEEDINGS, CLI-81-8, 13 NRC 452 (1981).

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Intervenors request that the Commission accept for filing the attached reply and deny HRI's request for sanctions. In the alternative, if the Commission's Order should be read to permit the filing of a reply no more than 20 pages in length, the Intervenors request that the Commission deny HRI's Motion in its entirety.

Respectfully Submitted,

Douglas/Meiklejohn

Lila Bird

New Mexico Environmental Law Center

1405 Luisa Street, Suite 5

Santa Fe, NM 87505

(505) 989-9022

Diane Curran

Harmon, Curran, Spielberg

& Eisenberg LLP

1726 "M" Street, NW Suite 600

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 328-3500

DOCKETED USNRC

September 15, 1999

*99 SEP 17 P 2:57

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION BEFORE THE COMMISSION

| OFFICE DF | SEGI | 3, 17 | $f(\gamma)$ |
|--------------------|------|-------|-------------|
| RULENIA | | MF: | 1 |
| ADJUDIO ALI | ONE. | STA | FF |

| In the Matter of |) | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|
| HYDRO RESOURCES, INC. |) | Docket No. 40-8968-ML |
| P.O. Box 15910 |) | ASLBP No. 95-706-01-ML |
| Rio Rancho, NM 87174 |) | |
| · | | |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that:

On September 15, 1999, I caused to be served copies of the following:

INTERVENORS EASTERN NAVAJO DINÉ AGAINST URANIUM MINING'S AND SOUTHWEST RESEARCH AND INFORMATION CENTER'S RESPONSE TO HRI'S MOTION TO STRIKE

upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, and in accordance with the requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 2.712. Service was also made via e-mail to the parties marked below by an asterisk. The envelopes were addressed as follows:

Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications
Staff

Greta J. Dicus, Chairwoman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Shirley Ann Jackson, Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Nils J. Diaz, Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Edward McGaffigan, Jr., Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Jeffrey S. Merrifield, Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge
Peter B. Bloch*
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C. 20555

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge
Thomas D. Murphy*
Special Assistant
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555

Administrative Judge Robin Brett U.S. Geological Survey 917 National Center Reston, VA 20192

Jep Hill, Esq.
Attorney for Hydro Resources, Inc.
Jep Hill & Associates
P.O. Box 2254
Austin, TX 78768

Mitzi Young
John T. Hull
Office of the General Counsel*
Mail Stop - O-15 B18
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Eric D. Jantz DNA-People's Legal Services, Inc. PO Box 116 Crownpoint, NM 87313

Diane Curran HARMON, CURRAN, SPIELBERG & EISENBERG, LLP* 1726 M Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington DC 20036

Levon Henry, Acting Attorney General Steven J. Bloxham, Esq. Navajo Nation Department of Justice P.O. Drawer 2010 Window Rock, AZ 86515

Anthony J. Thompson
Frederick Phillips
David Lashway
SHAW PITTMAN
2300 "N" Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1128

Wm. Paul Robinson, Chris Shuey SRIC P.O. Box 4524 Albuquerque, NM 87106

Mitchell Capitan ENDAUM P.O. Box 471 Crownpoint, NM 87313

Dated at Santa Fe, New Mexico, September 15, 1999,

Douglas Meiklejohn