

Perry Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 97 10 Center Road Perry, Ohio 44081

David B. Hamilton Vice President 440-280-5382

July 27, 2016 L-16-235

Mr. Michael W. Stevens Division of Surface Water Northeast District Office Ohio Environmental Protection Agency 2110 East Aurora Road Twinsburg, OH 44087-1967

Subject: <u>Perry Nuclear Power Plant (PNPP) NPDES Permit Renewal Application -</u> <u>Permit No. 3IB00016*JD</u>

Enclosed are completed Form 1, Form 2C, and Form 2F applications for the FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC) Perry Nuclear Power Plant (PNPP) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit renewal. These forms are submitted 180 days prior to expiration of the existing permit in accordance with OAC 3745-33-04. Also enclosed is a check for \$200.00 for payment of the application fee.

The following items are pertinent to the application and/or permit renewal:

- Please note there is not data for Outfall 601, because there was no discharge.
- The information requested under 40 CFR 122.21(r) in accordance with the 316(b) rules is enclosed.
- The monitoring requirements for Selenium, Zinc, and Copper on Outfall 004 (and subsequent intake) are based on the last permit finding that they are in the group four risk assessment classification. New data can be seen on form 2C that the subsequent concentrations are lower, and we request removal of Selenium, Zinc, and Copper from the permit.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Daniel Havalo, FENOC Environmental Engineering, at (330) 315-6714 or by email at DHavalo@FirstEnergyCorp.com.

Sincerely,

David B. Hamilton Vice President

CO02 NRR

Enclosures

- A) NPDES Renewal Forms 1, 2C, 2F and Antidegradation Addendum
- B) 40 CFR §122.21(r)(2-8) NPDES Application Requirements for Facilities With Cooling Water Intake Sturctures.
- cc: Daniel Havalo

NRC Region III NRC Resident Inspector NRR Project Manager NRC Document Control Desk (Docket No. 50-440) Enclosure A L-16-235

NPDES Renewal Forms 1, 2C, 2F and Antidegradation Addendum

Please type. Do not complete	e by hand.										
FORM	Δ.	S. ENVIR	ONMENT		CTION AG	BENCY	I. EPA I	.D. NUMBER			ж. П
GENERAL	(F	Co Read the '	onsolidate "General I	d Permits F Instructions	Program " before sta	arting)	OH00	63461			
LABEL ITEMS							If a prep	printed label ha	s been p	provided,	affix it in
II. EPA I.D. NUMBER							carefully and enter	r; if any of it is i er the correct d	ncorrect ata in th	t, cross the approp	nrough it priate fill-in
III. FACILITY NAME	Ohio ERA	doos n	ot provid	te labele	Entor t	hie	below. A (the are	Also, if any of the a to the left of the test of t	he prepr	inted data space lis	a is absent sts the
VI. FACILITY MAILING ADDRESS	infor	mation i	ind VI.		in the pr complet Items I, complet	oper fill-in area e and correct, y III, V, and VI(e: ed regardless).	a(s) belo you nee x <i>cept VI</i> Comple	w. If the l d not con <i>B which</i> ete all iter	abel is nplete <i>must be</i> ms if no		
VI. FACILITY LOCATION							label ha for detai authoriz	s been provide iled item descri ations under w	d. Refer ptions a hich this	to the in nd for the data is d	structions e legal collected.
II. POLLUTANT CHARACTER	RISTICS										
INSTRUCTIONS: Complete A questions, you must submit thi if the supplemental form is atta is excluded from permit require	through G to determ is form and the supp ached. If you answer ements; see Section	nine whet lemental "no" to e C of the	her you n form liste ach ques instruction	eed to subr d in the par tion, you ne ns. See als	mit any pen renthesis fo eed not sub o, Section I	mit application following the opmit any of the D of the instru-	on forms question nese form ructions	to the EPA. If . Mark "X" in th ns. You may ar for definitions o	you ans e box in nswer "r of bold-1	wer "yes the third o" if your faced ter	" to any column activity ms.
SPECIFIC QUEST	IONS		MARK 'X		-	SPECIFIC (QUESTIO	NS		MARK	X'
		YES					YES	NO	ATTACHED		
A. Is this facility a publicly owned which results in a discharge to (FORM 2A)	treatment works waters of the U.S.?		B. Does or propose feeding product dischar	r will this facilit ed) include a c operation or tion facility w ge to waters	ty(either e oncentra aquatic hich resu of the U.S						
C. Is this a facility which currently r to discharges waters of the U. described in A or B above? (FO	esults in S . other than those RM 2C)				D. Is this a describe a disch a 2D)	s a proposed facility (other than those ibed in A or B above) which will result in charge to waters of the U.S.? (FORM					
E. Is this a facility which does not o wastewater? (FORM 2E)	lischarge process				F. Is this a facility which discharges stormwater associated with industrial activity? (FORM 2F)						
G. Do you generate sewage sludg regulated by Part 503? Do you g sludge that is sent to another fa or blending? Do you process or sewage sludge that is disposed to Part 503? (FORM 2S)	ge that is ultimately generate sewage scility for treatment derive material from d in a manner subject										
III. NAME OF FACILITY		1 A. A.		4							
Perry Nuclear Pow	ver Plant										
IV. FACILITY CONTACT		1									
A.	NAME & TTILE (last, first,	title)					В.	PHONE (area code & r	no.)		
Killing, Randall, Su	pervisor, Nuclea	ar Chem	histry		(440) 280 - 73	70				
V. FACILITY MAILING ADDR	A. STREET OR	P.O. BO	x								
10 Center Road	A. OTREET OR	1.0.00	<u> </u>								
	B. CITY OR TO	WN				C. STA	TE	D. ZIP COD	DE		
Perry						он		44081			
VI. FACILITY LOCATION											
	A. STREET, RO	UTE NO	OR OTH	IER SPECI	FIC IDENT	IFIER					
10 Center Road											
	B. COUNTY NA	ME									
Lake											
	C. CITY OR TO	WN				D. ST	ATE	E. ZIP COL	DE F	COUN (if kn	FY CODE own)
Perry						ОН		44081	4	3	

VII. SIC CO	DES (4-digit, in order of priority)				
	A. FIRST (specify)		(specify)	В.	SECOND
4911	Electric Generation				
	C. THIRD			D.	FOURTH
	(specify)		(specify)		
VIII. OPER	ATOR INFORMATION				
		A. NAME			B. Is the name listed in
FirstEner	gy Nuclear Operating Compa	ny (FENOC)			
C, STATUS OF OF	PERATOR (Enter the appropriate letter into the answer b	px; if "Other", specify.)			D. PHONE (area code & no.)
F = FEDERA S = STATE P = PRIVATE	L M = PUBLIC (other than federal or state O = OTHER (specify)	P			(330) 384-5100
E. STREET OR P.	O. BOX				
76 South	Main Street				
F. CITY OR TOWN	1		G. STATE	H. ZIP CODE	IX. INDIAN LAND
Akron			ОН	44308	
X. EXISTIN	G ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS				
A. NPDES (Discharges to surface water)	D. PSD (Air emissions fro	m proposed sources)		
3IB00016	*JD				
B. UIC (Und	lerground injection of fluids)	E. OTHER (specify)			
		P0111998		(specify) P	'TIO (Air)
C. RCRA (H	lazardous waste)	F. OTHER (specify)			
OHD0256	73518			(specify)	
XI. MAP					
Attach to thi the outline o treatment, s the map are	s application a topographical map o of the facility, the location of each of torage, or disposal facilities, and ea a. See instructions for precise requi	f the area extending to at le its existing and proposed in ch well where it injects fluid rements.	ast one mile beyond pro take and discharge stru s underground. Include	operty bounda ictures, each all springs, riv	ries. The map must show of its hazardous waste vers, and other surface water bodies in
XII. NATUR	E OF BUSINESS (provide a brief of	description)			
Generatio	on, transmission, and distribu	tion of electricity for	sale		
XIII. CERTIF	FICATION (see instructions)				
l certify unde attachments application, false informa	er penalty of law that I have persona s and that, based on my inquiry of th I believe that the information is true, ation, including the possibility of fine	ally examined and am famili ose persons immediately re accurate, and complete. I a and imprisonment.	ar with the information s sponsible for obtaining am aware that there are	submitted in th the informatic significant pe	nis application and all In contained in the Inalties for submitting
A. Name & O	Official Title	B. Signature			C. Date Signed
	nilton Perry Nuclear Power Plant	N	X	>	7.27.2016
COMMENT	S FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY				
			1		

PERRY QUADRANGLE OHIO-LAKE CO. 7.5 MINUTE SERIES (TOPOGRAPHIC)



. ...

·

EPA I.D. Number (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461

Please print or type in the unshaded areas only.





Form Approved. OMB No. 2040-0086. Approval expires 3-31-98. U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DISCHARGE WASTEWATER EXISTING MANUFACTURING, COMMERCIAL, MINING AND SILVICULTURE OPERATIONS Consolidated Permits Program

I. OUTFALL LOCATION

For each	outfall, list th	ne latitude	and longitu	de of its loo	cation	to the nea	arest 15 se	econds and	the name of the re-	ceiving water.					
A. OL NUM	JTFALL MBER		B. LATITUD	E		C. L	ONGITUDE		D. RECEIV	/ING WATER (/	name)				
(ist)	1. Deg	2. Min	3. Sec	1	. Deg	2. Min	3. Sec							
800		41	48	15	81		8	30	Intake - Lake Erie						
004		41	48	33	81		8	54	Lake Erie						
601		41	48	0	81	8 45 Lake Erie via outfall 004									
603		41	48	5	81		8	42	Lake Erie via outfall 004						
II. FLOWS	SOURCES	OF POLLUT	ION, AND T	REATMENT	TECH	NOLOGIE	S			1					
 A. Attach a line drawing showing the water flow through the facility. Indicate sources of intake water, operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, and treatment units labeled to correspond to the more detailed descriptions in Item B. Construct a water balance on the line drawing by showing average flows between intakes, operations, treatment units, and outfalls. If a water balance cannot be determined (<i>e.g., for certain mining activities</i>), provide a pictorial description of the nature and amount of any sources of water and any collection or treatment measures. B. For each outfall, provide a description of: (1) All operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, including process wastewater, sanitary wastewater, 															
B. For each outfall, provide a description of: (1) All operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, including process wastewater, sanitary wastewater, cooling water, and storm water runoff; (2) The average flow contributed by each operation; and (3) The treatment received by the wastewater. Continue on additional sheets if necessary.															
1.OUT- 2. OPERATION(S) CONTRIBUTING FLOW 3. TREATMENT (Description or List codes from Table 2C-1)															
FALL NO. (list)	a. OPERA	TION (list)	b. AV (in	ERAGE FLO clude units)	W		a.	DESCRIPT	ON	b. LIST CODES FROM TABLE 2C-1					
004	Plant Discl	harge	79.3 MC	6D		Chlorin	e Treatme	nt	5-F						
				Decholo	orination			2-E							
						Dischar	ge to Surfa	ace Water		4-A					
601	Regenerar	nt	20000 g	pd		Neutral	ization			2-К					
	Neutraliza	tion													
603	Reverse O	smosis	6000 gp	d											
005	Reject Wa	ter	0000 60												
			_												
						_									
			-												
								-							
OFFICIAL I	JSE ONLY (e	ffluent auide	lines sub-ca	tegories)											
and the first of the A		guide													

Image: Complete the following table) 1. OUTFALL (isin) 2. OPERATION(6) CONTRIBUTING FLOW (isin) 3. Frequency 4. Flow 0.04 CONTRIBUTING FLOW (isin) 1. DAYS PER VERK (specify average) 0. No Norths average) 1. LONG TERM (specify average) 2. MAXIMUM TERM (specify average) 1. LONG 0.02 2. MAXIMUM TERM (specify average) 2. MAXIMUM TERM (specify average) 004 Liquid Radwaste (specify average) 1 10. 0.04 0.07 0.02 0.21 004 RO Reject 6 11 0.04 0.07 0.02 0.21 0.06 6.03 RO Reject 6 11 0.04 0.07 0.02 0.21 0.02 0.21 0.02 0.21 0.02 0.21 0.02 0.21													
1. OUTFALL NUMBER (ist) 2. OPERATION(s) CONTRIBUTING FLOW (ist) 3. Frequency 4. Flow 9. DAYS (ist) 0. DAYS PER WEEK (specify) 8. FLOW RATE (in mgd) B. TOTAL VOLUME (specify) 004 Liquid Radwaste 601 1 11 0.04 0.07 603 Regen. Neutralization 603 0 0.04 0.02 0.21 603 Ro Reject 6 11 0.006 0.16 III. PRODUCTION VEX (complete Item III-B) NO (go to Section IV) Vex expressed in terms of production, correlation, workers and indicate the affected outfalls. 8. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered 'yes''s to Item III-B, list the quantify which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in term indicate the affected outfalls. 0.0FRACE (specify) 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nu Not applicable Not applicable b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nu													
1. OUTPALL NUMBER (ist) 2. OPERATION(c) (ist) a. DAYS (ist) b. MONTHS PR WEEK (specify) b. MONTHS (specify) a. FLOW RATE (in mgd) B. TOTAL VOLUME (specify) with units) 004 Liquid Radwaste 1 1 1.0NG (specify) 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 1.LONG TERM DAILY 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 1.LONG VERAGE 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 004 Liquid Radwaste 1 11 0.04 0.07 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 601 Regen. Neutralization 0 0 0.006 0.16 1 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 2. MAXIMUM DAILY 10 D.0 0.006 0.16 1 0.006 0.16 1 1 0.006 0.16 1 1 0.006 0.16 1 1 0.006 0.16 1 1 0.006 0.16 1													
(iiit) (iiit) (specify everage) 1. LONG everage) 2. MAXIMUM TERM AVERAGE 1. LONG TERM AVERAGE 2. MAXIMUM AVERAGE 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nul Not applicable 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nul AVERAGE 2. AFFEC	c. DURATION												
004 Liquid Radwaste 1 11 0.04 0.07 601 Regen. Neutralization 0 0 0.02 0.21 603 RO Reject 6 11 0.006 0.16 III. PRODUCTION III. PRODUCTION III. PRODUCTION III. PRODUCTION A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility? NO (go to Section IV) B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? YES (complete Item III-B) NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered 'yee's to ttem III-B. list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nut your fault outfa	(in days)												
601 Regen. Neutralization 0 0 0.02 0.21 603 RO Reject 6 11 0.006 0.16 III. PRODUCTION A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility? ☑ YES (complete Item III-B) ☐ NO (go to Section IV) B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? ☐ YES (complete Item III-C) ☑ NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify)													
603 RO Reject 6 11 0.006 0.16 III. PRODUCTION III. 0.006 0.16 0.16 A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility? NO (go to Section IV) B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nut) a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nut) Not applicable b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) (list outfall nut)													
III. PRODUCTION A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility?													
III. PRODUCTION A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility?													
III. PRODUCTION A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility? Solution NO (go to Section IV) B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? Solution YES (complete Item III-B) YES (complete Item III-C) NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall null) a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) Not applicable b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify)													
III. PRODUCTION A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility?													
A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility? YES (complete Item III-B) NO (go to Section IV) B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? YES (complete Item III-C) NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) Not applicable													
Image: Section IV Section IV B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? Image: Section IV-Section IV-Sec													
B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? YES (complete Item III-C) Image: NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) Not applicable Image: Not applicable Image: Not applicable													
YES (complete Item III-C) Image: NO (go to Section IV) C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) Not applicable Image: Not applicable Image: Not applicable													
C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls. 1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) (list outfall nu Not applicable Image: specific contract of the specific contract o													
1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED O (list outfall nu a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) Not applicable Image: Comparison of the state of t	e terms and												
a. QUANTITY PER DAY b. UNITS OF MEASURE c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify) (list outfall nu Not applicable	1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION 2. AFFECTED OUTFALLS												
Not applicable	(list outfall numbers)												
IV. IMPROVEMENTS													
A. Are you now required by any Federal, State or local authority to meet any implementation schedule for the construction, upgrading or ope wastewater treatment equipment or practices or any other environmental programs which may affect the discharges described in this application.	erations of lication? This												
includes, but is not limited to, permit conditions, administrative or enforcement orders, enforcement compliance schedule letters, stipulatio	ons, court												
orders, and grant or loan conditions.													
CONDITION, AGREEMENT, ETC. a NO b SOURCE OF DISCHARGE PROJECT a REQUIRED b	PROJECTE												
Not applicable													
B. OPTIONAL: You may attach additional sheets describing any additional water pollution control programs (or other environmental projects													
affect your discharges) you now have underway or which you plan. Indicate whether each program is now underway or planned, and indic actual or planned schedules for construction.	s which may												

MARK "X" IF DESCRIPTION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL PROGRAMS IS ATTACHED

EPA ID Number (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 2

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS

A, B, & C: See instructions before proceeding – Complete one set of tables for each outfall – Annotate the outfall number in the space provided. NOTE: Tables V-A, V-B, and V-C are included on separate sheets numbered V-1 through V-9.

D. Use the space below to list any of the pollutants listed in Table 2c-3 of the instructions, which you know or have reason to believe is discharged or may be discharged from any outfall. For every pollutant you list, briefly describe the reasons you believe it to be present and report any analytical data in your possession.

1. POLLUTANT	2. SOURCE	1. POLLUTANT	2. SOURCE
Not applicable			
VI. POTENTIAL DISCHARGES NOT	COVERED BY ANALYSIS		
Is any pollutant listed in Item V-C a so or byproduct?	ubstance or a component of a substanc	e which you currently use or manufactu	re as an intermediate or final product

Nataankashla				The second s		
Not applicable						
Do you have any knowledge or	reason to believe that	any biological test for a				
bo you have any knowledge of	reason to believe that	ALLY DIVIUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU	cuite or chronic to	VICITY has been made	on any of your discharges a	rona
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years?	acute or chronic to	xicity has been made	on any of your discharges o	rona
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the identify the test(s) and	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	es below)	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	rona
receiving water in relation to you YES (Not applicable	ur discharge within the identify the test(s) and	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to: es below)	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	r on a
receiving water in relation to you U YES (Not applicable	ur discharge within the identify the test(s) and	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	r on a
Not applicable	ur discharge within the identify the test(s) and	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the identify the test(s) and	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	ron a
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you YES (Not applicable	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (<i>go to Item VIII</i>)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (<i>go to Item VIII</i>)	on any of your discharges o	r on a
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (<i>go to Item VIII</i>)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (<i>go to Item VIII</i>)	on any of your discharges o	r on a
receiving water in relation to you YES (ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (<i>go to Item VIII</i>)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you YES (Not applicable	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	r on a
receiving water in relation to you YES (Not applicable	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
receiving water in relation to you	ur discharge within the	e last 3 years? I describe their purpose	acute or chronic to:	NO (<i>go to Item VIII</i>)	on any of your discharges o	r on a
VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS IN	IFORMATION	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose	acute or chronic to: s below)	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS IN Were any of the analyses report	IFORMATION ted in Item V performe	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose d describe their purpose ed by a contract laborato	acute or chronic to: Is below) [2] bry or consulting fin	NO (go to Item VIII)	on any of your discharges o	
VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS IN Were any of the analyses report	IFORMATION Ist the name, address	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose d describe their purpose ed by a contract laborato s, and telephone numbe	bry or consulting fil r of, and □	m? NO (go to Item VIII)	<pre>/// // // // // // // // // // // // //</pre>	
VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS IN Were any of the analyses report Subject of the second secon	IFORMATION Ied in Item V performe list the name, address utants analyzed by, en	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose d describe their purpose ed by a contract laborators and telephone number ach such laboratory or fi	bry or consulting fil r of, and irm below) C. TE	m? NO (go to Item VIII)	<pre>////////////////////////////////////</pre>	
VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS IN Were any of the analyses report Sector of the analyses report A. NAME	IFORMATION Ied in Item V performe list the name, address utants analyzed by, ea B.	e last 3 years? d describe their purpose d describe their purpose ed by a contract laborato s, and telephone numbe ach such laboratory or fi ADDRESS	below) ⊠ below) ⊠ below C. TE (area of the formula of the form	m? NO (go to Item VIII) MO (go to Section I LEPHONE code & no.)	IX)) D. POLLUTANTS ANA (<i>list</i>)	LYZED

EPA Form 3510-2C (6-14)

Page 4 of 13 CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

EA Group	7118 Industrial Park Blvd.	440-951-3514	Fecal, Surfactants, BOD5,
	Mentor, OH 44060		VOCs,Semi-VOCs
Test America	4101 Shuffel St. NW	330-966-8296	Low level Mercury
	North Canton, OH 44720		,
IX. CERTIFICATION			
I certify under penalty of law that this of designed to assure that qualified person manage the system or those persons belief, true, accurate, and complete. I imprisonment for knowing violations.	document and all attachments were prep onnel properly gather and evaluate the i directly responsible for gathering the inf am aware that there are significant pena	pared under my direction or supervision nformation submitted. Based on my inqu ormation, the information submitted is, to alties for submitting false information, inc	in accordance with a system uiry of the person or persons who o the best of my knowledge and cluding the possibility of fine and

A. NAME & OFFICIAL TITLE (type or print)	B. PHONE NO (area code & no.)
DAVIS HAMILTON SITE VICE PRESIDENT	440.280.5382
C. SIGNATURE	D. DATE SIGNED
N'ZO	7.27.2016



PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (*use the same format*) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461

V. INTAKE AND) EFFLU	ENT CHARAC	TERISTICS (d	continued fro	om pa	ge 3 of Form	n 2-C)								004		
PART A -You n	nust prov	vide the results	of at least on	e analysis fo	or eve	ry pollutant in	n this table	le. Comp	lete one table	for each outfall.	See instruction	s for addition	nal details.				
					2	2. EFFLUENT	т				3. UI (specify	NITS if blank)			4. INTA (option	.KE al)	
1. POLLUTANT		a. MAXIMUN VALU	M DAILY E	b. MAXI	MUM JALUE availa	30 DAY E ble)	c. LO	ONG TEF VALU (<i>if avail</i>	RM AVRG. JE able)	d. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	A	a. LONG TERM VERAGE VALUE		b.	NO. OF
		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRA	ATION	(2) MASS	(CONCEN	(1) NTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION		(1 CONCEN) TRATION	(2) MAS	S AN	NALYSES
a. Biochemical Oxygen		<3.0								1	mg/L	kg/day					
b. Chemical Oxyg Demand (<i>COD</i>)	ien :	15.01	3074							1	mg/L	kg/day					
c. Total Organic Carbon (<i>TOC</i>)	4	4.111	842						-	1	mg/L	kg/day					
d. Total Suspende Solids (<i>TSS</i>)	ed •	<4								1	mg/L	kg/day				0.1	
e. Ammonia (as N) ·	<0.2								1	mg/L	kg/day					
f. Flow	,	VALUE 54.1		VALUE		VALUE 2		MGD									
g. Temperature (winter)		VALUE 12.5		VALUE		VALUE		VALUE		4		°C	VALUE				
h. Temperature (summer)	,	VALUE Not ap	plicable	VALUE			VALUE					°C	VALUE				, L
i. pH		мілімим 8.63	MAXIMUM 8.81	MINIMUM						4	STANDA	RD UNITS	1		No.		
PART B – Mark white mar regu	("X" in c ch is limi k colum uirement	olumn 2-a for e ted either direc n 2a, you must s.	ach pollutant tly, or indirect provide quant	you know or ly but expres titative data	r have ssly, ir or an	e reason to be n an effluent explanation o	elieve is p limitations of their pro	present. Is guideli resence	Mark "X" in co ne, you must in your discha	lumn 2-b for eac provide the resul rge. Complete or	h pollutant you ts of at least or ne table for eac	believe to be ne analysis fo ch outfall. Se	e absent. If or that pollu e the instru	you mar itant. For ictions for	k column 2 other pollu r additiona	a for any p utants for w I details an	oollutant /hich you d
1	2.	MARK "X"					3. EFFI	LUENT				4. UN	ITS		5. INTA	AKE (optional	<i>l</i>)
POLLUTANT	a.	b.	a. MAXIMUN	I DAILY VALU	JE	b. MAXIMUN (if a	1 30 DAY V wailable)	VALUE	c. LONG TER (if a	M AVRG. VALUE vailable)	d. NO. OF	(1) CONCEN	(2) MASS	a. LON	NG TERM A VALUE	VERAGE	b. NO. O
(if available)	PRESEN	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRAT	10N (2) MA	ASS	(1) CONCENTRAT	TION (2)	2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRAT	ION (2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	(2) 1000	CONCE	(1) NTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYS
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)		x	<0.475								1	mg/L	kg/day				
b. Chlorine, Total Residual		Х	<0.011								4	mg/L	kg/day				
c. Color	Х		13								1		·				
d. Fecal C	Х		1								4	#/dL					
e. Fluoride (16984-48-8)	Х		0.068	13.9							1	mg/L	kg/day				

OUTFALL NO.

b. NO. OF ANALYSES

f. Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	X		0.546	112					1	mg/L	kg/day			
ITEM V-B CONTI		FRONT												
1	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. U	NITS	5. INT/	AKE (optional)
POLLUTANT AND	a.	b.	a. MAXIMUM DAIL	Y VALUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 D (if availabi	AY VALUE le)	c. LONG TERM AV (<i>if availab</i>	RG. VALUE le)	d. NO. OF	a.	5 MASS	a. LONG TERM A VALUE	VERAGE	b. NO. OF
CAS NO. (if available)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	D. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
g. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as	X		0.426	87.2	х				1	mg/L	kg/day			
h. Oil and Grease		Х	<1.4						4	mg/L	kg/day		•	
i. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	X		0.186	38.1					1	mg/L	kg/day			
j. Radioactivity														
(1) Alpha, Total						Not	Applicable			•				
(2) Beta, Total						Not	Applicable							
(3) Radium, Total						Not	Applicable							
(4) Radium 226, Total						Not	Applicable							
k. Sulfate (as SO4) (14808-79-8)	X		31.6	6471					1	mg/L	kg/day			
I. Sulfide (as S)		X	<0.1						1	mg/L	kg/day			
m. Sulfite (as SO3) (14265-45-3)		X	<1						1	mg/L	kg/day			
n. Surfactants	X		0.06	12					1	mg/L	kg/day			
o. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)	X		0.242	49.6					1	mg/L	kg/day			
p. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)	X	· · ·	0.0326	6.68					1	mg/L	kg/day			
q. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)	X		0.0394	8.07					1	mg/L	kg/day			
r. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)		X	<0.005						1	mg/L	kg/day			
s. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	X		0.359	73.5					1	mg/L	kg/day			
t. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)	X		12.5	2560					1	mg/L	kg/day			
u. Molybdenum, Total	X		0.0016	0.33					1	mg/L	kg/day			
v. Manganese, Total	х		0.0083	1.7					1	mg/L	kg/day			
(7439-96-5) w. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		x	<0.02					1	1	mg/L	kg/day			
x. Titanium, Total	X		0.0031	0.63					1	mg/L	kg/day			-

	EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)	OUTFALL NUMBER
CONTINUED FROM PAGE 3 OF FORM 2-C	OH0063461	004

PART C - If you are a primary industry and this outfall contains process wastewater, refer to Table 2c-2 in the instructions to determine which of the GC/MS fractions you must test for. Mark "X" in column 2-a for all such GC/MS fractions that apply to your industry and for ALL toxic metals, cyanides, and total phenols. If you are not required to mark column 2-a (*secondary industries, nonprocess wastewater outfalls, and nonrequired GC/MS fractions*), mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-c for each pollutant you believe is absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. If you mark column 2b for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant if you know or have reason to believe it will be discharged in concentrations of 10 ppb or greater. If you mark column 2b for acrolein, acrylonitrile, 2,4 dinitrophenol, or 2-methyl-4, 6 dinitrophenol, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for each of these pollutants which you know or have reasons to believe in concentrations of 100 ppb or greater. Otherwise, for pollutants for which you mark column 2b, you must either submit at least one analysis or briefly describe the reasons the pollutant is expected to be discharged. Note that there are 7 pages to this part; please review each carefully. Complete one table (*all 7 pages*) for each outfall. See instructions for additional details and requirements.

		2. MARK "X"				3. EFF	LUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MINOO	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISES
METALS, CYANIDE,	AND TOTAL	PHENOLS									1.00				
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-0)		х	-	0.000273	0.06					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)		x		0.0011	0.23					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)			х	<0.00022						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)	_		Х	<0.000175						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
5M. Chromium, Total (7440-47-3)		х		0.000452	0.09					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
6M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)		х		0.0052	1.06					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)			X	<0.00052						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)		x		0.00002	4.1e-3			λ.		1	mg/L	kg/dy			
9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)		x		0.0019	0.39					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)			x	<0.000535						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
11M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)			x	<0.000325						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
12M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)			x	<0.000175						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)		x		0.0083	1.7					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)			X	<0.01						4	mg/L	kg/dy			
15M. Phenols, Total		x		11	2.25					4	ug/L	kg/dy			
DIOXIN															
2,3,7,8-Tetra-				DESCRIBE RESULTS											
chlorodibenzo-P- Dioxin (1764-01-6)				Not	Applicab	le									

CONTINUED FROM	THEFRONT														
		2. MARK "X"				3. EFI	LUENT				4. UNI	TS	5. INTAI	KE (option	<i>al</i>)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D/ VA	AILY LUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	WINGO	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISES
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE C	OMPOUNDS	5												
1V. Accrolein (107-02-8)			х	<5						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)			x	<5	·					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)			х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4V. Bis (<i>Chloro-</i> <i>methyl</i>) Ether (542-88-1)							Not	Applicable							
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)			х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)			х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
8V. Chlorodi- bromomethane (124-48-1)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9V. Chloroethane (75-00-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10V. 2-Chloro- Ethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
12V. Dichloro- bromomethane (75-27-4)			×	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane							Not	Applicable							
14V. 1,1-Dichloro- Ethane (75-34-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
15V. 1,2-Dichloro- ethane (107-06-2)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
16V. 1,1-Dichloro- Ethylene (75-35-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
17V. 1,2-Dichloro- Propane (78-87-5)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
18V. 1,3-Dichloro- Propylene (542-75-6)			X	<2						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			-
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 8

		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	AVRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	1	TRATION	INASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISE
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE C	OMPOUND	S (continued)												
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-09-2)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy		1.1.1.1	
23V. 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
24V. Tetrachloro- Ethylene (127-18-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
26V. 1,2-Trans- Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
27V. 1,1,1-Trichloro- Ethane (71-55-6)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
28V. 1,1,2-Trichloro- Ethane (79-00-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
29V Trichloro- Ethylene (79-01-6)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
30V. Trichloro- fluoromethane (75-69-4)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)			Х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
GC/MS FRACTION -	ACID COMF	POUNDS													
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2A. 2,4-Dichloro- Phenol (120-83-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3A. 2,4-Dimethyl Phenol (105-67-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4A. 4,6-Dinitro-O- Cresol (534-52-1)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
8A. P-Chloro-M- Cresol (59-50-7)	_		X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9A. Pentachloro- Phenol (87-86-5)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10A. Phenol (108-95-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

11A. 2,4,6-Trichloro-		Х	<10			1	ug/L	kg/dy		
Phenol (88-05-2)					 					

CONTINUED I ROM		2. MARK "X"	,			3. EF	FLUENT	weeks where the second s			4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	C. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	AVRG.	d. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	b.	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	/ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSE
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	DUNDS												
1B. Acenaphthene (83-32-9)			x	<10			_			1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2B. Acenaphtylene (208-96-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
5B. Benzo (<i>a</i>) Anthracene (56-55-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6B. Benzo (<i>a</i>) Pyrene (50-32-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7B. 3,4-Benzo- fluoranthene (205-99-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
8B. Benzo (<i>ghi</i>) Perylene (191-24-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9B. Benzo (k) Fluoranthene (207-08-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10B. Bis (2-Chloro- ethoxy) Methane			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
11B. Bis (2-Chloro- ethyl) Ether			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
12B. Bis (2- Chloroisopropyl) Ether (102-80-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
13B. Bis (2-Ethyl- hexyl) Phthalate (117-81-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether (101-55-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
15B. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate (85-68-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
16B. 2-Chloro- naphthalene (91-58-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether (7005-72-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
19B. Dibenzo (<i>a</i> , <i>h</i>) Anthracene (53-70-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
20B. 1,2-Dichloro- Benzene (95-50-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

21B. 1,3-Di-chloro-		х	<10				1	ug/L	kg/dy	1	
Benzene (541-73-1)					2						

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 10

CONTINUED TROM	THOL TO														
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	AVRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b.	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MAGG	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALTSE
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	OUNDS (conti	inued)											
22B. 1,4-Dichloro- Benzene (106-46-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
23B. 3,3-Dichloro- Benzidine (91-94-1)			х	<20						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)			х	<10	:					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131 -11-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
27B. 2,4-Dinitro- Toluene (121-14-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
28B. 2,6-Dinitro- Toluene (606-20-2)			x	<10					1	1	ug/L	kg/dy			
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
30B. 1,2-Diphenyl- Hydrazine (as Azo- benzene) (122-66-7)			x	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
31B. Fluoranthene (206-44-0)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
33B. Hexachloro- benzene (118-74-1)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
34B. Hexachloro- butadiene (87-68-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
35B. Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene (77-47-4)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
36B Hexachloro- ethane (67-72-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)	_		х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
39B. Naphthalene (91-20-3)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
41B. N-Nitro- sodimethylamine (62-75-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

42B. N-Nitrosodi-		Х				1	ug/L	kg/dy		
N-Propylamine										
(621-64-7)										

		2. MARK "X"		v.		3. EFI	FLUENT				4. UNI	TS	5. INTA	KE (option	<i>al</i>)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if guailabla)	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY LUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	'ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(ij avanabie)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENI	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	7.1.7.2.1020
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	OUNDS (conti	nued)											
43B. N-Nitro- sodiphenylamine (86-30-6)	_		x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
44B. Phenanthrene (85-01-8)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
45B. Pyrene (129-00-0)			х	<10	 1					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
46B. 1,2,4-Trichloro- Benzene (120-82-1)	2		х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDE	S													
1P. Aldrin (309-00-2)							Not	Applicable							
2P. α-BHC (319-84-6)							Not	Applicable							
3P. β-BHC (319-85-7)							Not	Applicable							
4P. γ-BHC (58-89-9)							Not	Applicable							
5P. δ-BHC (319-86-8)							Not	Applicable							
6P. Chlordane (57-74-9)							Not	Applicable							
7P. 4,4'-DDT (50-29-3)							Not	Applicable							
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72-55-9)							Not	Applicable							
9P. 4,4'-DDD (72-54-8)							Not	Applicable							
10P. Dieldrin (60-57-1)							Not	Applicable							
11P. α-Enosulfan (115-29-7)							Not	Applicable							
12P. β-Endosulfan (115-29-7)							Not	Applicable							
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate							Not	Applicable							
14P. Endrin (72-20-8)							Not	Applicable							
15P. Endrin Aldehyde (7421-93-4)							Not	Applicable							

16P. Heptachlor				Not	Applicable				
(76-44-8)									

				EPA I.D. NUME	ER (copy)	from Item 1 of Form 1)		OUTFALL N	JMBER						
CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 12			OH0063461				004							
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UNI	TS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISES
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDE	S (continued)									_				
17P. Heptachlor Epoxide (1024-57-3)					_		Not	Applicable							
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)							Not	Applicable							
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)							Not	Applicable							
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)							Not	Applicable							
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)							Not	Applicable							
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)							Not	Applicable							
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)							Not	Applicable							
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)							Not	Applicable						_	
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)							Not	Applicable							

~

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (use the same format) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS (continued from page 3 of Form 2	orm 2-C)	page 3 of F	(continued from	TERISTICS	CHARA	EFFLUENT	AND	. INTAKE	V.
---	----------	-------------	-----------------	-----------	-------	----------	-----	----------	----

SEE INSTRUCTIONS	5.											
V. INTAKE AND EFF	LUENT CHARACT	ERISTICS (continued from pag	e 3 of Form	n 2-C)						OUTFALL NO 603	
PART A -You must p	provide the results o	f at least on	e analysis for every	pollutant in	n this table. Comple	ete one table	for each outfall.	See instructions	s for additiona	al details.		
			2.	EFFLUEN	Т			3. UN (specify i	IITS f blank)	×.	4. INTAKE (optional)	
1. POLLUTANT	a. MAXIMUM VALUE	DAILY	b. MAXIMUM 3 VALUE (<i>if availab</i>)	30 DAY le)	c. LONG TER VALUE (if availat	M AVRG. E ble)	d. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG AVERAGE	TERM VALUE	b. NO. OF
	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALTSES	TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISES
a. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	7.5	0.21					1	mg/L	kg/day			
b. Chemical Oxygen Demand (<i>COD</i>)	25.17	0.70					1	mg/L	kg/day			
c. Total Organic Carbon (<i>TOC</i>)	10.1	0.28					1	mg/L	kg/day			

1

mg/L

kg/day

				And an other statement of the local data and the local data an		THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.	the second se	and the second	and the second se	the second se		
e. Ammonia (as N)	0.079	0.0022					1	mg/L	kg/day			
f. Flow	VALUE 0.000732	2	VALUE		VALUE		1	MGD				
g. Temperature (winter)	VALUE 9.31		VALUE		VALUE		4	°C		VALUE		
h. Temperature (summer)	VALUE Not Applicable		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE		
	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM							Constant States		
i. pH	8.21 9.09						4	STANDAR	D UNITS			
PART B – Mark "X" in	column 2-a for each	ch pollutant	you know or have r	eason to be	elieve is present. M	ark "X" in col	umn 2-b for each	pollutant you b	pelieve to be	absent. If you mar	k column 2a for	any pollutant

which is limited either directly, or indirectly but expressly, in an effluent limitations guideline, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. For other pollutants for which you mark column 2a, you must provide quantitative data or an explanation of their presence in your discharge. Complete one table for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements

icq	un ernerne.													
1	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. U	NITS	5. INT.	AKE (optional)
POLLUTANT AND	a. BELIEVED	b. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM DAII	LY VALUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 D. (<i>if availabl</i>	AY VALUE (e)	c. LONG TERM AV (if availab	(RG. VALUE le)	d. NO. OF	(1) CONCEN-	(2) MASS	a. LONG TERM A VALUE	VERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	(2) 110 100	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)	Х		0.681	0.019					1	mg/L	kg/day			
b. Chlorine, Total Residual	X		0.27	0.007					4	mg/L	kg/day			
c. Color	X		20						1					
d. Fecal C		X	<1						1	#/dL				
e. Fluoride (16984-48-8)	X		0.366	0.010					1	mg/L	kg/day			
f. Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	X		1.666	0.046		4			1	mg/L	kg/day			

c. Total Organic Carbon (TOC) d. Total Suspended

Solids (TSS)

<4

ITEM V-B CONT	INUED FROM	I FRONT	-											
1	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UI	NITS	5. INT	AKE (optional)
POLLUTANT	a.	b.	a. MAXIMUM DAIL	Y VALUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 D. (if availabl	AY VALUE e)	c. LONG TERM AV (<i>if availab</i>	RG. VALUE le)	d. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	h MASS	a. LONG TERM A VALUE	VERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	D. MIAGO	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
g. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as	X		1.22	0.034					1	mg/L	kg/day			_
h. Oil and Grease		x	<1.4						4	mg/L	kg/day			
i. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	X		0.271	0.008					1	mg/L	kg/day			
j. Radioactivity									-					
(1) Alpha, Total						Not	Applicable							
(2) Beta, Total						Not	Applicable					-		
(3) Radium, Total	•					Not	Applicable							
(4) Radium 226, Total						Not	Applicable							
k. Sulfate (as SO4) (14808-79-8)	X		95.1	2.63					1	mg/L	kg/day			
I. Sulfide (as S)		х	<0.1						1	mg/L	kg/day			
m. Sulfite (as SO3) (14265-45-3)		X	<1						1	mg/L	kg/day			
n. Surfactants	X		0.09	0.0025					1	mg/L	kg/day			
o. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)	X		0.0252	0.0007					1	mg/L	kg/day			
p. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)	X		0.0827	0.0023					1	mg/L	kg/day			
q. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)	X		0.0881	0.0024					1	mg/L	kg/day			
r. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)	X		0.0006	2e-5					1	mg/L	kg/day			
s. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	Х		0.0277	0.0008					1	mg/L	kg/day			
t. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)	X		37	1.03					1	mg/L	kg/day			
u. Molybdenum, Total	X		0.0063	0.0002					1	mg/L	kg/day			
v. Manganese, Total	X		0.0025	0.0001					1	mg/L	kg/day			
(7439-96-5) w. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		X	<0.02						1	mg/L	kg/day			
x. Titanium, Total	Х		0.0002	1e-5					1	mg/L	kg/day			
(7440-32-0)			1		1	1								

				EPA I.D. NUME	BER (copy f	from Item 1 of Form 1)		OUTFALL NU	JMBER						
CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 3 OF F	ORM 2-C		OH0063461				603							
PART C - If you are all such (<i>outfalls, i</i> you mark analysis methyl-4 greater. (are 7 pag	e a primary GC/MS frac and nonrequisit column 2a for that poll , 6 dinitroph Otherwise, 1 ges to this p	industry and tions that ap <i>uired GC/M</i> . for any poll utant if you nenol, you m for pollutant part; please	d this outfall oply to your S fractions), lutant, you n know or haw nust provide is for which review each	contains process v industry and for AL mark "X" in column nust provide the re ve reason to believe the results of at lea you mark column 2 a carefully. Complet	wastewate L toxic m n 2-b for o sults of a e it will be ast one a b, you m te one tab	er, refer to Table 2c letals, cyanides, an each pollutant you f t least one analysis e discharged in com nalysis for each of t lust either submit at ole (all 7 pages) for	-2 in the d total ph know or h for that p centration hese pol least one each out	instructions to deten nenols. If you are no nave reason to belie pollutant. If you mar ns of 10 ppb or great lutants which you kit e analysis or briefly tfall. See instruction	rmine wh trequire eve is pre- k columr ater. If yo now or h describe s for add	hich of the G d to mark col esent. Mark "2 h 2b for any p bu mark colun ave reason to the reasons litional details	C/MS fractic lumn 2-a (s K" in column collutant, yo nn 2b for ac believe th the pollutan s and requir	ons you m econdary n 2-c for e u must pr crolein, ac at you dis nt is expe rements.	nust test for. Mark ", industries, nonproc each pollutant you b ovide the results of crylonitrile, 2,4 dinitr charge in concentra- cted to be discharg	K" in colu cess was believe is at least ophenol, ations of ed. Note	mn 2-a for ewater absent. If one or 2- 100 ppb or that there
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTAI	KE (option	<i>zl</i>)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	a. TESTING REQUIRED	b. BELIEVED PRESENT	c. BELIEVED ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM D VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN- TRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF ANALYSES
			3	CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS				CONCENTRATION	MASS	
1M. Antimony, Total		X		0.000679	2e-5			-		1	mg/L	kg/dy			
(7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)		x		0.0029	1e-4					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)			x	<0.00022						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)			x	<0.000175						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
5M. Chromium, Total (7440-47-3)			x	<0.000375						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
6M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)		x		0.0044	1e-4					1	mg/L	kg/dy		-	
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)			X	<0.00052						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)		x		0.00001	3e-7					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)		X		0.0046	1e-4					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)			x	<0.000535						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
11M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)			X	<0.000325						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
12M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)			X	<0.000175						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)		x		0.0106	3e-4					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)			X	<0.01						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
15M. Phenols, Total		X		14	4e-4					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
DIOXIN			1								×				
2,3,7,8-Tetra- chlorodibenzo-P- Dioxin (1764-01-6)				DESCRIBE RESULTS	Applicat	ble									

CONTINUED FROM	THEFRONT														
A DOLLUTANT		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT		4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)		
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D/ VA	AILY LUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	AVRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b.	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	'ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE C	COMPOUNDS	S										-		
1V. Accrolein (107-02-8)			X	<5						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)			x	<5						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)			Х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4V. Bis (Chloro- methyl) Ether (542-88-1)							Not	Applicable							
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
8V. Chlorodi- bromomethane (124-48-1)		X		2	5e-5					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9V. Chloroethane (75-00-3)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10V. 2-Chloro- Ethylvinyl Ether			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)		x		13	4e-4					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
12V. Dichloro- bromomethane (75-27-4)		x		7.2	2e-4					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane							Not	Applicable							
14V. 1,1-Dichloro- Ethane (75-34-3)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
15V. 1,2-Dichloro- ethane (107-06-2)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
16V. 1,1-Dichloro- Ethylene (75-35-4)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
17V. 1,2-Dichloro- Propane (78-87-5)			x	<1					-	1	ug/L	kg/dy			
18V. 1,3-Dichloro- Propylene (542-75-6)			X	<2						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)			×	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 8														
		2. MARK "X"	8			3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISE
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE C	OMPOUNDS	S (continued)												
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-09-2)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
23V. 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
24V. Tetrachloro- Ethylene (127-18-4)	_		х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
26V. 1,2-Trans- Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
27V. 1,1,1-Trichloro- Ethane (71-55-6)			х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
28V. 1,1,2-Trichloro- Ethane (79-00-5)		_	х	<1			_			1	ug/L	kg/dy			
29V Trichloro- Ethylene (79-01-6)			х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
30V. Trichloro- fluoromethane (75-69-4)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
GC/MS FRACTION -	ACID COMP	POUNDS													
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2A. 2,4-Dichloro- Phenol (120-83-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3A. 2,4-Dimethyl Phenol (105-67-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4A. 4,6-Dinitro-O- Cresol (534-52-1)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)			x	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)		-	Х	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
8A. P-Chloro-M- Cresol (59-50-7)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9A. Pentachloro- Phenol (87-86-5)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10A. Phenol (108-95-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
11A. 2,4,6-Trichloro- Phenol (88-05-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

CONTINUED FROM	THE FRONT														
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	AVRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	'ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISE
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	OUNDS	1											
1B. Acenaphthene (83-32-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2B. Acenaphtylene (208-96-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)			x	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
5B. Benzo (<i>a</i>) Anthracene			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6B. Benzo (<i>a</i>) Pyrene (50-32-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7B. 3,4-Benzo- fluoranthene			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy	V		
8B. Benzo (<i>ghi</i>) Perylene (191-24-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9B. Benzo (k) Fluoranthene (207-08-9)			x	<10	12					1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10B. Bis (2-Chloro- ethoxy) Methane			X	<10		K.				1	ug/L	kg/dy			
11B. Bis (2-Chloro- ethyl) Ether			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
12B. Bis (2- Chloroisopropyl)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
13B. Bis (2-Ethyl- hexyl) Phthalate			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
(117-81-7) 14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
(101-55-3) 15B. Butyl Benzyl Bothalate (85-68-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy	······		
16B. 2-Chloro- naphthalene			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
(91-58-7) 17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
(7005-72-3) 18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
19B. Dibenzo (<i>a</i> , <i>h</i>) Anthracene			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
(53-70-3) 20B. 1,2-Dichloro- Benzene (95-50-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
21B. 1,3-Di-chloro- Benzene (541-73-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 10				¢									-1000	
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTAI	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	DUNDS (conti	nued)											
22B. 1,4-Dichloro- Benzene (106-46-7)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
23B. 3,3-Dichloro- Benzidine (91-94-1)			х	<20						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)			Х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131 -11-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
27B. 2,4-Dinitro- Toluene (121-14-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
28B. 2,6-Dinitro- Toluene (606-20-2)	_		X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)			x	<10				2		1	ug/L	kg/dy			
30B. 1,2-Diphenyl- Hydrazine (as Azo- benzene) (122-66-7)			x	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
31B. Fluoranthene (206-44-0)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
33B. Hexachloro- benzene (118-74-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			-
34B. Hexachloro- butadiene (87-68-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
35B. Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene (77-47-4)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
36B Hexachloro- ethane (67-72-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
39B. Naphthalene (91-20-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
41B. N-Nitro- sodimethylamine (62-75-9)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
42B. N-Nitrosodi- N-Propylamine (621-64-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

CONTINUED FROM	THE FRONT														
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT			4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)	
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	C. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available,) DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF ANALYSES
(i) available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	DUNDS (conti	inued)					_						_
43B. N-Nitro- sodiphenylamine (86-30-6)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
44B. Phenanthrene (85-01-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
45B. Pyrene (129-00-0)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
46B. 1,2,4-Trichloro- Benzene (120-82-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDE	S		<10											
1P. Aldrin (309-00-2)							Not	Applicable							
2P. α-BHC (319-84-6)							Not	Applicable							
3P. β-BHC (319-85-7)							Not	Applicable							-
4P. γ-BHC (58-89-9)							Not	Applicable							1
5P. δ-BHC (319-86-8)							Not	Applicable							
6P. Chlordane (57-74-9)							Not	Applicable	-						
7P. 4,4'-DDT (50-29-3)							Not	Applicable							
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72-55-9)							Not	Applicable							
9P. 4,4'-DDD (72-54-8)							Not	Applicable							
10P. Dieldrin (60-57-1)							Not	Applicable							
11P. α-Enosulfan (115-29-7)							Not	Applicable							
12P. β-Endosulfan (115-29-7)							Not	Applicable							
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate (1031-07-8)							Not	Applicable							
14P. Endrin (72-20-8)							Not	Applicable							
15P. Endrin Aldehyde (7421-93-4)							Not	Applicable							
16P. Heptachlor (76-44-8)							Not	Applicable							

				EPA I.D. NUMB	BER (copy)	from Item 1 of Form 1)		OUTFALL N	UMBER						
CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 12			OH0063461				603							
		2. MARK "X"				3. EF	FLUENT				4. UNI	TS	5. INTAI	KE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALIGLO
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDE	S (continued)													
17P. Heptachlor Epoxide (1024-57-3)							Not	Applicable							
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)				Not Ap			Applicable								
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)							Not	Applicable							
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)		·					Not	Applicable							
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)							Not	Applicable							
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)							Not	Applicable			-			_	
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)							Not	Applicable							
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)				Not A			Applicable								
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)							Not	Applicable							

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (*use the same format*) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461

SEE INSTRUCTIO	JNS.									Alley A					THE REPORT	OUTEAL		
V. INTAKE AND E	FFLUEN	T CHARAC	TERISTICS (d	continu	ued from pa	ge 3 of Form	2-C)				in for the second			ې مەنبەت يا كېر		800 - Int	take	
PART A –You mus	st provide	e the results	of at least one	e anal	lysis for eve	ry pollutant ir	n this f	table. Comp	lete one table	for ea	ach outfall. S	See instruction	s for additio	nal details.				
					2	2. EFFLUEN	Г					3. UN (specify	NITS <i>if blank</i>)			4. INTA (option	KE bal)	
1. POLLUTANT	é	a. MAXIMUN VALU	M DAILY JE	b.	. MAXIMUM VALUI (if availa	30 DAY E ble)	C.	LONG TEF VALU (<i>if avail</i>	RM AVRG. JE able)	d	I. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	Â	a. LONG /ERAGE	TERM VALUE	b.	NO. OF
	CON	(1) ICENTRATION	(2) MASS	CON	(1) ICENTRATION	(2) MASS	CON	(1) ICENTRATION	(2) MASS		NALISES	TRATION		(1 CONCEN) TRATION	(2) MAS	SS	ALYSES
a. Biochemical Oxygen	<3									1		mg/L	kg/day					
b. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	4.8	56	994							1		mg/L	kg/day			-		
c. Total Organic Carbon (<i>TOC</i>)	2.7	54	564							1	_	mg/L	kg/day					
d. Total Suspended Solids (<i>TSS</i>)	4		819							1		mg/L	kg/day					
e. Ammonia (as N)	<0.	2								1		mg/L	kg/day					
f. Flow	VALU	JE 54.1		VALU	JE		VALU	JE		1		MGD		-				-
g. Temperature (winter)	VALU	JE 8.33		VALU	JE		VALL	JE				•	с	VALUE				
h. Temperature (summer)	VALU	UE Not Ap	plicable	VALU	JE		VALU	JE				•	с	VALUE				
i. pH	MIN 8.7	імим 1	махімим 9.43	MINI	мим					4		STANDA	RD UNITS					a she
PART B – Mark "X which mark c require	(" in coluities limited column 2a co	mn 2-a for e either direc a, you must	each pollutant tly, or indirectl provide quant	you kr ly but titative	now or have expressly, in e data or an	e reason to be n an effluent explanation o	elieve limitat of thei	is present. tions guideli ir presence	Mark "X" in co ne, you must in your discha	provid provid rge. C	2-b for each de the results Complete on	o pollutant you s of at least on e table for eac	believe to b e analysis f h outfall. Se	e absent. If or that pollu e the instru	you mar utant. For actions for	k column 2 other polle additiona	2a for any p utants for w I details an	ollutant hich you d
1	2. MA	RK "X"					3.	EFFLUENT					4. UN	NITS		5. INT	AKE (optional	0
POLLUTANT AND	a.	b. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUN	I DAIL	Y VALUE	b. MAXIMUM (if a	30 DA	AY VALUE e)	c. LONG TER (if a	RM AVI	RG. VALUE le)	d. NO. OF		(2) MASS	a. LON	IG TERM A VALUE	VERAGE	b. NO. OF
(<i>if available</i>)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRAT	ION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRAT	ION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRAT	ION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	(2) MASS	CONCEN	1) NTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)		х	<0.1									1	mg/L	kg/day				
b. Chlorine, X Total Residual	<		0.07		14.3							4	mg/L	kg/day				
c. Color X	(13									1	units					
d. Fecal C		Х	<1									4	#/dL					
e. Fluoride X (16984-48-8)	<		0.028		5.73							1	mg/L	kg/day				
f. Nitrate-Nitrite X (as N)	<		0.404	.028 5.73								1	mg/L	kg/day				

ITEM V-B CONT	INUED FROM	I FRONT												
1	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. U	NITS	5. INT	AKE (optional)
POLLUTANT AND	a. BELIEVED	b. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM DAII	LY VALUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 D. (if availabl	AY VALUE (e)	c. LONG TERM AV (if availab	(RG. VALUE le)	d. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	b MASS	a. LONG TERM A VALUE	VERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	5. MAGO	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
g. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as	X		0.274	56.1					1	mg/L	kg/day			
h. Oil and Grease		X	<1.4						4	mg/L	kg/day			
i. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	X		0.032	6.55					1	mg/L	kg/day			
j. Radioactivity										-				
(1) Alpha, Total						Not	Applicable							
(2) Beta, Total						Not	Applicable			-				
(3) Radium, Total						Not	Applicable							
(4) Radium 226, Total						Not	Applicable							
k. Sulfate (as SO4) (14808-79-8)	X		25.6	5242					1	mg/L	kg/day		-	
I. Sulfide (as S)		X	<0.1						1	mg/L	kg/day			
m. Sulfite (as SO3) (14265-45-3)		X	<1						1	mg/L	kg/day			
n. Surfactants	Х		0.06	12.3					1	mg/L	kg/day			-
o. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)	X		0.171	35.0					1	mg/L	kg/day			
p. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)	X		0.0238	4.87					1	mg/L	kg/day			
q. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)	Х		0.0667	13.7					1	mg/L	kg/day			
r. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)		X	<0.005						1	mg/L	kg/day			
s. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	Х		0.274	56.1					1	mg/L	kg/day			
t. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)	X		9.09	1861					1	mg/L	kg/day			
u. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)	X		0.0024	0.49					1	mg/L	kg/day			
v. Manganese, Total (7439-96-5)	X		0.0081	1.66					1	mg/L	kg/day			
w. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		X	<0.02						1	mg/L	kg/day			
x. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6)	Х		0.0021	0.43					1	mg/L	kg/day			

	EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)	OUTFALL NUMBER	
CONTINUED FROM PAGE 3 OF FORM 2-C	OH0063461	800 - Intake	

PART C - If you are a primary industry and this outfall contains process wastewater, refer to Table 2c-2 in the instructions to determine which of the GC/MS fractions you must test for. Mark "X" in column 2-a for all such GC/MS fractions that apply to your industry and for ALL toxic metals, cyanides, and total phenols. If you are not required to mark column 2-a (secondary industries, nonprocess wastewater outfalls, and nonrequired GC/MS fractions), mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-c for each pollutant you believe is absent. If you mark column 2 for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. If you mark column 2b for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant if you mark column 2b for acrolein, acrylonitrile, 2,4 dinitrophenol, or 2-methyl-4, 6 dinitrophenol, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for these pollutants which you know or have reason to believe that you discharge in concentrations of 10 ppb or greater. Otherwise, for pollutants for which you mark column 2b, you must either submit at least one analysis or briefly describe the reasons the pollutant is expected to be discharged. Note that there are 7 pages to this part; please review each carefully. Complete one table (*all 7 pages*) for each outfall. See instructions for additional details and requirements.

2. MARK "X"						3. EFI	4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional)								
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (<i>if available</i>)		d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF
(If available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISES
METALS, CYANIDE,	AND TOTAL	PHENOLS													
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-0)		x		0.000189	0.038					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)		X		0.000879	0.180					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)			X	<0.00022						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)			Х	<0.000175						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
5M. Chromium, Total (7440-47-3)			X	<0.000375						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
6M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)		x		0.0032	0.66					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)			X	<0.00052						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)		x		0.00001	0.002					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)		x		0.0015	0.31					1	mg/L	kg/dy			
10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)			x	<0.000535						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
11M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)			X	<0.000325						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
12M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)			x	<0.000175						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)			x	<0.00347						1	mg/L	kg/dy			
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)			X	<0.01						4	mg/L	kg/dy			
15M. Phenols, Total		x		11	2.25					4	ug/L	kg/dy			
DIOXIN															
2.3.7.8-Tetra-				DESCRIBE RESULTS											
chlorodibenzo-P- Dioxin (1764-01-6)				Not	Applicat	ole									

CONTINUED FROM	THE FRONT															
	2. MARK "X"					3. EFI	4. UN	TS	5. INTAI	al)						
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D/ VA	AILY LUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	AVRG. d. NO. OF) ANALYSE		a. CONCEN-	b.	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF	
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MAGO	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE C	COMPOUNDS	S													
1V. Accrolein (107-02-8)			x	<5						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)			Х	<5						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)			Х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
4V. Bis (<i>Chloro-</i> <i>methyl</i>) Ether (542-88-1)							Not	Applicable								
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)			х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
8V. Chlorodi- bromomethane (124-48-1)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
9V. Chloroethane (75-00-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
10V. 2-Chloro- Ethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
12V. Dichloro- bromomethane (75-27-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane							Not	Applicable								
14V. 1,1-Dichloro- Ethane (75-34-3)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
15V. 1,2-Dichloro- ethane (107-06-2)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
16V. 1,1-Dichloro- Ethylene (75-35-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
17V. 1,2-Dichloro- Propane (78-87-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
18V. 1,3-Dichloro- Propylene (542-75-6)			x	<2						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)			×	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy				

CONTINUED FROM I	PAGE 8														
	2. MARK "X"					3. EF	4. UN	al)							
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	VRG.	d. NO. OF	a. CONCEN-	b.	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE C	COMPOUNDS	S (continued)												
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-09-2)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
23V. 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
24V. Tetrachloro- Ethylene (127-18-4)			Х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)			Х	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
26V. 1,2-Trans- Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
27V. 1,1,1-Trichloro- Ethane (71-55-6)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
28V. 1,1,2-Trichloro- Ethane (79-00-5)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy	-		
29V Trichloro- Ethylene (79-01-6)			X	<1		3				1	ug/L	kg/dy			
30V. Trichloro- fluoromethane (75-69-4)			x	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)			X	<1						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
GC/MS FRACTION -	ACID COM	POUNDS													
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
2A. 2,4-Dichloro- Phenol (120-83-2)			x	<10			6			1	ug/L	kg/dy			
3A. 2,4-Dimethyl Phenol (105-67-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
4A. 4,6-Dinitro-O- Cresol (534-52-1)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)			X	<50					1	1	ug/L	kg/dy			
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
8A. P-Chloro-M- Cresol (59-50-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
9A. Pentachloro- Phenol (87-86-5)			x	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
10A. Phenol (108-95-2)			×	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
11A. 2,4,6-Trichloro- Phenol (88-05-2)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			

EPA Form 3510-2C (6-14)

CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

CONTINUED FROM	THE FRONT			4												
	2. MARK "X"					3. EF	4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional)									
1. POLLUTANT AND a. CAS NO. TESTING	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA	AILY	b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	AVRG.)	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF	
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	117.00	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALISES	
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	DUNDS													
1B. Acenaphthene (83-32-9)			Х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
2B. Acenaphtylene (208-96-8)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7			Х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
5B. Benzo (a) Anthracene (56-55-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
6B. Benzo (<i>a</i>) Pyrene (50-32-8)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
7B. 3,4-Benzo- fluoranthene (205-99-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
8B. Benzo (<i>ghi</i>) Perylene (191-24-2)			x	<10		-				1	ug/L	kg/dy				
9B. Benzo (k) Fluoranthene (207-08-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
10B. Bis (2-Chloro- ethoxy) Methane			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy		-		
11B. Bis (2-Chloro- ethyl) Ether			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
12B. Bis (2- Chloroisopropyl)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
13B. Bis (2-Ethyl- hexyl) Phthalate			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
(117-81-7) 14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
(101-55-3) 15B. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate (85-68-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
16B. 2-Chloro- naphthalene			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
(91-58-7) 17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
(7005-72-3) 18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
19B. Dibenzo (<i>a</i> , <i>h</i>) Anthracene (53-70-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
20B. 1,2-Dichloro- Benzene (95-50-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			1	
21B. 1,3-Di-chloro- Benzene (541-73-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 10															
---	---------------	----------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------	---	-------------	---	-------------	----------------------	---------------	------------	--------------------------	-------------	-----------	
		2. MARK "X"	,			3. EF	FLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	KE (option	al)	
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (<i>if available</i>)) DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	AVRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	'ERAGE	b. NO. OF	
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION	MAGO	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALTSES	
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPO	DUNDS (conti	nued)												
22B. 1,4-Dichloro- Benzene (106-46-7)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			-	
23B. 3,3-Dichloro- Benzidine (91-94-1)			X	<20						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy		ы. 		
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131 -11-3)			X	<10			_			1	ug/L	kg/dy				
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
27B. 2,4-Dinitro- Toluene (121-14-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
28B. 2,6-Dinitro- Toluene (606-20-2)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)			×	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
30B. 1,2-Diphenyl- Hydrazine (as Azo- benzene) (122-66-7)			X	<50						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
31B. Fluoranthene (206-44-0)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			-	
33B. Hexachloro- benzene (118-74-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
34B. Hexachloro- butadiene (87-68-3)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
35B. Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene (77-47-4)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
36B Hexachloro- ethane (67-72-1)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
39B. Naphthalene (91-20-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
41B. N-Nitro- sodimethylamine (62-75-9).			X	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				
42B. N-Nitrosodi- N-Propylamine			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy				

CONTINUED FROM	THE FRONT								_						
		2. MARK "X"			3. EFFLUENT				4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)		<i>al</i>)		
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	a. TESTING REQUIRED	b. BELIEVED PRESENT	C. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (if available)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN- TRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TER M AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF ANALYSES
(9)	negon ep	PREDENT	ADOLINI	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		INVITION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMPC	OUNDS (conti	nued)									••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	
43B. N-Nitro- sodiphenylamine (86-30-6)			x	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
44B. Phenanthrene (85-01-8)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
45B. Pyrene (129-00-0)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
46B. 1,2,4-Trichloro- Benzene (120-82-1)			х	<10						1	ug/L	kg/dy			
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDE	S													
1P. Aldrin (309-00-2)							Not	Applicable							
2P. α-BHC (319-84-6)							Not	Applicable							
3P. β-BHC (319-85-7)							Not	Applicable							
4P. γ-BHC (58-89-9)							Not	Applicable							
5P. δ-BHC (319-86-8)							Not	Applicable							
6P. Chlordane (57-74-9)							Not	Applicable							
7P. 4,4'-DDT (50-29-3)							Not	Applicable							
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72-55-9)							Not	Applicable							
9P. 4,4'-DDD (72-54-8)							Not	Applicable							
10P. Dieldrin (60-57-1)							Not	Applicable							
11P. α-Enosulfan (115-29-7)							Not	Applicable							
12P. β-Endosulfan (115-29-7)							Not	Applicable							
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate (1031-07-8)							Not	Applicable							
14P. Endrin (72-20-8)							Not	Applicable							
15P. Endrin Aldehyde (7421-93-4)							Not	Applicable							
16P. Heptachlor (76-44-8)							Not	Applicable							

				EPA I.D. NUME	BER (copy f	from Item 1 of Form 1)		OUTFALL N	JMBER						
CONTINUED FROM	PAGE 12			OH0063461				800 - Inta	ke						
		2. MARK "X"	_			3. EF	FLUENT				4. UNI	4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional)		al)	
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO.	a. TESTING BELI	b. BELIEVED	c. BELIEVED	a. MAXIMUM D. VA		b. MAXIMUM 30 VALUE (<i>if available</i>)	DAY	c. LONG TERM A VALUE (if available)	VRG.	d. NO. OF ANALYSE	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AV VALUE	ERAGE	b. NO. OF
(if available)	REQUIRED	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		TRATION		(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALIGES
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDE	S (continued)									211		21.00		
17P. Heptachlor Epoxide (1024-57-3)							Not	Applicable							
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)							Not	Applicable							
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)							Not	Applicable							
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)							Not	Applicable							
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)							Not	Applicable							
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)							Not	Applicable							
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)							Not	Applicable							
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)							Not	Applicable							
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)							Not	Applicable							

EPA ID Number (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)

Form Approved.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC 20460

Application for Permit to Discharge Storm Water

OMB No. 2040-0086 Approval expires 5-31-92

FORM 2F NPDES	\$EPA
NPDES	

Please print or type in the unshaded areas only

Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

Public reporting burden for this application is estimated to average 28.6 hours per application, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate, any other aspect of this collection of information, or suggestions for improving this form, including suggestions which may increase or reduce this burden to: Chief, Information Policy Branch, PM-223, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460, or Director, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

I. Outfall Location

NPD

For each outfall, list the latitude and longitude of its location to the nearest 15 seconds and the name of the receiving water.

OH0063461

A. Outfall Number		B. Latitude	9		C. Longitude		D. Receiving Water			
(list)	Deg	Min	Sec	Deg	Min	Sec	(name)			
005	41	47	58	81	9	17	Lake Erie			
006	41	48	5	81	9	5	Lake Erie			
007	41	48	21	81	8	29	Lake Erie			
			1							

II. Improvements

A. Are you now required by any Federal, State, or local authority to meet any implementation schedule for the construction, upgrading or operation of wastewater treatment equipment or practices or any other environmental programs which may affect the discharges described in this application? This includes, but is not limited to, permit conditions, administrative or enforcement orders, enforcement compliance schedule letters, stipulations, court orders, and grant or loan conditions.

1. Identification of Conditions,	2. /	Affected Outfalls		4. Final Compliance Date		
Agreemente, Etc.	number source of discharge		3. Brief Description of Project	a. req.	b. proj.	
Not applicable						

B: You may attach additional sheets describing any additional water pollution (or other environmental projects which may affect your discharges) you now have under way or which you plan. Indicate whether each program is now under way or planned, and indicate your actual or planned schedules for construction.

III. Site Drainage Map

Attach a site map showing topography (or indicating the outline of drainage areas served by the outfalls(s) covered in the application if a topographic map is unavailable) depicting the facility including: each of its intake and discharge structures; the drainage area of each storm water outfall; paved areas and buildings within the drainage area of each storm water outfall, each known past or present areas used for outdoor storage of disposal of significant materials, each existing structural control measure to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff, materials loading and access areas, areas where pesticides, herbicides, soil conditioners and fertilizers are applied; each of its hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal units (including each area not required to have a RCRA permit which is used for accumulating hazardous waste under 40 CFR 262.34); each well where fluids from the facility are injected underground; springs, and other surface water bodies which received storm water discharges from the facility.

A. For each o	Description of Pollutant Sources outfall, provide an estimate of the area imate of the total surface area drains	a (include units) of imperio	ous surfaces (in	cluding paved areas and bui	Iding roofs) o	Irained to the outfall,
Outfall Number	Area of Impervious Surface (provide units)	Total Area Drained (provide units)	Outfall Number	Area of Impervious Su (provide units)	urface	Total Area Drained (provide units)
005 006 007	1,817,915 sq. ft. 661,365 sq. ft. 761,915 sq. ft.	0.6 sq. mi. 0.07 sq. mi. 0.76 sq. mi.				
3. Provide a to allow ex contact by herbicides.	narrative description of significant ma posure to storm water; method of treat these materials with storm water rund , soil conditioners, and fertilizers are a materials are stored in a manner that wo	terials that are currently o atment, storage, or dispos off; materials loading and applied. ruld allow exposure to storm	r in the past thre al; past and pre access areas, a	ee years have been treated, sent materials management and the location, manner, and e is either indoors or in water ti	stored or dis practices en d frequency i	posed in a manner aployed to minimize n which pesticides, s, if outdoors. The
lant Spill Prev naterials. M pplication ea	vention Control and Countermeasure Pla laterials loading and access is either indo ch year to the gravel yard areas and land	n (SPCC) and Chemical Contr ors or, if outdoors, done onl scape beds. No soil condit	rol Program proc ly with materials ioners or fertilize	edures are the primary site dirv in water tight containers. He ers are applied.	ectives for cor rbicides are a	atrol of significant
. For each or runoff; and measures	butfall, provide the location and a desc a description of the treatment the sto and the ultimate disposal of any solid	cription of existing structur rm water receives, includi or fluid wastes other than	al and nonstructing the schedule by discharge.	tural control measures to rece and type of maintenance for	duce pollutar or control and	its in storm water I treatment
Outfall Number		Treatment			Lis	t Codes from Table 2F-1
05 06 07	Impoundment structures with concre Impoundment structures, concrete b Impoundment structures, concrete b	ete barriers arriers, dikes, skimmer plate arriers, dikes, skimmer plate	es es		1-U 1-U 1-U	
discharges the outfall. Vame and Of DA rio	ficial Title (type or print) Manipulation VICE ILESIDEM	Signature	re identified in e	o or evaluated for the prese ither an accompanying Form	Date Signe	2E application for
 Provide a d lot applicable 	description of the method used, the da	ate of any testing, and the	onsite drainage	e points that were directly ob	served durin	g a test.
/I. Significar	nt Leaks or Spills				÷	
Provide existincluding the	ng information regarding the history o approximate date and location of the	f significant leaks or spills spill or leak, and the type	of toxic or haza and amount of i	ardous pollutants at the facili material released.	ty in the last	three years,
8/12/2015 - L IEPA	ake Erie - Spill of about 55 gallons or less.	of Biocide. Spill occurred	about 1130 due	to a mechanical failure of the f	eed line. Spi	II was reported to
						1

EPA ID Number (copy from	Item 1 of Form 1)
OH0063461	

Continued from Page 2			
VII. Discharge Information			
A, B, C, & D: See instructions before pro Table VII-A, VII-B, VII-C are included on	oceeding. Complete one set of tables for each outfall. A separate sheets numbers VII-1 and VII-2.	Annotate the outfall number in	he space provided.
E. Potential discharges not covered by a which you currently use or manufacture	inalysis – is any toxic pollutant listed in table 2F-2, 2F- as an intermediate or final product or byproduct?	3, or 2F-4, a substance or a co	imponent of a substance
Yes (list all such p	pollutants below) 🛛 No (g	to to Section IX)	
VIII. Biological Toxicity Testing Data			
Do you have any knowledge or reason to	believe that any biological test for acute or chronic to	xicity has been made on any o	f your discharges or on a
Yes (list all such t	rge within the last 3 years?	to Section IX)	
IX. Contract Analysis Information			
Were any of the analyses reported in Iter	m VII performed by a contract laboratory or consulting	firm?	
Yes (list the name, addres analyzed by, each	s, and telephone number of, and pollutants such laboratory or firm below)	□ No (go to Section X)
A. Name	B. Address	C. Area Code & Phone No.	D. Pollutants Analyzed
EA Group	7118 Industrial Park Blvd., Mentor, OH 44060	440-951-3514	BOD5
X. Certification			
I certify under penalty of law that this doo designed to assure that qualified person manage the system or those persons dir belief, true, accurate, and complete. I arr imprisonment for knowing violations.	cument and all attachments were prepared under my d nel properly gather and evaluate the information submi ectly responsible for gathering the information, the info aware that there are significant penalties for submittin	irection or supervision in accor tted. Based on my inquiry of th mation submitted is, to the be ng false information, including t	dance with a system le person or persons who st of my knowledge and the possibility of fine and
A. Name & Official Title (type or print)			B. Phone No.
C Signature	UN SITE VICE MESIDEN		440.280.5382
	\bigcirc		7-27.2016





EPA ID Number (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461 Outfall 005 Form Approved. OMB No. 2040-0086 Approval expires 5-31-92

VII. Discharge Info	rmation (Continued f	from page 3 of Form	n 2F)			
Part A – You must prov	ide the results of at least	t one analysis for every	pollutant in this table.	Complete one table f	or each outfall. Se	e instructions for additional details.
Pollutant	Maximu (incluc Grab Sample	m Values de units)	Averag (inclui Grab Sample	ge Values de units)	Number of Storm	
and CAS Number <i>(if available)</i>	Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Sampled	Sources of Pollutants
Oil and Grease	<1.4 mg/L	N/A	Non-Detect		2	
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD5)	5.4 mg/L		5.4 mg/L		1	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	23.14 mg/L		17.05 mg/L		2	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	36 mg/L		24 mg/L		2	
Total Nitrogen	0.898		N/A		1	
Total Phosphorus	0.05 mg/L		0.03 mg/L		2	
pН	Minimum 8.71	Maximum 8.96	Minimum	Maximum	2	
Part B – List each po process was additional de	ellutant that is limited in stewater (if the facility etails and requiremen	n an effluent guidelin is operating under a ts.	e which the facility n existing NPDES	permit). Complete	y pollutant listed one table for ea	in the facility's NPDES permit for its ch outfall. See the instructions for
	(includ	e units)	(includ	de units)	Number of	
Pollutant and CAS Number <i>(if available)</i>	Grab Sample Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Grab Sample Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Storm Events Sampled	Sources of Pollutants
NO2/NO3	0.5956 mg/L		0.4938 mg/L		2	
Copper	0.0018 mg/L		0.0009 mg/L		2	
Nickel	0.0027 mg/L		0.0023 mg/L		2	
Selenium	<0.0011 mg/L		Non-Detect		2	
Zinc	0.0414 mg/L		0.0322 mg/L		2	

EPA ID Number (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461 Outfall 006 Form Approved. OMB No. 2040-0086 Approval expires 5-31-92

VII. Discharge Info	rmation (Continued	from page 3 of Forn	n 2F)			
Part A – You must prov	vide the results of at leas	t one analysis for every	pollutant in this table	Complete one table	for each outfall. Se	ee instructions for additional details.
Pollutant and CAS Number (if available)	Maximu (inclue) Grab Sample Taken During First 20 Minutes	m Values de units) Flow-Weighted Composite	Averag (inclu Grab Sample Taken During First 20 Minutes	ge Values de units) Flow-Weighted Composite	Number of Storm Events Sampled	Sources of Pollutants
Oil and Grease	<1.4 mg/L	N/A	N/A		1	
Biological Oxygen	140 mg/L		N/A		1	
Demand (BOD5) Chemical Oxygen	187.7 mg/L		123.4 mg/L		2	
Total Suspended	38 mg/L		31 mg/L		2	
Total Nitrogen	12.2 mg/L		N/A		1	
Total Phosphorus	4.24 mg/L		2.64 mg/L		2	
oH	Minimum 847	Maximum 8 53	Minimum	Maximum	2	
Part B – List each po process wa additional d	billutant that is limited i stewater (if the facility etails and requiremen	n an effluent guidelin is operating under a ts. m Values	e which the facility n existing NPDES	v is subject to or any permit). Complete	y pollutant listed one table for ea	in the facility's NPDES permit for its ich outfall. See the instructions for
Pollutant	(includ	e units)	(includ	de units)	Number of Storm	
and CAS Number (if available)	Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Events Sampled	Sources of Pollutants
NO2/NO3	<0.00154 mg/L		Non-Detect		2	
Copper	0.0072 mg/L		0.0036 mg/L		2	
Nickel	0.0027 mg/L		0.0014 mg/L		2	
elenium	<0.0011 mg/L		Non-Detect		2	
						-

EPA ID Number (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) OH0063461 Outfall 007 Form Approved. OMB No. 2040-0086 Approval expires 5-31-92

VII. Discharge Infor	mation (Continued	from page 3 of Form	n 2F)		1	
Part A – You must prov	ide the results of at least	t one analysis for every	pollutant in this table	. Complete one table	for each outfall. S	ee instructions for additional details.
Pollutant and CAS Number	Maximu (includ Grab Sample Taken During First 20	m Values de units) Flow-Weighted Composite	Averag (inclu Grab Sample Taken During First 20	ge Values de units) Flow-Weighted Composite	Number of Storm Events Sampled	
(if available)	Minutes	N/A	Minutes Non-Detect		2	Sources of Pollutants
Biological Oxygen	3.3 mg/l	N/A	N/A		1	
Demand (BOD5)	5.5 mg/c				-	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	25.17 mg/L		19.08 mg/L		2	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	8 mg/L		6 mg/L		2	
Total Nitrogen	0.670 mg/L	-	N/A		1	
Total Phosphorus	0.164 mg/L		0.105 mg/L		2	
pН	Minimum 9.26	Maximum 9.31	Minimum	Maximum		
Part B – List each po process was additional de	d in the facility's NPDES permit for its ach outfall. See the instructions for					
Pollutant and CAS Number (if available)	Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weighted Composite	Events Sampled	Sources of Pollutants
NO2/NO3	1.0131 mg/L		0.68 mg/L		2	
Copper	0.0054 mg/L		0.003 mg/L		2	
Nickel	<0.002 mg/L		Non-Detect		2	
Selenium	<0.001 mg/L		Non-Detect		2	
Zinc	0.0288 mg/L		0.0266 mg/L		2	
					_	
				÷		

		Maximum Values (include units)		m Values le units)	Avera (inclu	Number o	f			
Polluta and CAS Nun <i>(if availai</i>	nt nber b <i>le</i>)	Grab Take Fi M	Sample n During inst 20 inutes	Flow-Weighte Composite	Grab Sample Taken During First 20 Minutes	Flow-Weigh Composite	e Sampled		Sources of Pollutants	
2						1				
									~	
								+		
				-				1		
									2 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
								+		
D – Prov	ide data 1	or the st	orm event(s)) which resulted in	the maximum value	s for the flow w	eighted composite	e sample.		
1. 2. 3. At a f of Storm Storm Event (in Inches)		 Number of hours between beginning of storm measured and end of previous measurable rain event 		5. Maximum flow rate during rain event (gallons/minute or specify units)		6. Total flow from rain event (gallons or speci units)				
3/16 5/16	16 66 0.37 16 125 0.42		>148 hours 83 >120 hours 50		83,500 gpm 50,000 gpm		5,500,000 gallons 6,300,000 gallons			
rovide a d	escription	n of the n	nethod of fio	w measurement or	estimate.		L		1	
nal Metho	d									



Antidegradation Addendum Division of Surface Water

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745-1-05 Antidegradation, additional information may be required to complete your application for a permit to install (PTI) or National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. For any application that may result in an increase in the level of pollutant being discharged (NPDES and/or PTI) or for which there might be an activity taking place within a stream bed, the processing of the permit(s) may be required to go through procedures as outlined in the antidegradation rule. The rule outlines procedures for public notification and participation as well as the procedures pertaining to the levels of review necessary. The levels of review necessary depend on the degradation being considered/requested. The rule also outlines exclusion from portions of the application and review requirements and waivers that the Director may grant as specified in Section OAC 3745-1-05(D) of the rule. Please complete the following questions. The answers provided will allow the Ohio EPA to determine if additional information is needed. <u>All projects that require both an NPDES and PTI should submit both applications simultaneously to avoid going through the antidegradation process separately for each permit.</u>

A. Gen	eral Information						
Applica	int:	Perry Nuclear Power Plant					
Facility Owner:		FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company					
Facility	Location (city & county):	Perry, Lake County					
Application or Plans Prepared by:		Dan Havalo					
Project	Name:	NPDES Renewal Application					
NPDES	Permit No. (if applicable):	3IB00016*JD					
B. Anti	degradation Applicability						
is the a	pplication for? (check as ma	ny as apply)					
	Application with no direct surface water discharge (<i>Projects that do not meet the applicability section of OAC 3745-1-05(B)(1)</i>). Examples include on-site disposal, extensions of sanitary sewers, spray irrigation, indirect discharge to POTW, etc. Complete Section E.						
\boxtimes	Renewal NPDES application or PTT application with no requested increase in loading of currently permitted pollutants. Complete Section E.						
	P II and NPDES application for a new wastewater treatment works that will discharge to a surface water. Complete Sections C & E.						
	 PTI and/or NPDES application for an expansion/modification of an existing wastewater treatment works discharging to a surface water that will result in any of the following: Addition of any pollutant no currently in the discharge; or 						
	 An increase in mass or concentration of any pollutant currently in the discharge; or 						
	An increase in any current pollutant limitation in terms of mass or concentration. Complete Sections C & E.						
	PTI application that involves placement of fill or installation of any portion of a sewerage system (i.e., sanitary sewers, pump stations, WWTP, etc.) within 150 feet of a stream bed. Please provide information requested on the stream evaluation addendum and complete Section E.						
	Initial NPDES application for an existing treatment works with a wastewater discharge prior to October 1, 1996. Complete Sections D & E.						
	Renewal NPDES application or r A new permit limitation for	nodification to an effective NPDES permit that will result in any of the following: or a pollutant that previously had no limitation; or					
	An increase in any mass Complete Sections C and E.	or concentration limitation of any pollutant that currently has a limitation.					

C. Antidegradation Information	
1. Does the PTI and/or NPDES permit application meet an exclusion as outlined by OAC 3745-1-05(D)(1) of the Antidegradation rule?	
Yes. Complete Question C.2. No. Complete Questions C.3 and C.4.	
 For projects that would be eligible for exclusions provide the following information: Provide justification for the exclusion. Identify the substances to be discharged, including the amount of regulated pollutants to be discharged in of mass and concentration. A description of any construction work, fill or other substances to occur or be placed in or near a stream be 	terms ed.
3. Are you requesting a waiver as outlined by OAC 3745-1-05(D)(2-7) of the Antidegradation rule? No If you wish to pursue one of the waivers, please identify the waiver and submit the necessary information to support request. Depending on the waiver requested, the information required under question C.4. may be required to conthe application.	Yes rt the nplete
 4. For all projects that do not qualify for an exclusion, a report must accompany this application evaluating the pred design alternative, non-degradation alternatives, minimal degradation alternatives, and mitigative techniques/mease the design and operation of the activity. The information outlined below should be addressed in this report. If a war requested, this section is still required. a. Describe the availability, cost effectiveness and technical feasibility of connecting to existing central or regis sewage collection and treatment facilities, including long range plans for sewer service outlined in state or water quality management planning documents and applicable facility planning documents. 	ierred Jures for aiver is onal local
b. List and describe all government and/or privately sponsored conservation projects that may have been or v specifically targeted to improve water quality or enhance recreational opportunities on the affected water resource.	will be
c. Provide a brief description of all treatment/disposal alternatives (preferred, non-degradation, minimal degra and mitigative technique/measure) evaluated for this application and their respective operational and maintenance needs.	dation
 At a minimum, the following information must be included in the report for each alternative evaluated. d. Outline of the treatment/disposal system evaluated, including the costs associated with the equipment, installation, and continued operation and maintenance. 	
 Identify the substances to be discharged, including the amount of regulated pollutants to be discharged in to of mass and concentration. 	erms
f. Describe the reliability of the treatment/disposal system, including but not limited to the possibility of recurring operation and maintenance difficulties that would lead to increased degradation.	ng
g. Describe any impacts to human health and the overall quality and value of the water resource.	
 Describe and provide an estimate of the important social and economic benefits to be realized through this proposed project. Include the number and types of jobs created and tax revenues generated. 	
i. Describe environmental benefits to be realized through this proposed project.	
j. Describe and provide an estimate of the social and economic benefits that may be lost as a result of this principle the impacts on commercial and recreational use of the water resource.	oject.
k. Describe the environmental benefits lost as a result of this project. Include the impact on the aquatic life, w threatened or endangered species.	vildlife,
I. Describe any construction work, fill or other structures to occur or be placed in or near a stream bed.	
m. Provide any other information that may be useful in evaluating this application.	

1. For treatment/disposal systems constructed pursuant to a previously issued Ohio EPA PTI, provide the following information: PTI Number: PTI Issuance Date: Initial Date of Discharge: 2. Has the appropriate NPDES permit application form been submitted including representative effluent data? Yes Go to Section E. No See below. If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for arthering the information, is to be best of my knowledge and belief. True accurate and complete						
PTI Number: PTI Issuance Date: PTI Issuance Date: Initial Date of Discharge: Initial Date of Discharge: Initial Date of Discharge: 2. Has the appropriate NPDES permit application form been submitted including representative effluent data? Yes Go to Section E. No See below. If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for cathering the information is to be best of my knowledge and belief.						
PTI Issuance Date: Initial Date of Discharge: 2. Has the appropriate NPDES permit application form been submitted including representative effluent data? Yes Go to Section E. No See below. If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for cathering the information is to be best of my knowledge and helief true accurate and complete						
Initial Date of Discharge: 2. Has the appropriate NPDES permit application form been submitted including representative effluent data? Yes Go to Section E. No See below. If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for cathering the information is to be best of my knowledge and belief true accurate and complete						
 2. Has the appropriate NPDES permit application form been submitted including representative effluent data? Yes Go to Section E. No See below. If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for cathering the information is to be best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate and complete 						
 If no, submit the information as applicable under a or b as follows: a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for eathering the information is to be best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. 						
 a. For entities discharging process wastewater, attach a completed NPDES 2C form. b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for attaching the information is to be best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate and complete 						
 b. For entities discharging wastewater of domestic origin, attach the results of a least one chemical analysis of the wastestream for all pollutants for which authorization to discharge is being requested and a measurement of the daily volume (gallons per day) of wastewaters being discharged. E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for cathering the information is to be best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. 						
E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for athering the information, the information is, to be best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete						
E. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information is, to be best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete.						
This section must be signed by the same responsible person who signed the accompanying permit application or certification as per 40 C.F.R. 122.22.						
Signature:						
Date: 7-27-2014						

Enclosure B L-16-235

40 CFR §122.21(r)(2-8) NPDES Application Requirements for Facilities With Cooling Water Intake Sturctures.

FirstEnergy Perry Nuclear Generating Station Perry, OH



Prepared By:



AECOM Conshohocken 625 West Ridge Pike, Suite E-100 Conshohocken, PA 19428

July 2016

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Regulatory Requirement	1
1.2	Facility Description and Regulatory Status	1
	1.2.1 Lake Erie Intake	1
	1.2.2 Regulatory Status	1
2.0	<pre>§122.21(r)(2) - Source Water Physical</pre>	2
2.1	Source Waterbody Narrative Description	2
2.2	Hydrological and Geomorphological Features	3
	2.2.1 Hydrology and Geomorphology	3
	2.2.2 Area of Influence	4
2.3	Locational Maps	4
3.0	<pre>§122.21(r)(3) - Cooling Water Intake Structure Data</pre>	5
3.1	Narrative Description of Cooling Water Intake Structure	5
3.2	Latitude and Longitude of Cooling Water Structure	6
3.3	Cooling Water Intake Structure Operation	6
3.4	Water Balance	7
3.5	Engineering Drawings	7
4.0	§122.21(r)(4) - Source Water Baseline Biological Characterization Data	8
4.1	Unavailable Data	8
4.2	Taxa in the Vicinity of the CWIS	9
	4.2.1 Juvenile and Adult Fisheries Data	9
	4.2.2 Ichthyoplankton Data	15
	4.2.3 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries	17
	4.2.4 Non-Indigenous Species	18
4.3	Species and Life Stages Susceptible to Impingement & Entrainment	19
	4.3.1 Impingement	19
	4.3.2 Entrainment	21
4.4	Periods of Reproduction, Recruitment, and Peak Abundance	23
4.5	Seasonal and Daily Activities	28
	4.5.1 Seasonal Ichthyoplankton Data	28
	4.5.2 Seasonal Impingement Data	29
	4.5.3 General Seasonal and Daily Activity Information	29
4.6	Threatened, Endangered, and Other Protected Species	33
4.7	Public Participation and Agency Consultation	34
4.8	Supplemental Field Studies	34
4.9	0 Destactive Measures and Stabilization Activities Near the Intelse	34
4.1	1 Erogile Species	34
4.1	 Pridental Take Evention or Authorization 	35
5.0	2 incluental Take Exemption of Authorization	20
5.0	§122.21(r)(5) - Cooling Water System Data	30
5.1	Narrative Description of Cooling Water System	36
5.2	2 Design and Engineering Calculations	36
	5.2.1 Design Intake Flow	30
	5.2.2 Inrough-Screen velocity	30
	5.2.5 Fercent Reduction in Cooling water Flow	37
	5.2.4 Froportion of the Source waterboay withdrawn	57

5.3	Existing Impingement and Entrainment Technologies	37
6.0	§122.21(r)(6) - Chosen Method of Compliance with Impingement Mortality Standard	39
7.0	§122.21(r)(7) - Entrainment Performance Studies	40
8.0	§122.21(r)(8) - Operational Status	41
8.1	Narrative Description of Power Production	41
8.2	Descriptions of Uprates and USNRC Relicensing	41
8.3	New Unit Plans and Schedules	41
9.0	References	42
10.0	Attachments	46

List of Tables

Table 3-1: Perry Pump Design Capacities	5
Table 3-2: Actual Intake Flow and Yearly Average Withdrawals	7
Table 4-1: Relative Abundance of Percid and Forage Species in the Central Basin	9
Table 4-2: Smallmouth Bass Collected from District 3 (2006-2014)	12
Table 4-3: 20-Year Average Densities of Species Collected from District 3 Trawl Surveys	12
Table 4-4: Nearshore Fisheries Sampling near Painesville, Ohio	15
Table 4-5: Ichthyoplankton Collected in the Vicinity of Perry (1974)	16
Table 4-6: Ichthyoplankton Taxa Collected in the Central Basin (1978)	16
Table 4-7: Commercial Fisheries Landings in District 3 (2014)	17
Table 4-8: Recreational Fisheries Landings in District 3 (2014)	18
Table 4-9: Non-Indigenous Fish Occuring in Lake Erie	18
Table 4-10: Factors Effecting Susceptibility to Impingement or Entrainment	19
Table 4-11: Estimated Annual Impingement at Lake Erie Power Plants	20
Table 4-12: Impingement at Eastlake Power Station (1983)	20
Table 4-13: Estimated Annual Entrainment at Lake Erie Power Plants	21
Table 4-14: Entrainment at Central Basin Power Stations near Perry (1978)	22
Table 4-15: Ichthyoplankton Seasonality in the Central Basin (1978)	28
Table 4-16: Seasonality of Top Ten Species Impinged at Eastlake	29
Table 4-17: Threatened and Endangered Aquatic Species Potentially Near Perry	33
Table 5-1: Through-Screen Velocities	37
Table 5-2: Percent Reduction in Flow	37
Table 8-1: Capacity Utilization Factors	41

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: 2015 Monthly Surface Water Temperatures at Fairport, Ohio	3
Figure 2-2: Intake Water Temperatures at Perry (2013-2014)	3
Figure 4-1: Relative Abundance of Age-0 Forage Species in the Central-Eastern Basin	10
Figure 4-2: Relative Abundance of Age-1 Forage Species in the Central-Eastern Basin	.11
Figure 4-3: Relative Abundance of Age 1+ Walleye and White Bass from District 3 (2003-2013)	. 11
Figure 4-4: Relative Abundance of Age-0 Species in District 3 Bottom Trawls (August)	.13
Figure 4-5: Relative Abundance of Age-1+ Species in District 3 Bottom Trawls (August)	13
Figure 4-6: Relative Abundance of Age-0 Species in District 3 Bottom Trawls (September)	. 14
Figure 4-7: Relative Abundance of Age-1+ Species in District 3 Bottom Trawls (September)	. 14
Figure 4-8: Districts Utilized by Ohio DNR for Fisheries Management of Lake Erie	17

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 REGULATORY REQUIREMENT

40 CFR \$122.21(r) contains National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) application requirements for facilities with cooling water intake structures. \$122.21(r)(1)(ii) states that all existing facilities must submit to the Director for review the information required under paragraphs (r)(2) and (3), and applicable provisions of (r)(4), (5), (6), (7), and (8) of \$122.21. This information consists of physical, biological, and operational data for each cooling water source, cooling water intake structure (CWIS), and cooling water system utilized at the facility.

This document is intended to:

- Fulfill the regulatory requirement for submittal of §122.21(r)(2-8) information for the Perry Nuclear Generating Station (Perry), an existing facility subject to the 2014 Existing Facilities Rule; and
- Be submitted in support of the Perry NPDES permit renewal application.

1.2 FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND REGULATORY STATUS

Perry, located in Perry, Lake County, Ohio, is owned and operated by FirstEnergy Corporation (FirstEnergy). The facility is a single generating unit station, powered by a boiling water reactor, and has a Net Dependable Capacity (NDC) of 1,268 megawatts (MW) of electricity (FirstEnergy 2012). It is located on a 1,100 acre site, on the southern shore of Lake Erie. Approximately 850 acres of the land on the site are certified as an Urban Wildlife Sanctuary (FirstEnergy 2012).

1.2.1 LAKE ERIE INTAKE

Perry withdraws cooling water from Lake Erie from an offshore cooling water intake structure (CWIS¹) for service water supply and cooling tower makeup as part of the closed-cycle cooling water system. Lake Erie also serves as the ultimate heat sink. The CWIS consists of two offshore, multiport intake structures with circular end caps located approximately 2,650 feet (ft) offshore (FirstEnergy 2015). There are no trash racks installed on the offshore intake (USNRC 1982).

1.2.2 REGULATORY STATUS

Perry is subject to the requirements of the Existing Facilities Rule at 122.21(r) since it meets the following three criteria stated in 125.91(a):

- The facility is a point source;
- The facility uses or proposes to use one or more cooling water intake structures with a cumulative design intake flow (DIF) of greater than 2 million gallons per day (MGD) to withdraw water from waters of the United States; and
- Twenty-five percent or more of the water the facility withdraws on an AIF (actual intake flow) basis is used exclusively for cooling purposes.

¹ To accommodate the unlikely event that the CWIS is rendered inoperable, an onshore safety-class emergency service water pumphouse can draw water from Lake Erie through either the submerged intakes or through a redundant water supply via a cross tie tunnel with the discharge tunnel (FirstEnergy 2015). The alternate intake structure is smaller, but has similar design features, such as a two traveling water screens (FirstEnergy 2015). The emergency intake structure supports the safe shutdown and subsequent cooldown after a postulated loss of the intake structure (FirstEnergy 2015).

2.0 §122.21(r)(2) - SOURCE WATER PHYSICAL

2.1 SOURCE WATERBODY NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(2)(i): "A narrative description and scaled drawings showing the physical configuration of all source water bodies used by your facility, including areal dimensions, depths, salinity and temperature regimes, and other documentation that supports your determination of the waterbody type where each cooling water intake structure is located."

Perry withdraws cooling water from the central basin of Lake Erie. The central basin is the largest of the three Lake Erie basins, with a surface area of 6,246 square miles (mi^2) (16,177 square kilometers $[km^2]$) and a volume of 79 trillion gallons (299.2 cubic kilometers $[km^3]$) (Cooper et al. 1981). The average depth in the central basin is 60.7 ft (18.5 meters) with a maximum depth of 84 ft (25.6 meters) (Cooper et al. 1981).

Lake Erie surface water temperatures are lowest in January and peak in August. The lake surface partially freezes in winter but is rarely completely covered with ice (USNRC 1982). Thermal stratification of the lake typically occurs in June and oxygen saturation of the lower level approaches 0% by August (Cooper et al. 1981). Thermal stratification regularly occurs in the eastern and central basins, but is rare in the western basin due to its shallow waters (NYSDEC 2005). Multiple water quality parameters including surface water temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) have been monitored at eight locations in the central basin since 1999 (FTG 2015). Each station is sampled every two weeks from May through September. Mean summer surface temperatures in the central basin ranged from 68.9 °F (2009) to 75.4°F (2012). Surface water temperature in the central basin was 67.3°F, which was the lowest recorded summer temperature since the program began (FTG 2015).

DO levels less than 2.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) are a concern in the central basin and are a limiting factor to the distribution of fish (FTG 2015). Low DO occurs almost annually offshore and occasionally inshore. Low DO has been observed as early as mid-June and can persist until late September, when fall turnover remixes the water column. In the central basin during the 2014 sampling, lower level water DO fell below 2.0 mg/L on only one occasion at an offshore station (FTG 2015).

A National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA) buoy, located at Fairport, Ohio, approximately 7.5 miles southwest of Perry, records surface water temperature and water level data (NOAA 2016a). Five years of water level data, from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2015, show little fluctuation in water levels. The highest water levels occur during the summer months, and peaked on July 15, 2015 at 573.63 International Great Lakes Datum (IGDL). The lowest water levels are recorded during the winter, with the lowest recorded water level of 570.05 IGDL occurring on February 15, 2011. The average water level during the five year period was 571.56 IGDL (NOAA 2016a).

Annual surface water temperature data are only available for 2015 (NOAA 2016a). The lowest recorded temperature occurred on multiple days in January, February, and March at 32.5°F and water temperatures peaked at 81°F in July (NOAA 2016a). Temperature data from 2015 are provided in Figure 2-1.



Source: NOAA (2016a)

Additionally, daily temperatures recorded at the Perry intake from January 2013 to January 2015 were provided by FirstEnergy. These data are shown in Figure 2-2, below.



Figure 2-2: Intake Water Temperatures at Perry (2013-2014)

Source: Service Water Inlet Lake Temperature data provided by FirstEnergy

2.2 HYDROLOGICAL AND GEOMORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(2)(ii): "Identification and characterization of the source waterbody's hydrological and geomorphological features, as well as the methods you used to conduct any physical studies to determine your intake's area of influence within the waterbody and the results of such studies."

2.2.1 HYDROLOGY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY

Eighty percent of the total water flow into Lake Erie is from the Detroit River which originates in the upper Great Lakes (NYSDEC 2005). Precipitation is relatively higher in Lake Erie than other regions in

the area, due to the lake effect, and constitutes 11% of total water flow. The remaining 9% of flow is from tributary streams in Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, and Ontario. Lake Erie waters have the shortest residence time of all the Great Lakes at 2.6 years. Water leaves Lake Erie in the eastern basin via the Niagara River and the Welland Canal (NYSDEC 2005).

The central basin of Lake Erie is separated from the western basin by a chain of islands stretching from Marblehead, Ohio northward to Point Pelee, Ontario and from the eastern basin by a relatively shallow sand and gravel bar extending between Erie, Pennsylvania and Long Point, Ontario (Cooper et al. 1981).

Surface currents in the central basin are influenced by prevailing southwesterly winds (Cooper et al. 1981). Bottom currents act as a return flow over the basin, flowing in the opposite direction of the wind driven surface currents. More than two-thirds of the benthos in the central basin is covered by mud but a narrow strip of sand and gravel occurs along most of the shoreline and reaches its peak width of approximately 5 miles between Cleveland and Fairport, Ohio. Perry is approximately 8 miles southwest of Fairport, Ohio. In the central basin, the bottom slopes away from the shoreline at a much greater rate than in the western basin, resulting in the limitation of spawning areas for fish to a narrow band along the shoreline (Cooper et al. 1981).

The shoreline of Lake Erie in Painesville, Ohio, approximately 7 miles southwest of Perry, is a moderate bluff shoreline with depths of less than 50 ft and typically sand-starved nearshore areas (Goforth et al. 2002). Substrates in this area are variable, but are principally comprised of sparse sandy areas with exposed cobbles, boulders, and clay, up to 20 ft offshore. In the summer of 2000, substrates were characterized using side scan sonar and consisted of 61% thin layer sand over clay, 23% sand, and 16% cobble/boulder glacial deposits. The substrate stability is considered low. Sand loss at Painesville was monitored from 1999 to 2000 and a loss of 30% was observed (Goforth et al. 2002).

Turbidity in the central basin is low compared to the Western Basin due to its larger size and lack of high silt loaded tributaries (Cooper et al. 1981). The mean summer Secchi depth in the central basin is 15 ft, compared to 7.5 ft in the Western Basin (FTG 2015).

Available bathymetry of Lake Erie (Attachment A) shows that within approximately half a mile of the shoreline near Perry, the lake bottom drops to 30 ft then gradually deepens to a maximum depth of 79 ft approximately 12.5 miles offshore.

2.2.2 AREA OF INFLUENCE

No physical studies were performed to determine Perry's intake area of influence within the waterbody. A desktop analysis was performed to define the approximate area of influence within the 0.5 feet per second (fps) velocity contour. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) considers this velocity to be BTA for intake structures because fish have the swimming ability to overcome this velocity and avoid impingement. Based on the physical dimensions of the end caps and the DIF, the velocities have been computed² at the face of the end caps³. Based on these calculations, the maximum velocity at the face of the end caps is approximately 0.23 fps. Thus, the hydraulic zone of influence does not extend beyond the face of the end caps into Lake Erie.

Calculations on the Area of Influence are provided in Section 10 - see Attachment B.

2.3 LOCATIONAL MAPS

Regulatory requirement at §122.21(r)(2)(iii): "Locational maps."

Locational maps identifying the Lake Erie intake structures are provided in Attachment A.

² Using Velocity = Flow / Area

³ The end caps are located at least 13 ft below the low water elevation for navigation purposes, so are submerged at all times.

3.0 §122.21(r)(3) - COOLING WATER INTAKE STRUCTURE DATA

3.1 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COOLING WATER INTAKE STRUCTURE

Regulatory requirement at $\frac{122.21(r)(3)(i)}{122.21(r)(3)(i)}$: "A narrative description of the configuration of each of your cooling water intake structures and where it is located in the water body and in the water column"

The Perry CWIS serves to supply a continuous supply of water from Lake Erie to its generating unit and cooling towers. The Perry CWIS consists of two offshore intake structures with circular end caps located approximately 2,650 ft offshore (FirstEnergy 2015). Each end cap has eight ports around the perimeter of the structure, raised off the lake bottom by about 3-ft, with approximate port dimensions of 3.6-ft high and 12-ft wide (FirstEnergy 2015; USNRC 1982). The end caps are 36-ft in diameter (FirstEnergy 2015). The end caps' downshafts each connect to an underground tunnel which converges at a T-junction equidistant by approximately 100 ft from both intakes (CEI 1986). There are no trash racks installed on the offshore intake; however, insert channels have been constructed if trash racks become necessary (USNRC 1982). The end caps provide water via an underground tunnel to a pumphouse that incorporates two traveling water screens.

In Lake Erie, the low water elevation is approximately 570 ft (') 6 inches (') Mean Sea Level (MSL). Because of localized differences in the bathymetry, the elevation of the lake bottom and the top of the end cap is slightly different between the two intakes. The lake bottom near the first end cap is 548'-10" MSL, and the top of the end cap is 555'-11" MSL (CEI 1974; CEI 1977). The lake bottom near the second end cap is 549'-10" MSL, and the top of the end cap is 556'-11" MSL (CEI 1974; CEI 1977). The end caps are located at least 13 ft below the low water elevation for navigation purposes.

The pumphouse is an onshore structure and receives water from the end caps in Lake Erie. Water enters the pumphouse in a forebay with a width of 64'-0" (Perry Undated). The low water inside the pumphouse is 567'-4" MSL, and the invert elevation is 544'-7" MSL (Envirex 1976a).

Water enters the end caps and travels through an underground, 10-ft diameter intake tunnel to both onshore service water and emergency service water pumphouses, where the water passes through two traveling water screens with 8-foot wide baskets, 3/8-inch square mesh openings, and 14 gauge (0.080-inch diameter) Washburn & Moen screen (Envirex 1976a; Envirex 1976b; USNRC 1982). Water is then suctioned through pumps to the service and emergency service water systems.

The pumphouse serves as a sump to supply the pumps identified in Table 3-1.

Pumps Operating in Each Bay	Number of Pumps in CWIS	Rated Capacity per Pump		
Service Water Pumps A–D	4, Up to 3 Operating	33.8 MGD (23,500 GPM)		
Emergency Service Water Pump – Loops A and B	2, Emergency Only	18.6 MGD (12,900 GPM)		
Emergency Service Water Pump – Loop C	1, Emergency Only	1.3 MGD (900 GPM)		
Diesel Fire Service Pump	1, Emergency Only	3.6 MGD (2,500 GPM)		
Motor Fire Service Pump	1, Emergency Only	3.6 MGD (2,500 GPM)		
Fire Service Jockey Pump	1, Emergency Only	0.072 MGD (50 GPM)		

Table 3-1: Perry Pump Design Capacities

FirstEnergy (2016a)

The DIF, which takes into account operations of the pumps and other factors, are stated in Section 3.3. The traveling water screens use screen wash pumps; however, these pumps draw from the service water discharge line. In addition, the makeup water for the cooling tower is drawn from the service water discharge line after traversing the service water strainers. Because the screen wash and makeup water

pumps are not directly drawing water from the waterbody, these pumps are not included in either Table 3-1 or Section 3.3.

3.2 LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE OF COOLING WATER STRUCTURE

Regulatory requirement at (3)(ii): "Latitude and longitude in degrees, minutes and seconds for each of your cooling water structure"

The CWIS includes of two end caps with the tunnels joining at a T-junction. The approximate coordinates⁴ for the end caps and the T-junction are as follows:

- Intake Structure 1 latitude 41° 48' 32.56" North and longitude 81° 09' 10.30" West
- Intake Structure 2 latitude 41° 48' 31.16" North and longitude 81° 09' 10.35" West
- T-Junction latitude 41° 48' 31.84" North and longitude 81° 09' 09.39" West

3.3 COOLING WATER INTAKE STRUCTURE OPERATION

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(3)(iii): "A narrative description of the operation of each of your cooling water intake structures, including design intake flows, daily hours of operation number of days of the year in operation and seasonal changes, if applicable"

Perry is a baseload nuclear generating facility that operates at all times of the year except during scheduled maintenance. Planned outages occur once every 24 months. The CWIS operates to provide a continuous supply of water for non-contact cooling of the condensers.

The maximum number of intake pumps that normally operate consist of three service water pumps. In case of an emergency, two emergency service water pumps for Loops A and B and one emergency service water pump for Loop C can be operated. There are minor seasonal changes in pumping operations, which includes operating two service water pumps in the winter and three in the summer (FirstEnergy 2016b). The pumphouse also includes two pumps that are not included in the DIF: the fire service pumps and one fire jockey pump that are only used for fire suppression. There are also screen wash and cooling tower makeup pumps that draw water from the service water discharge line.

The total DIF⁵ with up to three service water pumps operating is 101.5 MGD (70,500 GPM). The AIF, calculated as the average of all monthly flow totals⁶ from 2013 through mid-April 2016, is approximately 54.6 MGD (37,933 GPM) as shown in Table 3-2. Table 3-2 also includes yearly average intake withdrawal rates. The maximum AIF from this data is 101.2 MGD (70,296 GPM)⁶.

⁴ The geodetic coordinates have been converted from State Plane Coordinates (SPC) (Northing and Easting using zone Ohio North 3401 and NAD27). The coordinates are based on the SPC of the centerline of the downshafts of the end caps and the centerline of the T-junction (CEI 1986).

⁵ The system has been designed so that the maximum flow of approximately 102,250 GPM can be adequately handled if the emergency service water and the normal service water systems are operating simultaneously. However, operating all pumps simultaneously is not typical and is considered to be extremely rare.

⁶ Flow data included both negative values and values greater than the DIF; these values are assumed to be erroneous and have been removed from the dataset (FirstEnergy 2016c).

Year Withdrawal Rate (MGD) 2013 61.3 2014 53.5 2015 50.6 2016* 49.5		
Year	Withdrawal Rate (MGD)	
2013	61.3	
2014	53.5	
2015	50.6	
2016*	49.5	
Actual Intake Flow	54.6	

Table 3-2: Actual Intake Flow and Yearly Average Withdrawals

* January 2016 through mid-April 2016 Source: FirstEnergy (2016c)

3.4 WATER BALANCE

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(3)(iv): "A flow distribution and water balance diagram that includes all sources of water to the facility, recirculating flows, and discharges"

A schematic diagram showing the flow distribution and water balance that includes all sources of water to Perry is provided in Attachment C.

3.5 ENGINEERING DRAWINGS

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(3)(v): "Engineering drawings of the cooling water intake structure"

Engineering drawings of the cooling water intake structure are provided in Attachment C.

4.0 §122.21(r)(4) - SOURCE WATER BASELINE BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION DATA

Source water baseline biological characterization data were compiled from multiple sources to prepare this report. The rationale for their inclusion is explained below.

- Fisheries community surveys of the central basin of Lake Erie were available from multiple sources (Cooper et al. 1981; FTG 2015; Goforth et al. 2002; ODW 2015).
 - FTG (2015) and ODW (2015) provide data regarding population trends of forage and sport species located within the central basin of Lake Erie.
 - Goforth et al. (2002) provides shallow water seine and nearshore gillnet survey catch data from Painesville, Ohio.
 - Cooper et al. (1981) provides ichthyoplankton data and abundancies from collections throughout the central basin of Lake Erie.
 - ODW (2015) provides information on commercial and recreational fisheries that is used to complement the list of taxa in the vicinity of the CWIS.
- Impingement and entrainment data collected at fossil-fueled power plants on Lake Erie located within a 50-mile radius of Perry (USNRC 1982) are used to describe the species potentially impinged or entrained at Perry because no station-specific impingement or entrainment studies were performed.

4.1 UNAVAILABLE DATA

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(i): "A list of the data in paragraphs (r)(4)(ii) through (vi) of this section that are not available and efforts made to identify sources of the data."

Impingement data from Perry were not provided or found. However, impingement data are available from facilities located within the central basin and were used to assess potential impingement at Perry (USNRC 1982, ERA 1987). Impingement data from the following stations were reviewed:

- Eastlake Power Station (Eastlake) was included because it is relatively close to Perry, approximately 18 miles southwest, and can provide a list of species potentially susceptible to impingement.
- Ashtabula C Power Plant (Ashtabula C) was reviewed because it is relatively close (20 miles northeast) and has a submerged offshore intake similar to Perry. However, impingement rates may be different because Ashtabula C had a higher water withdrawal volume and intake flow velocity.
- Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station (Davis-Besse) was included despite its greater distance to Perry because it uses a closed-cycle recirculating system with natural-draft cooling towers, has low water volume requirements, low intake flow velocities, and a submerged offshore intake structure, similar to Perry.

These impingement data are all over 30 years old, and the ecology of Lake Erie has changed substantially due to the introduction of exotic species such as Dreissenid mussels and round goby. More recent data from fisheries community studies in the central basin of Lake Erie are used to supplement the older impingement and entrainment data.

Entrainment data from Perry were also not available. Historical entrainment data were found for facilities located within the central basin of Lake Erie near Perry, including Eastlake, Ashtabula A&B, and

Ashtabula C (Cooper et al. 1981). Ashtabula C uses closed-cycle cooling with an offshore CWIS, similar to Perry, and therefore those data are likely most representative of potential entrainment at Perry. Entrainment data from all three facilities were reviewed to better identify species susceptible to entrainment at Perry.

4.2 TAXA IN THE VICINITY OF THE CWIS

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(ii): "A list of species (or relevant taxa) for all life stages and their relative abundance in the vicinity of the cooling water intake structure."

4.2.1 JUVENILE AND ADULT FISHERIES DATA

Surveys near Perry were conducted in 1974 using gill nets, bottom trawls, and beach seines (NUS 1975). Gill net and bottom trawl collections were conducted in the area of the future intake and discharge locations. Those collections indicated the species most likely to be effected by operations were freshwater drum, yellow perch, emerald shiner, spottail shiner, rainbow smelt, white sucker, common carp, and gizzard shad. Beach seine collections during the 1974 survey concluded that the nearshore areas near Perry were dominated by emerald shiner and juvenile alewife (NUS 1975).

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) regularly evaluates the fisheries of Lake Erie via bottom trawling and gill net surveys. Surveys are used to assess the relative abundance of sport and forage species in Lake Erie. Bottom trawling surveys in the central basin in Ohio began in 1990 in order to assess age-0 percid (walleye and yellow perch) and forage fish abundance and distributions (FTG 2015). Data from the Ohio Division of Wildlife (ODW) focuses on sport fishes, while the Forage Task Group (FTG) data focuses on forage species (FTG 2015; ODW 2015). The area of Lake Erie where Perry is located is referred to as Ohio East (FTG 2015) or District 3 (ODW 2015). These areas encompass the central basin of Lake Erie between Fairport Harbor and the Pennsylvania state line (FTG 2015; ODW 2015). In 2014, 12 trawl tows were completed in the Ohio East/District 3 region. Relative abundance indices for fish collected were calculated as the arithmetic mean catch-per-hectare-trawled (Table 4-1) (FTG 2015; ODW 2015).

Common Name	Scientific Name	Mean F Abun (2004	Relative dance -2014)	Mean F Abun (20	Relative dance 913)	Mean Re Abund (201	elative ance 4)
		Age-0	Age-1	Age-0	Age-1	Age-0	Age-1
Alewife	Alosa pseudoharengus	3.9	-	36.1	-	0	-
Emerald shiner	Notropis atherinoides	431.6	320.7	306.2	8.4	650.1	333.5
Gizzard shad	Dorosoma cepedianum	20.7	-	39.5	-	7.3	-
Rainbow smelt	Osmerus mordax	664.8	301.7	12.8	485.6	1,709.50	15
Round goby	Neogobius melanostomus	106.2	108.3	45.8	46.3	86.2	89.1
Spottail shiner	Notropis hudsonius	0.2	1.7	0	2.9	0	0
Trout-perch	Percopsis omiscomaycus	1.3	13	0	1	0.6	0.4
White perch	Morone americana	453.1	106.7	200.1	546.9	99.4	4.4

Table 4-1: Relative Abundance of Percid and Forage Species in the Central Basin

Common Name	Scientific Name	Mean Relative Abundance (2004-2014)		Mean Relative Abundance (2013)		Mean Relative Abundance (2014)	
		Age-0	Age-1	Age-0	Age-1	Age-0	Age-1
Yellow perch	Perca flavescens	66.1	59.3	8.9	109.5	49.1	24.2

Source: FTG (2015)

Forage species collections from May through October 2014 were similar to 2013 results, and were above the 25 year average (FTG 2015). Soft-rayed and clupeid species showed declines, but were offset by increases in rainbow smelt densities. Yellow perch densities increased, offsetting the decrease in white perch densities (FTG 2015). Relative abundance of percid and forage fish species collected during fall surveys in the central-eastern section of the central basin are shown in Figure 4-1, and Figure 4-2.



Source: FTG (2015)



Source: FTG (2015)

Gillnet surveys conducted each fall focus on age-1+ white bass and walleye (ODW 2015). Relative abundance indices of age-1+ walleye and white bass are calculated as the mean of catch per gill net set. Due to weather related issues, no gillnets were set in District 3 in 2014. Data from previous years are provided in Figure 4-3. Smallmouth bass gillnet surveys are conducted in September of each year since 2006 (ODW 2015). Smallmouth bass collection data are listed in Table 4-2.



Figure 4-3: Relative Abundance of Age 1+ Walleye and White Bass from District 3 (2003-2013)

Year	Number Collected	Average Age	Average Depth (feet)	Average Temperature (F°)
2006	26	5.9	11.2	22.1
2007	46	3.6	25.3	23.7
2008	81	5.2	21.0	21.1
2009	25	3.6	16.1	22.2
2010	87	3.7	21.7	23.5
2011	69	3.2	22.3	19.1
2012	96	3.5	23.6	22.2
2013	53	4.9	24.3	19.4
2014	13	7.0	21.7	19.3
Mean	60.4	4.2	20.7	21.7

Table 4-2: Smallmouth Bass Collected from District 3 (2006-2014)

Source: ODW (2015)

Trawl data for age-0 and age 1+ fish in District 3 are provided for August and September collections from 1995 to 2014 (ODW 2015). Data are listed in Table 4-3 for the top 15 species collected in District 3. Figure 4-4 through Figure 4-7 show population trends of commonly collected species within District 3 (ODW 2015).

	20 Year Average Catch-Per-Hectare				
Common Name		Age-0	Age-1+		
	August	September	August	September	
Alewife	3.2	5.5	0.1	0.1	
Emerald shiner	6.9	363.4	0.9	273.8	
Freshwater drum	0	1.2	23.8	11.5	
Gizzard shad	5.6	31.2	1	0.4	
Lake whitefish	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	
Rainbow smelt	260.4	940.5	1,100.70	351.5	
Round goby	38.5	101.1	289.6	114.4	
Silver chub	0	0	0	0.4	
Smallmouth bass	0.7	0.5	0	0	
Spottail shiner	0.1	1.6	0.3	3.6	
Trout perch	9.7	3.1	20.6	12.1	
Walleye*	0.1	0.4	1.40	1	
White bass*	5.5	46.1	2	5.8	
White perch*	536.7	282.6	129.50	74.8	
Yellow perch*	360	48.9	101.6	41.2	

Table 4-3: 20-Year Average Densities of Species Collected from District 3 Trawl Surveys

*Species are only reported as age-0 and age-1 Source: ODW (2015)



Source: ODW (2015)





Source: ODW (2015)



Source: ODW (2015)





Source: ODW (2015)

Nearshore fisheries community sampling was also conducted near Painesville, Ohio on June 7 and October 3, 2000 (Goforth et al. 2002). Sampling efforts were part of a larger project that involved sampling nearshore communities of Lake Erie and Lake Michigan. Fish were collected via beach seines and gill nets. Beach seine sampling was done in shallow waters (< 1 meter) after dusk on October 3, 2000. Gill nets were anchored at 3 meter depths perpendicular to the shoreline and fished after sunset for a maximum period of four hours on June 7, 2000 (Goforth et al. 2002).

The shallow water (<3 feet deep) fish community was dominated by emerald shiner (Goforth et al. 2002). Seven species were collected via beach seine, including rainbow trout and round goby which are non-native species. Rainbow trout are a stocked species and round goby are an invasive species. The mean catch per unit effort (CPUE ± 1 SE) for all species collected via beach seine was 11.7 ± 9.4 fish per haul (Goforth et al. 2002).

Nearshore (<10 feet deep) collections had a high CPUE compared to shallow water sites (Goforth et al. 2002). Seven species were collected and white bass, channel catfish, and freshwater drum were considered abundant. White bass was the only species collected in both beach seines and gill nets. The average CPUE ($\pm 1SE$) for all species collected via gill nets was 10.1 \pm 1.9 fish per haul (Goforth et al. 2002). Thirteen species representing nine families were collected overall. Data are listed in Table 4-4.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Feeding Guild	Mean Relative Abundance (±1SE)	Mean CPUE (N per haul ± 1SE)
Channel catfish	Ictalurus punctatus	Piscivore	0.26 ± 0.06	2.56 ± 0.11
Common carp	Cyprinus carpio	Benthivore	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1
Emerald shiner	Notropis atherinoides	Planktivore	0.55 ± 0.45	3.0 ± 1.2
Freshwater drum	Aplodinotus grunniens	Benthivore	0.32 ± 0.16	3.56 ± 2.22
Gizzard shad	Dorosoma cepedianum	Planktivore	0.021 ± 0.002	0.21 ± 0.02
Rainbow smelt	Osmerus mordax	Piscivore	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.4
Rainbow trout	Oncorhynchus mykiss	Piscivore	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.4
Round goby	Neogobius melanostomus	Benthivore	0.19 ± 0.19	3.7 ± 4.5
Spottail shiner	Notropis hudsonius	Planktivore	0.17 ± 0.17	3.3 ± 4.1
Walleye	Stizostedion vitreum	Piscivore	0.09 ± 0.06	0.98 ± 0.79
White bass	Marana chrusans	Dianktivoro	0.29 ± 0.16 (G)	2.59 ± 1.03 (G)
white bass	worone chrysops	Planktivore	N/A (S)	0.7 ± 0.8 (S)
White perch	Morone americana	Planktivore	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.4
Yellow perch	Perca flavescens	Piscivore	0.01 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.11

Table	4-4:	Nearshore	Fisheries	Sampling	near	Painesville.	Ohio
Lant		I wai shore	L'ISHCLICS	Sampling	nual .	i amesime,	Unio

Source: Goforth et al. (2002)

The benthic habitat of Fairport Harbor at the mouth of the Grand River, approximately 8 miles southwest of Perry, was also evaluated during an invasive species survey conducted in 2001 (Baker 2001). SCUBA surveys indicated no presence of benthic fish other than the invasive round goby. It is likely round goby out compete logperch, channel darter, and sculpin species (Baker 2001).

Lastly, steelhead, a lake run rainbow trout, is stocked in the Grand River and lake trout is stocked directly in Lake Erie (ODW 2015). The ODNR stocked 90,009 yearling steelhead in the Grand River in 2015 (ODNR 2016a). 41,194 yearling lake trout were stocked at Fairport Harbor in April 2015 and 40,924 fingerlings were released at Fairport Harbor in October 2015 (ODW 2016).

4.2.2 ICHTHYOPLANKTON DATA

The USNRC (1982) describes areas of the central basin near Perry as nursery habitats for some species and states that spawning occurs in the vicinity of Perry. Ichthyoplankton studies were conducted near Perry in 1974 (USNRC 1982). Collections of eggs and larvae were conducted from April to August. Seventeen fish taxa were collected (USNRC 1982). Ichthyoplankton taxa collected near Perry in 1974 are listed in Table 4-5.

Common Nomo	Eggs Collected	Larvae Collected
common Name	(%)	(%)
Freshwater drum	24	1
Yellow perch	9	0.3
Trout perch	9	And the Argune Pare
Rainbow smelt	3	8
Cyprinids	2	76
Alewife & gizzard shad	-	0.7
Unidentified	53	14

Table 4-5: Ichthyoplankton Collected in the Vicinity of Perry (1974)

Source: USNRC (1982)

Additionally, nearshore ichthyoplankton sampling was conducted in the central basin during eight events from May 2 through August 9, 1978 (Cooper et al. 1981). Sampling was conducted in transects at ten locations. Each transect was to the shoreline and composed of three stations of varying depths. Twenty-eight larval fish taxa, representing 14 families were collected. Approximately 82% of larval fish collected was composed of three taxa: emerald shiner (34.3%), clupeid species (30.5%), and spottail shiner (17.6%; Cooper et al. 1981).

Larval clupeid, carp/goldfish, spottail shiners, trout perch, and yellow perch densities were significantly higher in shallow waters (3-7 ft deep). Emerald shiners and rainbow smelt were collected primarily in deeper water (Cooper et al. 1981). Freshwater drum were not as common in the eastern half of the central basin because they spawn in waters less than 39 ft deep, which is limited east of Cleveland where Perry is located. High densities of yellow perch in the eastern third of the central basin can be attributed to fish using sandy habitats near harbor break walls for spawning (Cooper et al. 1981). Average densities of taxa collected are listed in Table 4-6.

Таха	Average Density	Total Catch (%)	Volume Weighted Abundance	Total Abundance (%)
Emerald shiner	32.3	34.28	4.28E+09	54.14
Clupeidae	28.42	30.53	1.51E+09	19.07
Spottail shiner	16.37	17.58	8.32E+08	10.53
Freshwater drum	3.92	4.21	1.07E+08	1.36
Rainbow smelt	3.40	3.66	4.28E+08	5.42
Carp	2.85	3.06	1.26E+08	1.59
Yellow perch	1.25	1.34	1.09E+08	1.38
Trout perch	1.00	1.01	9.75E+07	1.00
Johnny darter	0.80	0.84	1.12E+08	1.40
Logperch	0.74	0.79	5.23E+07	0.66
Mottled sculpin	0.47	0.50	5.89E+07	0.68
Cyprinidae	0.46	0.48	1.04E+07	1.23
Notropis spp.	0.25	0.26	N/A	N/A
Percidae	0.20	0.21	3.40E+07	0.44
Lepomis spp.	0.07	0.06	1.89E+06	0.02
Unidentified larvae	0.07	0.08	N/A	N/A
Striped shiner	0.06	0.06	7.65E+06	0.10
White sucker	0.05	0.04	1.65E+06	0.02
Walleye	0.04	0.04	5.69E+06	0.07
White bass	0.03	0.03	1.92E+06	0.02
Rock bass	0.02	0.03	N/A	N/A
Burbot	0.02	0.03	2.58E+06	0.03

Table 4-6: Ichthyoplankton Taxa Collected in the Central Basin (1978)

Таха	Average Density	Total Catch	Volume Weighted	Total Abundance
Taxa	(Larvae/100m ³)	(%)	Abundance	(%)
Golden shiner	0.02	0.02	2.67E+05	<0.01
Pomoxis spp.	0.01	0.02	1.43E+06	0.18
Sauger	0.01	0.02	1.14E+06	0.01
Quillback carpsucker	0.01	< 0.01	5.55E+05	<0.01
Black crappie	0.01	< 0.01	2.16E+07	0.27
Smallmouth bass	0.01	< 0.01	N/A	N/A
Gizzard shad/alewife	N/A	N/A	1.51E+09	19.07
Greenside darter	N/A	N/A	2.59E+05	<0.01

Source: Cooper et al. (1981)

4.2.3 COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL FISHERIES

Perry is located in the central-eastern basin, designated as District 3 of Lake Erie for fisheries management purposes (Figure 4-8; ODW 2015).





4.2.3.1 Commercial Fisheries

Lake Erie is host to multiple commercial fisheries. In District 3, 267,230 pounds (lbs) were landed in 2014, representing approximately 6% of the total commercial landings from Lake Erie (ODW 2015). District 3 commercial landings data from 2014 are listed in Table 4-7.

Common Name	Total Landed in District 3	Total Landed in Lake Erie	District 3 Species Composition of Lake Erie Landings	
	(lbs)	(lbs)	(%)	
Burbot	73	110	66.4	
Yellow perch	265,963	1,546,147	17.2	
Lake whitefish	1,148	34,731	3.3	
White bass	20	942,460	<0.01	
White perch	26	652,359	<0.01	

Table 4-7: Commercial Fisheries Landings in District 3 (2014)

Source: ODW (2015)
4.2.3.2 Recreational Fisheries

The ODNR categorizes recreational fisheries into two sectors; private boat and charter boat operations (ODW 2015). District 3 recreational landings data for 2014 are listed in Table 4-8.

	Private	Charter	Total Landed	Total Landed	District 3 Species
Common Name	Boat	Boat	in District 3	in Lake Erie	Composition of Lake
	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs)	Erie Landings (%)
Steelhead	13,035	1,520	14,555	16,751	86.9
Yellow perch	680,422	33,552	713,974	1,368,377	52.2
White bass	46,485	1,865	48,350	115,851	41.7
White perch	4,697	129	4,826	17,580	27.5
Walleye	1,015,143	145,768	1,160,911	5,063,065	22.9
Smallmouth bass	2,555	0	2,555	23,378	10.9
Freshwater drum	361	0	361	3,607	10.0
Channel catfish	579	0	579	36,076	1.6

Table 4-8: Recreational Fisheries Landings in District 3 (2014)

Source: ODW (2015)

4.2.4 Non-Indigenous Species

The Rule states that the removal of invasive species via impingement or entrainment may be beneficial to the local ecosystem (79 FR 48432, August 15, 2014). The ecology of Lake Erie has been substantially impacted by the introduction of numerous aquatic organisms. Many species, especially those of the Family Salmonidae, were purposely introduced to create a recreational fishery. Species such as round goby were unintentionally introduced, and have greatly affected the populations of native species via predation on native fish eggs and young, and competition for resources. Table 4-9 lists non-indigenous fish species that have been collected in Lake Erie (USGS 2012).

Table 4-9: Non-Indigenous Fish Occuring in Lake Erie

Common Name	Common Name Scientific Name		Status
Alewife*	Alosa pseudoharengus	1931	Established
American eel	Anguilla rostrata	1844	Established, Failed, Unknown
Atlantic salmon	Salmo salar	1873	Failed
Bighead carp	Hypophthalmichthys nobilis	1995	Unknown
Bigmouth buffalo	Ictiobus cyprinellus	1957	Established
Brown trout	Salmo trutta	1939	Stocked, Established
Chain pickerel	Esox niger	1985	Established
Chinook salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	1873	Stocked, Failed, Established
Coho salmon*	Oncorhynchus kisutch	1933	Established, Failed, Stocked
Common carp*	Cyprinus carpio	1942	Established
Freshwater tubenose goby	Proterorhinus semilunaris	1997	Established
Ghost shiner	Notropis buchanani	1997	Collected
Goldfish	Carassius auratus	1925	Unknown, Established
Orangespotted sunfish	Lepomis humilis	1948	Established
Pink salmon	Oncorhynchus gorbuscha	1979	Established
Rainbow smelt*	Osmerus mordax	1935	Collected, Established
Rainbow trout*	Oncorhynchus mykiss	1981	Established
Redear sunfish	Lepomis microlophus	1986	Established
Round goby	Neogobius melanostomus	1993	Established
Rudd	Scardinius erythrophthalmus	1994	Established, Failed, Unknown

Common Name	Scientific Name	Year First Collected	Status
Sea lamprey	Petromyzon marinus	1921	Established
Sockeye salmon	Oncorhynchus nerka	1969	Stocked
Suckermouth minnow	Phenacobius mirabilis	1950	Established
Threespine stickleback	Gasterosteus aculeatus	1990	Collected, Established
Western mosquitofish	Gambusia affinis	1986	Established
White perch*	Morone americana	1953	Established

Source: USGS (2012)

* Species that have been impinged or entrained at nearby facilities.

4.3 SPECIES AND LIFE STAGES SUSCEPTIBLE TO IMPINGEMENT & ENTRAINMENT

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(iii): "Identification of the species and life stages that would be most susceptible to impingement and entrainment. Species evaluated should include the forage base as well as those most important in terms of significance to commercial and recreational fisheries."

Susceptibility to impingement or entrainment is dependent on a number of biotic and abiotic factors, as shown in Table 4-10.

Category	Factor Type	Factors	Source
Impingoment	Abiotic Factors	Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, CWIS design, and intake velocities	Baker (2007)
Biotic Factors	Swimming ability, body shape, size, diel and seasonal movements, and health of the organism	Baker (2007)	
	Abiotic Factors	Intake location, water volume used for cooling, velocity at intake, and screen mesh size	Graham et al. (2008)
Entrainment Biotic Factors		Organism size, swimming ability, swimming behavior (pelagic or benthic) diurnal behavior, and spawning habitat	Graham et al. (2008)

Table 4-10: Factors Effecting Susceptibility to Impingement or Entrainment

4.3.1 IMPINGEMENT

A comparative review of impingement at five fossil-fueled power plants on Lake Erie located within a 50mile radius of Perry was conducted by the USNRC from 1977 to 1978 (USNRC 1982). Impingement loss estimates at four of the facilities were 10 to 53 times greater than the fifth facility, the Ashtabula C Power Plant (Ashtabula C), which uses a submerged offshore intake (USNRC 1982). Results from Ashtabula C are likely the most representative of potential impingement at Perry, as both facilities use a submerged offshore intake. Eight species (freshwater drum, yellow perch, emerald shiner, spottail shiner, rainbow smelt, white sucker, common carp, and gizzard shad) composed 95% of the impingement at Ashtabula C (Appl. Biol. 1979e). Five percent (11,000) of the fish impingement were commercial and recreational species. No trout or salmon species were impinged (Appl. Biol. 1979e).

Impingement rates at Perry would likely be similar to impingement rates at Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station (Davis-Besse) (USNRC 1982). Davis-Besse uses a closed-cycle recirculating system with naturaldraft cooling towers, low water volume requirements, low intake flow velocities, and a submerged offshore intake structure, which is similar to Perry. Based on the 1978-79 study at Davis-Besse, species affected by impingement at Perry would be rough and forage species of fish common to the central basin. Habitat near Perry is not considered a unique spawning or nursery area for fishes (USNRC 1982). Estimated annual impingement losses for Lake Erie facilities in a 50-mile radius of Perry from the late 1970s are listed in Table 4-11.

Power Plant	Intake Flow (cfs)	Intake Flow (MGD)	Number of Fish
Avon Lake	1,290	834	5,070,000
Lake Shore	629	407	3,640,000
Eastlake	1,169	756	11,700,000
Ashtabula A&B	443	286	2,270,000
Ashtabula C	346	224	222,000
Davis-Besse	62	10	6,610 (1978)
	62	40	4,390 (1979)

Table 4-11: Estimated Annual Impingement at Lake Erie Power Plants

cfs = cubic feet per second

MGD = Million gallons per day

Table source: USNRC (1982)

Data sources: Appl. Biol. (1979a, b, c, d, e); NUREG-0720 (USNRC 1980); Reutter and Herdendorff (1980)

Species-specific impingement data are available from Eastlake Power Station, located approximately 18 miles southeast of Perry. The magnitude and relative abundance of impinged species are likely dissimilar to Perry due to the differences in CWIS and operations at each station (i.e., the offshore intake at Perry compared to the shoreline intake at Eastlake) but species susceptible to impingement at Eastlake may be susceptible to impingement at Perry, due to close proximity of the power stations on the water body. Impingement studies were conducted from March 3 to October 28, 1983 (ERA 1987). Sampling collected 13,343 fish representing 27 species and 13 families although final results excluded gizzard shad. Gizzard shad were excluded from this study because their high impingement rates in winter and spring were attributed to natural seasonal mortality. These high seasonal mortality events result in large impingement events of moribund gizzard shad. Gizzard shad were estimated to account for approximately 77% of total impingement (ERA 1987). After the removal of gizzard shad, 97.6% of the remaining impinged species were rainbow smelt, freshwater drum, emerald shiner, spottail shiner, white bass, and white perch. Impingement peaked in the spring and continued to decrease through autumn. Species impinged at Eastlake are listed in Table 4-12.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Individuals Impinged	Impingement Composition (%)	Estimated Impingement Morality (%)
Rainbow smelt	Osmerus mordax	6,664	49.95	7.78
Freshwater drum	Aplodinotus grunniens	1,792	13.43	20.54
Emerald shiner	Notropis atherinoides	1,767	13.24	52.86
Spottail shiner	Notropis hudsonius	1,465	10.98	6.21
White bass	Morone chrysops	777	5.82	33.20
White perch	Morone americana	557	4.17	68.40
Alewife	Alosa pseudoharengus	116	0.87	5.17
Trout-perch	Percopsis omiscomaycus	79	0.59	10.13
Yellow perch	Perca flavescens	48	0.36	31.25
Logperch	Percina caprodes	30	0.22	33.33
White crappie	Pomoxis annularis	7	0.05	-
Coho salmon	Oncorhynchus kisutch	6	0.04	-
White sucker	Catostomus commersonii	5	0.04	-
Mottled sculpin	Cottus bairdii	4	0.03	-

 Table 4-12: Impingement at Eastlake Power Station (1983)

Common Name	Scientific Name	Individuals Impinged	Impingement Composition (%)	Estimated Impingement Morality (%)
Rock bass	Ambloplites rupestris	4	0.03	-
Bluegill sunfish	Lepomis macrochirus	3	0.02	
Rainbow trout	Oncorhynchus mykiss	3	0.02	-
Channel catfish	Ictalurus punctatus	3	0.02	
Stonecat	Noturus flavus	3	0.02	-
Walleye	Sander vitreus	2	0.01	Station and Station
Carp	Cyprinus carpio	2	0.01	-
Smallmouth bass	Micropterus dolomieu	1	0.01	-
Yellow bullhead	Ameiurus natalis	1	0.01	-
Brook stickleback	Culaea inconstans	1	0.01	4 - p /
Largemouth bass	Micropterus salmoides	1	0.01	-
Longnose dace	Rhinichthys cataractae	1	0.01	-

Source: ERA (1987)

4.3.2 ENTRAINMENT

The comparative review of power plants near Perry made by USNRC (1982) included a determination of potential entrainment impacts from studies conducted between April 1977 and April 1978. Like impingement, entrainment at Perry was expected to be similar to the Ashtabula C Power Plant. Additionally, USNRC (1982) concluded that entrainment rates at Perry would be minimal, similar to those at Davis-Besse (USNRC 1982). In lieu of site-specific entrainment rates, annual entrainment estimates at the plants included in the comparative review are provided in Table 4-13.

Power Plant	Intake Flow (cfs)	Number of Eggs	Number of Larvae
Avon Lake	1,290	37,000,000	316,000,000
Lake Shore	629	36,000,000	7,000,000
Eastlake	1,169	84,700,000	98,300,000
Ashtabula A&B	443	14,200,000	37,500,000
Ashtabula C	346	1,400,000	5,900,000
Davis Passa	62	44,300 (1978)	6,310,000 (1978)
Davis-Besse	62	101,000 (1979)	20,600,000 (1979)

Table 4-13: Estimated Annual Entrainment at Lake Erie Power Plants

Table source: USNRC (1982)

Data sources: Appl. Biol. (1979a, b, c, d, e); NUREG-0720 (USNRC 1980); Reutter and Herdendorff (1980)

Perry is located in between the Ashtabula C and Eastlake Power Stations. Ashtabula C is approximately 20 miles northeast of Perry, while Eastlake is 18 miles southwest. The entrained taxa at Perry are likely to be similar to those entrained at Ashtabula C because both stations have offshore intakes within the central basin of Lake Erie (USNRC 1982). Entrainment at Eastlake will vary in magnitude and composition of entrainment, but susceptible taxa will likely be similar. Data from the Ashtabula C and Eastlake entrainment studies in 1978 were summarized by Cooper et al. (1981). Six-hour stratified samples were taken in the intake channel behind the traveling screens (in-plant collections) and in the immediate area of the intake (field collections). Estimated entrainment based on in-plant and field estimates at Ashtabula C were 3,810,000 and 51,200,000 larvae, respectively. Estimated entrainment

based on in-plant and field estimates at Eastlake were 79,300,000 and 54,000,000 larvae, respectively. Estimates for Ashtabula C would represent 0.9% (in-plant) and 20.3% (field collections) of estimated entrainment in the central basin of Lake Erie. Eastlake would represent 18.5% (in-plant) and 21.4% (field collections) of estimated entrainment in the central basin of Lake Erie (Cooper et al. 1981).

Field collections at Ashtabula C collected 14 taxa, four of which composed approximately 93% of field collections: emerald shiner (60%), Johnny darter (15%), clupeids (11%), and rainbow smelt (6%). Field collections at Eastlake collected 10 taxa, dominated by four taxa: rainbow smelt (41%), emerald shiner (25%), clupeids (17%), and spottail shiner (11%) (Cooper et al. 1981).

In-plant collections at Ashtabula C collected 10 taxa. Four taxa (shiner species, rainbow smelt, yellow perch, and clupeids) composed over 65% of the in-plant entrainment samples. In-plant collections at Eastlake collected 11 taxa, dominated by unidentified larvae (28%), shiner species (28%), common carp (19%), cyprinids (11%), and rainbow smelt (5.8%) (Cooper et al. 1981).

Four taxa (burbot, Johnny darter, *Lepomis* spp., and mottled sculpin_ were collected at Ashtabula C, but not at Eastlake. A single species, white sucker, was collected at Eastlake, but not at Ashtabula C (Cooper et al. 1981).

Entrained species through offshore intakes in the central basin can be compared to species entrained in shoreline intakes by comparing entrainment results from Ashtabula C (offshore intake) to Ashtabula A&B (shoreline intake) (Table 4-14). In-plant entrainment collections at the shoreline intakes were dominated by common carp (29%), shiner species (26%), cyprinid species (23%), unidentifiable larvae (10%), and clupeids (4.6%). Collections at the offshore intake of Ashtabula C (in-plant collections) were dominated by shiner species (31%) but did not collect any common carp, the dominant shoreline taxa. The offshore intake collections also did not collect mottled sculpin, white bass, white sucker, or Percidae larvae. Only one taxon was collected offshore, but not at the shoreline intake: *Lepomis* spp. (Cooper et al. 1981).

Field collections from Ashtabula A&B and Ashtabula C were both dominated by emerald shiner. Again, only *Lepomis* spp. was collected offshore, but not at the shoreline intake. However, in-plant estimates of entrainment are more likely to be representative of actual entrainment than field collections (Cooper et al. 1981).

Relative abundance of entrained taxa from in-plant and field-collected data from Ashtabula C, Ashtabula A&B, and Eastlake are summarized in Table 4-14.

	Ashtabula C (offshore intake)		Ashtab (shorelir	Ashtabula A&B (shoreline intake)		Eastlake Entrainment (shoreline intake)	
Таха	In-Plant Entrainment Estimates (%)	Field Collection Entrainment Estimates (%)	In-Plant Entrainment Estimates (%)	Field Collection Entrainment Estimates (%)	In-Plant Entrainment Estimates (%)	Field Collection Entrainment Estimates (%)	
Burbot	- 11 - <u>-</u> 11	0.14	-	0.10		-	
Carp	1.114-0.126	0.26	28.82	1.79	18.78	0.77	
Clupeids	5.38	10.93	4.58	13.27	2.07	17.07	
Cyprinidae	1.32	5.3 - 1 - 2.	22.50	1.	11.33	-	
Emerald shiner	-	60.33	-	41.77		24.64	
Freshwater drum	-	0.41	-	1.16	-	0.23	
Johnny darter	-	15.46		7.99	-	-	
Lepomis sp.	1.78	0.06	-	-	-	-	

Table 4-14: Entrainment at Central Basin Power Stations near Perry (1978)

	Ashtabula C (offshore intake)		Ashtab (shorelin	Ashtabula A&B (shoreline intake)		Eastlake Entrainment (shoreline intake)	
Таха	In-Plant Entrainment Estimates (%)	Field Collection Entrainment Estimates (%)	In-Plant Entrainment Estimates (%)	Field Collection Entrainment Estimates (%)	In-Plant Entrainment Estimates (%)	Field Collection Entrainment Estimates (%)	
Logperch	3.30	0.29	2.13	3.07	2.96	-	
Mottled sculpin		2.46	0.16	10.10			
Percidae	-	0.83	0.90	4.18	0.45	0.12	
Rainbow smelt	21.51	5.97	0.15	5.84	5.81	40.58	
Shiners	31.47	-	25.66	-	28.23	-	
Spottail shiner	1-11-1	0.65	1. N. S. + 14	5.40		10.78	
Trout perch	2.73	0.66	1.41	3.11	0.45	4.08	
Walleye	1.67		0.73	-	-	0.14	
White bass	-	_	0.39	-	-	-	
White sucker	1000		0.15		1.07		
Yellow perch	6.79	1.55	2.61	2.23	0.82	1.59	
Unidentifiable	24.05		9.81		28.35		

Source: Cooper et al. (1981)

4.4 PERIODS OF REPRODUCTION, RECRUITMENT, AND PEAK ABUNDANCE

Regulatory requirement at $\frac{122.21(r)(4)(iv)}{122.21(r)(4)(iv)}$: "Identification and evaluation of the primary period of reproduction, larval recruitment, and period of peak abundance for relevant taxa."

The species described below were selected due to their high abundance; they were one of the top five most abundant species in local ichthyoplankton, impingement, or central basin community sampling (Cooper et al. 1981; ERA 1987; FTG 2015; Goforth et al. 2002; ODW 2015). While abundant in older entrainment and impingement surveys, alewife has been replaced by gizzard shad, as recent surveys indicate a much greater abundance and occurrence of gizzard shad than alewife in the central basin.

Common Carp – Common carp typically spawn in water temperatures that range from 64°F to 73°F. In northern climates, spawning occurs between May and June (Edwards and Twomey 1982). In warmer waters, spawning may occur over a prolonged period of time, but spawning activity decreases or ceases completely if water temperatures are less than 64°F or greater than 79°F. Spawning in Lake Erie peaks in late-May to early-June, but may extend into August (USFWS 1982). Intake water temperatures at Perry indicate spawning likely begins in late-May (Figure 2-2). Common carp spawn over areas of submerged vegetation at depths of less than 1.5 ft (Edwards and Twomey 1982). In the central basin, they typically spawn within vegetated, shallow protected areas such as harbors and river mouths (USFWS 1982).

Females lay between 500 and 600 eggs at a time (Becker 1983). Fecundity is variable, depending on the size of the female, with small females producing an average of 56,400 eggs, while an older, larger female may lay upwards of 1 million eggs. Eggs are demersal and adhesive, and stick to debris and plants, or sink to the bottom (Becker 1983). Eggs hatch after approximately 3-16 days, dependent on temperature. At 68°F, eggs will hatch in 3-5 days. After hatching, larvae attach to or lie near vegetation for an average of two days. The yolk-sac is fully absorbed after 4-5 days. After approximately 18 days, larvae will move into deeper, but still vegetated waters, remaining there for the remainder of the summer. Young common carp will leave the safety of the vegetated areas at a length of 3 to 4 inches (Becker 1983). Larvae have

been collected near Perry in early June and July (NUS 1975). Prolarvae were most abundant in July 1974 at a water temperature of 68°F (NUS 1975).

Emerald shiner – Emerald shiner spawning in Lake Erie occurs from mid to late-June to mid-August (USFWS 1982). In the central basin, emerald shiners spawn in June and July within the quiet waters of rivers and harbors, but also 0.5-1.5 miles offshore (USFWS 1982). Spawning behavior is triggered once water temperatures reach 72°F, which occurs near Perry during late-June (Figure 2-2; Becker 1983). Large schools spawn offshore at night in 7 to 20 ft of water, 1 to 2 ft from the surface (Becker 1983).

Individuals may spawn multiple times during the spawning season. The demersal, non-adhesive eggs are typically laid over gravel and hatch between 24 to 36 hours. Larvae are approximately 1/8-inch long after hatching and reach 1/3-inch in 11 days. After hatching, prolarvae remain near the bottom for 72 to 96 hours, after which the larvae become free-swimming and begin to occupy the upper 6.5 ft of the water column in large schools (Becker 1983). Larvae are most abundant in open, clear offshore waters (USFWS 1982). Prolarvae have been collected as far offshore as five miles, and post-larvae have been collected as far as 10 miles offshore. Larvae were abundant near Perry in late June and early July of 1974 (NUS 1975). Young of year emerald shiners move offshore and disperse throughout the lake once they reach lengths of 2 inches during the summer, and return in large schools in the fall (USFWS 1982). Young of year emerald shiners were abundant along the shoreline near Perry in late-August 1974 (NUS 1975).

Freshwater drum – Freshwater drum spawning typically occurs between May and late-June at water temperatures between 66°F and 72°F (Wallus and Simon 2006). Intake water temperatures at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin in June (Figure 2-2). Movement into spawning areas may start as early as April when water temperatures reach 43°F (USFWS 1982). In the central basin, freshwater drums prefer to spawn in the slack water of lower rivers and harbors, and are broadcast spawners (Becker 1983; USFWS 1982).

Fecundity in Lake Erie ranges from 43,000 to 508,000 eggs. Eggs are approximately 1/32-inch in diameter, pelagic, float near the water's surface, and hatch between 24 to 48 hours (Becker 1983). The vertical distribution of eggs in the water column is uniform during the day, but is denser towards the bottom at night (Wallus and Simon 2006). Egg abundance peaks in the early summer (Wallus and Simon 2006).

Larvae are approximately 1/10-inch long after hatching and remain at the water's surface until they develop horizontal swimming abilities (Becker 1983). Horizontal swimming behavior begins six days after hatching; at approximately 1/3-inch in length (Becker 1983; Wallus and Simon 2006). Yolk-sac larvae are abundant near the surface during the day and post yolk-sac larvae are more abundant near the surface at night. Once fish reach 1-inch in length, behavior is strictly benthic (Becker 1983).

Freshwater drum eggs were collected from June through August 1974 near Perry, and were most abundant on July 12 (NUS 1975). Eggs occurred almost exclusively in the upper portion of the water column, and were most abundant at offshore and middle stations. Eggs were present as early as June 12, but larvae were not collected until July 12. Prolarvae were collected mostly near the bottom (NUS 1975).

Gizzard shad – Gizzard shad spawning occurs from late April or early May to early August (Becker 1983). Gonads begin to ripen when water temperatures reach 44.6°F to 50°F (Williamson and Nelson 1985). Spawning activity begins when water temperatures reach 59.9°F to 61.7°F, and peaks from 66.2°F to 69.8°F. The maximum spawning temperature recorded for gizzard shad is 80.6°F (Williamson and Nelson 1985). Intake water temperature data at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin mid-May (Figure 2-2). Observations of spawning within Lake Erie described spawning habitat as sandy, rocky bars in 2-4 ft of water (Becker 1983). In the central basin, they mainly spawn within harbors and rivers near breakwalls and dock pilings over *Cladophora* beds (USFWS 1982). Gizzard shad may also migrate into Lake Erie tributaries to spawn (Becker 1983). Spawning is random, occurs near the surface at night, and involves large schools (Becker 1983; Etnier and Starnes 2001).

Average fecundity is 300,000 eggs per female per year (Etnier and Starnes 2001). Eggs are demersal and adhesive (Becker 1983). Eggs are approximately 1/32-inch in diameter and will hatch into 1/8-inch long larvae in 36-95 hours in water temperatures from 61°F to 80°F, respectively (Becker 1983). After one day, larvae reach approximately 1/6-inch (Williamson and Nelson 1985). The yolk-sac is absorbed in 2-3 days (Williamson and Nelson 1985). Three to four days after hatching, swimming behavior includes upward swimming and downward settling. Larvae are either negatively geotaxic, positively phototaxic, or both. They tend to occur closer to the surface until they reach approximately 4 weeks old, and then move into deeper waters. Larvae will occur closer to the surface in turbid waters (Williamson and Nelson 1985). After three to four days, fish display horizontal swimming behaviors, but are generally poor swimmers for several weeks after hatching, until they reach a length of approximately 1 inch (Becker 1983; Williamson and Nelson 1985). Prolarvae and early post-larvae were collected near Perry in 1974 (NUS 1975). Juveniles 1.1 inches to 2.6 inches long were numerous nearshore during July and August (NUS 1975).

Logperch – Logperch spawning occurs between April and July in water 4 inches to 6.5 ft deep (Becker 1983). They are broadcast spawners that lay their eggs in sand in lake shallows, or in gravel/sand in swift currents (Page 1983). When spawning in lakes, males congregate over spawning areas, which is required to maintain a spawning state (Becker 1983). Unlike logperch found in streams, males in lakes are not territorial. Females will approach the school of males at the spawning site during the early morning through the early evening to lay eggs over multiple pits. Females return to deeper waters at night and bury eggs in sand or gravel substrates in order to protect eggs against predation (Becker 1983). Multiple males may fertilize the eggs (Page and Burr 1991).

The average fecundity during spawning season is 2,000 eggs. Females lay 10-20 eggs at a time over multiple pits (Becker 1983). Eggs hatch in about 8 days at 61.7°F and between 5-7 days at 69.8°F to 73.4°F. Logperch appearance after hatching is very similar to adults; there is no intermediate stage. In Lake Opinicorn, Ontario, free-swimming logperch measure approximately 1/4-inch in length, and peak in abundance between late May and early June at 1/2-inch long (Becker 1983). Young logperch prefer dense beds of vegetation (Becker 1983).

Logperch larvae were the most abundant percid larvae within the vicinity of Perry from early-May to mid-July in 1974 (NUS 1975). Abundance peaked in late June at a water temperature of 59°F. Prolarvae were collected in July, which indicated an extended spawning season in the area (NUS 1975).

Rainbow smelt – Rainbow smelt spawning typically occurs between late March and early May, and is triggered when water temperatures reach 40°F (Becker 1983). Intake water temperature data at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin in April (Figure 2-2). Spawning near Perry was recorded to begin late-April or early-May at water temperatures between 48°F - 50°F (NUS 1975). Eggs have been collected near Perry in May and young of year were present between May and late-August (NUS 1975). They spawn in almost every creek and harbor along the Ohio shoreline, as well as along the shore of Lake Erie over gravel and sandbars up to 10-12 ft deep (USFWS 1982). Rainbow smelt migrate into rivers or into the shallow waters of the lake (averaging <2 ft deep) over a two week period (Becker 1983). Spawning occurs at night. The average fecundity is 31,338 eggs. The demersal and adhesive eggs are less than 1/16-inch in diameter after fertilization. Between 20-30 days, the eggs hatch into larvae approximately 1/4-inch long. The mortality rate of the delicate and transparent larvae after hatching is extremely high (Becker 1983). Young of year rainbow smelt, 1 to 1.5-inches long, occur along gravelly beaches and outside of harbors in the fall (USFWS 1982).

Round goby – Round goby spawn from April through September in their native range, located in the Ponto-Caspian region of Eurasia (Kornis 2011). In the Detroit River, gravid females and breeding colored males have been collected as late as November. Spawning is triggered by water temperatures reaching 48-79°F. Round goby spawn multiple times during the season, approximately once every 3-4 weeks. The season can be extended as a result of warm water temperatures. Males build nests under overhanging hard

substrates and guard the nest and eggs. The males do not feed during spawning, resulting in mortality of most spawning males (Kornis 2011).

The average fecundity from Detroit River females is 198 eggs, but a single nest may contain up to 10,000 eggs from 4-6 females (Kornis 2011). Hatching rates are as high as 95%. Eggs are demersal, adhesive, and large, approximately 1/8-inch in diameter. Larvae are nocturnally pelagic, feeding at or near the surface at night (Kornis 2011).

Spottail shiner – Spottail shiner spawning may begin as early as late May and extend into early September (Becker 1983). Favorable spawning temperatures are 70°F -75°F (Becker 1983). Intake water temperature data at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin by late-June (Figure 2-2). Spawning in Lake Erie was recorded to begin in mid-June and was completed by mid-July 1958 (Wells and House 1974). Spawning may occur earlier or later, depending on the rate that Lake Erie warms (Wells and House 1974). In 1974, spawning occurred during a short period in June (NUS 1975). In the central basin, spottail shiners spawn over gravel on the beaches and within quiet river mouths (USFWS 1982).

Spottail shiners are fractional spawners (Becker 1983). They commonly spawn at five day intervals (Wells and House 1974). Spawning occurs in the morning, but may continue into the afternoon. Eggs are deposited in between the crevices of rocks, logs, and other debris. They also spawned at a depth of 15 ft over a power plant intake crib in 30 ft of water in Lake Michigan (Wells and House 1974). Males do not appear to protect eggs or larvae, but defend spawning territory (Becker 1983). Females have been recorded depositing 169 to 945 eggs per spawning interval, for an average total of approximately 7,500 eggs per season. Eggs were recorded to hatch after 5 days at 71.6°F. Eggs are demersal and adhesive, measuring 1/16-inch in diameter. Eggs that fall out of the crevices are often ingested by males (Becker 1983). Larvae hatched in early and mid-June of 1960 when water temperatures were 68°F (USFWS 1982). Larvae in the central basin are most abundant over gravel beaches (USFWS 1982). Larvae have been collected in the vicinity of Perry in June 1974, but in relatively low numbers (NUS 1975).

Trout perch – Trout perch spawning in Lake Erie occurs from May through August, peaking in mid to late-June (USFWS 1982). Spawning occurs in water temperatures between 60°F-68°F (Becker 1983). Intake water temperature data at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin in late May (Figure 2-2). Trout perch spawn primarily over sand bars, occasionally gravel, and are reported to spawn over pebbled beaches near river mouths in Lake Erie (Becker 1983; USFWS 1982). They are random spawners and do not build nests nor protect their young (Becker 1983). The 1/16-inch diameter eggs hatch in approximately 6.5 days. Yolk-sac larvae develop on or within spawning substrates between 10 and 200 ft in Lake Erie (Wallus and Simon 2006). The major hatching period in Lake Erie is the first half of June at approximately 68°F (Comm. Fish. Rev 1961). Larvae are less than 1/4-inch long at hatching and yolk-sac absorption is complete after 4-5 days (Becker 1983). Year class abundance is often affected by windassociated mortality due to wave action on the eggs or newly hatched larvae. Post-yolk sac larvae and juveniles remain demersal and most commonly occur between 10-20 ft deep. Young of year typically occur in waters no deeper than 30 ft. In Lake Erie, juveniles range from 2/3-inch to 1.4-inches long by mid-August and first year growth is terminated at an average total length of 3.3-inches by the end of October. Trout-perch will remain nearshore, close to their spawning ground on beaches, in river mouths, harbors, and bays until the late summer when they move offshore to deeper waters (Wallus and Simon 2006).

Eggs, prolarvae, and postlarvae have been collected near Perry, indicating that spawning occurs in the vicinity (NUS 1975). Approximately 40% of eggs collected in mid-May were trout-perch eggs. Prolarvae were present in May and June (NUS 1975).

<u>White bass</u> – White bass spawning occurs between late-April and June when water temperature reach $54.5^{\circ}F$ -79°F (Becker 1983). Spawning activity peaks between water temperatures of $62.4^{\circ}F$ -72.7°F. Intake water temperature data at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin in late-May (Figure 2-2). White bass prefer to spawn in the running waters of tributary streams, but will spawn within the

windswept shores of lakes in 2-7 ft of water (Becker, 1983). White bass are known to enter all Lake Erie tributaries within the central basin to spawn, but some spawning also occurs in the open lake (USFWS 1982). They spawn at the surface, preferably over sand, gravel, rubble or rock substrate, but may also spawn over aquatic plants or debris (Becker 1983). Spawning occurs during the day and night. Older fish spawn earlier in the spawning season, while the numbers of young fish spawning increase later in the season. White bass do not build nests or provide parental care to young (Becker 1983).

The average fecundity of white bass is 565,000 eggs (Becker 1983). The adhesive, demersal eggs are approximately 1/32-inch to diameter, and hatch between 41-45 hours. Larvae are nearly 1-inch long at hatching. Swimming behavior after hatching involves active swimming to the surface, followed by passive sinking to the bottom. Larvae swim to the surface once they touch bottom. Horizontal swimming behavior begins approximately 4 days after hatching. The yolk-sac is fully absorbed after 8 days. Larvae between 1/6-inch to 1/3-inch long are planktonic and occur in nearshore waters 3-6 ft below the surface. Larvae longer than 1/3-inch occur in 6-13 ft of water and continue to move into deeper waters as they grow (Becker 1983). Larvae occurring in Lake Erie often range between 1/2 to 1-inch long within harbors and over beaches (USFWS 1982). Larvae smaller than 1/2-inch only occur in the tributaries that white bass have spawned within (USFWS 1982). It is likely that larvae smaller than 1/2-inch occurring in Lake Erie are the product of spawning within Lake Erie. A few larvae were collected near Perry on July 12, 1974 at a water temperature of 68°F (NUS 1975).

<u>White perch</u> – In landlocked waters, white perch spawn in both rivers and reservoirs (Zuerlein 1981). They migrate from deep to shallow waters when water temperatures reach 59°F-68°F. They show no preference for habitat types during spawning (Zuerlein 1981). In lakes, spawning occurs in waters between 0 and 5 ft deep, and is triggered by warming temperatures, typically occurring between April and May in freshwaters (Stanley and Danie 1983). Intake water temperature data at Perry indicate spawning is likely to begin by late-May (Figure 2-2).

Females broadcast adhesive, demersal eggs (Mansueti 1961). Spawning occurs over 10-21 days, with activity peaking at dusk (Stanley and Danie 1983). Eggs adhere to each other in a cluster and attach to debris or detritus, and currents may result in semi-pelagic incubation. Fecundity compared to other moronids is high in white perch. Fecundity of white perch collected in Lake Ontario ranged from 21,180 to 234,342 eggs. Eggs are approximately 1/32-inch and hatch as soon as 30 hours in 68°F water or as late as 108 hours in 59°F waters (Stanley and Danie 1983).

Newly hatched prolarvae are between 1/16-inch and 1/8-inch long (Stanley and Danie 1983). Larvae remain near the spawning site for 4-13 days. Swimming ability is limited to vertical movements, and may be subject to larval drift via currents. They occur throughout the water column, but behavior becomes increasingly demersal as they grow. Juveniles occur in areas 8 to 12 ft deep with muddy, silty, or vegetated substrates. Juveniles show schooling behavior, and may venture offshore during the day, and return inshore at night (Stanley and Danie 1983).

<u>Yellow perch</u> – Yellow perch spawning in Lake Erie occurs along shallow shorelines and tributaries when water temperatures reach $44^{\circ}F-58^{\circ}F$ (USFWS 1982). Water temperatures at the Perry intake reach this range by late April (Figure 2-2). Spawning behavior may be triggered by photoperiod, rising water temperatures, and/or completion of maturation (Krieger et al. 1983). Eggs will not ripen unless adults are exposed to an extended period of winter water temperatures of 50°F to ensure maturation of the gonads (Krieger et al. 1983).

Yellow perch are random spawners that do not protect their eggs or larvae (Becker 1983). They are known to spawn over various substrates. Spawning occurs at night in waters between 2-10 ft deep. Eggs are released in a gelatinous, semi-buoyant string typically within sheltered areas containing aquatic vegetation or debris. The strands float freely until they are tangled in debris or vegetation (Becker 1983). Egg strands can reach up to 7 ft long with up to 210,000 eggs, but are typically much shorter and average approximately 28,000 eggs per strand (Mecozzi 2008). Hatching occurs in 8-10 days, but can take up to a

month, depending on water temperatures and other conditions (Mecozzi 2008). Incubation within Lake Erie averages two weeks (USFWS 1982). Strong year classes are attributed to warm temperatures, as increased aquatic vegetation leads to greater recruitment success (Krieger et al. 1983). Hatching in Lake Erie occurs as early as the first week of May into July (USFWS 1982). Larvae occur in the lake from June to August within shallow, inshore areas (USFWS 1982).

Prolarvae are approximately 1/4-inch long at hatching and immediately swim to the surface and remain planktonic for 3-4 weeks within the upper 3-4 ft of the water column (Becker 1983). Yolk-sac absorption is completed approximately 3-5 days after hatching (Mecozzi 2008). Once larvae reach approximately 1-inch in length, they will gradually move into littoral habitats similar to those of adults, but shallower (Becker 1983; Krieger et al. 1983). Juveniles have a higher temperature tolerance during the summer which ranges from 68°F-73.4°F (Krieger et al. 1983). A large number of ripe adults were collected in 1974 in the vicinity of Perry between May 17 and May 22 at water temperatures of 48°F-63°F (NUS 1975). Eggs were collected on May 11, 1974, and small numbers of larvae were collected from May to July (NUS 1974).

4.5 SEASONAL AND DAILY ACTIVITIES

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(v): "Data representative of the seasonal and daily activities (e.g., feeding and water column migration) of biological organisms in the vicinity of the cooling water intake structure."

Site-specific studies of the seasonal and daily activities of biological organisms in the vicinity of the Perry intake have not been performed. Limited historical information is available from ichthyoplankton surveys in Lake Erie near Perry and impingement studies from nearby facilities. To supplement these data, a literature search was made for information regarding the commonly found species in the central basin of Lake Erie.

4.5.1 SEASONAL ICHTHYOPLANKTON DATA

Data collected by Cooper et al. (1981) included seasonality of ichthyoplankton collected in the central basin of Lake Erie. Collections occurred between May 2 and August 9, 1978. All species were still collected at the final sampling event on August 9, 1978 (Cooper et al. 1981). Data regarding seasonal peaks and first occurrence of larval species collected can be found in Table 4-15.

Таха	Date of First Collection	Peak Abundance	Peak Density (#/100m ³)	Notes
Carp/goldfish	24-May	6-July	11.30	- 89% collected from 0-1 meters
Clupeidae	25-May	19-June	Not reported	- 60% collected west of Cleveland
Emerald shiner	16-June	21-July	195.00	 Most abundant larval species in the central basin
Freshwater drum	25-May	18-July	23.80	- 86.7% collected west of Cleveland
Logperch	19-May	25-May	2.01	- Uniform distribution throughout the basin and depths tested
Rainbow smelt	20-May	5-July	14.60	 72% collected offshore, but no significant differences between inshore and offshore abundances
Spottail shiner	16-June	27-June	54.00	- 94% collected from 0-3 ft
Trout perch	23-May	11-June	11.75	- 83.3% collected east of Cleveland
Yellow perch	11-May	19-June	6.20	 87% collected were prolarvae

 Table 4-15: Ichthyoplankton Seasonality in the Central Basin (1978)

Source: Cooper et al. (1981)

4.5.2 SEASONAL IMPINGEMENT DATA

Impingement seasonality data are available from Eastlake (ERA 1987). Certain behaviors such as inshore migrations or movement within the water column on a daily or seasonal basis may increase the likelihood of impingement. Table 4-16 lists the seasonality of peak impingement at Eastlake.

Common Name	Peak Impingement	Notes
Alewife	April, May, and June	 Impingement corresponded with spawning period
Emerald shiner	March and April	 Lowest rate of impingement occurred during September and October
Freshwater drum	May and June	 Greater than 80% of all individuals collected during the peak period
Logperch	May and June	 70% of all individuals collected during the peak period
Rainbow smelt	May	 78% of individuals collected in May Secondary peak impingement occurred in August (6.9%)
Spottail shiner	March, April, and May	 Greater than 85% of all individuals collected during the peak period Peaks correspond with reported spawning period
Trout-perch	April, May, and June	 Peak in impingement corresponds with spawning period
White bass	October, May, and June	 41.6% of all individuals collected in October; typical fall mortality of small YOY 30.8% of all individuals collected in May and June were spawning and post-spawn adults
White perch	April and May	 Smaller second peak in impingement occurred in August Lowest rate of impingement occurred in the fall
Yellow perch	June	 27.1% of all individuals collected in June Relatively few individuals impinged in the fall

Fable 4-16: Seasonality	of Top	Ten Species	Impinged	at]	Eastlake
--------------------------------	--------	--------------------	----------	------	----------

Source: ERA (1987)

4.5.3 GENERAL SEASONAL AND DAILY ACTIVITY INFORMATION

The species described below were selected due to their high abundance; they were one of the top five most abundant species in local ichthyoplankton, impingement, or central basin community sampling (Cooper et al. 1981; ERA 1987; Goforth et al. 2002, FTG 2015; ODW 2015). While abundant in older entrainment and impingement surveys, alewife has been replaced by gizzard shad because recent surveys indicate a much greater abundance and occurrence of gizzard shad than alewife in the central basin.

Common carp – Common carp are native to Asia, but have been introduced to waters of every continent except Antarctica (Edwards and Twomey 1982). They prefer habitats that are relatively warm, well-vegetated with sluggish currents over mud or silt substrates (Edwards and Twomey 1982). They are rarely reported at depths deeper than 100 ft (Becker, 1983). They are opportunistic feeders, foraging on any available food source such as littoral fauna, benthic fauna, worms, insect larvae, algae, and detritus (Edwards and Twomey 1982). They are extremely tolerant of turbidity, as long as it is not forage limiting. Adults are tolerant of low dissolved oxygen levels common in warm waters. If dissolved oxygen levels are less than 0.5 mg/L, adults may gulp surface air for respiration (Edwards and Twomey 1982). Common carp do little feeding in water below 50°F, and none from 35.1°F to 40.1°F (Becker 1983).

Increased temperatures lead to increased activity, including leaping out of the water. Carp may move extensive distances, and have migrated as far as 674 miles over 28 months (Becker 1983).

Common carp exhibit diel periodicity when waters begin to warm (Benito et al. 2015). At night they occur in deeper waters and activity is limited but during the day they occur in shallow waters, less than three meters deep, and activity peaks. During cooler water temperatures activity levels and depth remain constant throughout the day. Juvenile common carp are known to spend more time swimming in the water column at night than during the day (Rahman and Meyer 2009). It is believed that carp occur in deeper waters during the winter, and move into more shallow waters as water temperatures increase (Benito et al. 2015).

Emerald shiner – Emerald shiner inhabit the surface to mid-depths of large waterbodies (Becker 1983). They frequent open waters and do not require vegetation and prefer water temperatures near 77°F. They most commonly occur in clear water between 2 and 5 ft deep over sandy substrates. They rarely penetrate the thermocline, typically at 36 to 49 ft deep, during the summer and their behavior is primarily pelagic. Emerald shiners tend to remain in the middle of the water column or near the bottom in clear water, during the day. They occur near the surface at night during the summer to feed on midges and other small insects. During the spring, emerald shiners may travel into the warmer waters of Lake Erie tributaries, returning to the lake by mid-June (Becker 1983).

Freshwater drum – Freshwater drum inhabit large rivers, lakes, and impoundments (Becker 1983). They prefer warm, sluggish, open water areas over muddy substrates in lakes. They are a schooling species and rarely occur in shallow, vegetated areas. They prefer turbid waters, but may occur in clear waters. In the Great Lakes, adults primarily occur from the shallows to depths of 39 to 59 ft. They are benthic feeders, and will feed during all times of the day (Becker 1983). Freshwater drum typically feed on benthic invertebrates such as cladocerans and mollusks and may turn to piscivorous behavior, feeding on small fish and fish eggs (Hardisty 2007). Young of year fish show some planktonic behavior, as their diet primarily consists of planktonic cladocerans. During the winter, they remain in deeper waters and exhibit limited feeding and movement (Becker 1983). As waters warm in the spring, they move closer inshore to shallower waters and retreat to deeper waters in the fall as water temperatures begin to fall. During the summer months, freshwater drum will move into waters less than 5 ft deep at twilight to feed. They are a hardy fish, but may show signs of distress at temperatures greater than 78°F. Freshwater drum are often the host of multiple unionoid mussel species glochidia (Becker 1983).

<u>Gizzard shad</u> – Gizzard shad occur in numerous types of water bodies (Becker 1983). Populations occurring in lakes or reservoirs are found in both the littoral and limnetic zones. They are primarily a pelagic species, inhabiting waters at or near the surface, but have been collected at depths of up to 108 ft. Adults feed in both the limnetic zone and near the benthos. This is evident by the occurrence of both plankton and sand in their digestive system. The sand in the digestive tract is believed to be used to aid in grinding food (Becker 1983).

In Lake Erie, gizzard shad are most abundant in the shallow western basin over muddy substrates, particularly in protected bays and the mouths of tributaries (Miller 1960). Their abundance is greatest in the late summer/early-fall due to the addition of young of the year fish. Juveniles tend to prefer habitats close to shore in shallow waters. Young of year tend to form compact schools after hatching, but dissipate by the fall (Miller 1960). Fish begin to move into deeper waters once temperatures in the fall reach less than 55.4°F (Williamson and Nelson 1985). During the winter, gizzard shad migrate into the deep portions of lakes, or may overwinter in sheltered coves with refugia provided by spring-fed streams (Williamson and Nelson 1985).

Gizzard shad behavior and abundance tend to be facilitated by water temperatures. Populations can be significantly affected by cold winters, resulting in high mortality rates. Mortality events typically occur when water temperatures fall below 38°F, primarily effecting juvenile fish. Older shad are susceptible to mortality when exposed to temperatures below 38°F for an extended period of time, or if water

temperatures fall below 36°F. Warm winter water temperatures often correlate with increases in gizzard shad populations. Even when temperatures are moderate, sudden changes, even if only by a few degrees, can disrupt equilibrium and swimming capabilities. Loss of equilibrium or mortality occurs from sudden rises in temperature, as well as sudden drops. The preferred temperature range is from 71.6°F-84.2°F, with a maximum tolerance of 93.2°F and lethal threshold of 97.7°F (Williamson and Nelson 1985).

Populations may be most dense in areas of high turbidity (Williamson and Nelson 1985). Higher catch rates were observed within the turbid Sandusky Bay of Lake Erie than in areas of low turbidity. However, individual shad collected from more turbid waters are generally smaller and spawn earlier than those in clear waters. Distribution is also influenced by dissolved oxygen levels. They are absent from waters with less than dissolved oxygen levels of $\leq 2 \text{ mg/L}$ and only occur above the thermocline during the summer months (Williamson and Nelson 1985).

Logperch – The Great Lakes are in the northernmost portion of the logperches range (Page and Burr 1991). Logperch are found in freshwater benthic habitats, primarily in the shallow waters of rivers and creeks (Page 1983). They are also found in large rivers, lakes, and reservoirs. They are not a schooling species and are often found individually or in small groups (Burkhead 2005). They demonstrate a unique feeding behavior compared to other darter species, where they utilize their snouts to flip and forage under small rocks (Hatch 1983). In clear water during sunny days, logperch will retreat into deeper waters or find shelter within the sand or under rocks (Becker 1983). Foraging occurs during the day, and logperch remain inactive on the bottom at night (Becker 1983). Site fidelity is high as logperch tend to remain near spawning grounds and have been recorded up to 1 mile from their original capture point due to foraging (Burkhead 2005). Juveniles feed on small zooplankton such as rotifers and copepods, and adults feed primarily on aquatic insects, supplementing their diet with small snails and fish eggs (Page 1983).

<u>Rainbow smelt</u> – Rainbow smelt are an anadromous marine species. After multiple attempts of introduction into the region, a freshwater stock from Maine was introduced and established in Crystal Lake, Michigan in 1912 (Becker 1983). They spread from Crystal Lake into the Great Lakes, including Lake Erie. Rainbow smelt typically inhabit waters from 59 to 85 ft deep, but have been recorded at depths from 46 to 210 ft. Adults will move into shallow inshore waters during the spring to spawn. In Lake Michigan, they occur at depths from 16 to 59 ft and move to deeper water as inshore waters warm. Schooling behavior begins when smelt reach 3/4-inch in length (Buckley 1989). Schools move into shallow waters at night, retreating to deeper waters during the day. Schools of juvenile smelt begin to move offshore as water temperatures begin to decrease in the fall (Buckley 1989).

Smelt prefer water temperatures in the range of 43°F to 56°F. Peak feeding occurs at 50°F (Becker 1983). Rainbow smelt primarily feed on crustaceans (Becker 1983). They will consume large numbers of fish when inshore, and are known to be highly cannibalistic; however, feeding does not typically occur during the spawning season. As rainbow smelt age, their presence becomes increasingly bottom oriented rather than pelagic. Age-0 fish are pelagic and inhabit the upper layers of the water column until the fall or late summer, when they move towards the bottom. Age-I fish occur at the mid-levels of the water column or near the thermocline. Age-II fish and older primarily occur near the bottom of the water column (Becker 1983).

Round goby – Round gobies are native to the Ponto-Caspian region of Eurasia, but have become established as one of the most abundant fishes in the Great Lakes (Kornis 2011). They entered the region via transoceanic vessels releasing ballast water into the Great Lakes. It is believed that water in the Dnieper River in the Ukraine was ballasted at night, when larval and juvenile round gobies are feeding near the surface, and the ballast was released into the Great Lakes which allowed their introduction. Round gobies are a sedentary benthic species that lack a swim bladder and have a limited home range. Lake Erie has the highest populations of round gobies in the Great Lakes. Populations have fallen over the last 5 to 10 years, likely due to the population reaching equilibrium after rapid expansion (Kornis 2011).

Round gobies have a wide thermal tolerance ranging from 30°F to 86°F (Kornis 2011). The optimum temperature for round gobies is 79°F. They occur and feed in rocky habitats. Juveniles are more abundant in sandy habitat, as adults are believed to displace them to sub-optimal habitat. They prefer waters 2.3 to 9.8 ft deep, away from the shallow surf zone (Kornis 2011). During the winter, they move into deeper waters (Kornis 2011).

Spottail shiner – Spottail shiners are native to the Great Lakes, and are particularly abundant within their shallows (Wells and House 1974). In Lake Erie, spottail shiners are important forage for walleye, and to a lesser extent, white bass. They prefer shallow, warm water and occur in midwater during the day and closer to the surface at night (Becker 1983; Wells and House 1974). They tolerate a wide variety of habitats, but are most numerous in turbid waters (Becker 1983). Larger individuals tend to occur in deeper waters than younger, smaller individuals (Wells and House 1974). During the late spring and summer, they typically occur in waters 42 ft or shallower at temperatures of approximately 55.4°F. During the winter, they most commonly occur at 102 ft, and no deeper than 150 ft. Many spottail shiners that occur near the shoreline are likely young of year, as one seining event in Lake Erie collected 693 spottail shiners, 664 of which were young of year (Wells and House 1974).

Trout-perch – Trout perch prefer shallow to intermediate waters of the Great Lakes and avoid shallow, mud filled bays (Becker 1983). They often occur near or within tributary streams, especially during spawning. They prefer clear water and sandy substrates. Trout perch are a seldom seen species that remain in deeper waters during the day and move into shallow shoreline waters at night. Even where abundant, specimen collection is difficult, except for shoreline seines at night and bottom trawls during the day. Seasonally, trout perch concentrate in deeper waters during the colder months, and move into shallower waters as water temperature increases. They prefer waters from 50°F to 61°F. Populations may shift into deeper waters due to sudden increases in water temperature during the summer months (Becker 1983).

<u>White bass</u> – White bass occur in open, clear to turbid waters of lakes (Becker 1983). They are most abundant in waters less than six meters deep and prefer sandy or muddy substrates (Becker 1983). They are opportunistic feeders and form large schools at the surface to search for prey (Hamilton and Nelson 1984). White bass occurrence is not habitat driven, but driven by forage availability. Diet varies seasonally due to prey availability and current life stage. Juveniles less than 1.5-inches long commonly feed on macroinvertebrates, while larger white bass are piscivorous, preferring clupeids as prey. Adult diets will shift to macroinvertebrates or zooplankton if there is a lack of forage fish. Production is highest in areas of large clupeid populations (Hamilton and Nelson 1984).

White bass activity peaks, from the early spring to the fall, at the surface during the early morning and late afternoon (Becker 1983). They can often be found near floating vegetation or other emergent structures with little movement at night. Older bass occur primarily in the pelagic zone, while younger bass will be found in the littoral zone. Young white bass prefer sandy beaches. White bass occur near the bottom during the winter, but are primarily found near the surface during the summer. White bass also appear to have a strong homing tendency to a specific spawning ground (Becker 1983).

White perch – White perch are native to the Atlantic Slope drainages from the St. Lawrence River, south to the Pee Dee River, South Carolina (Fuller et al. 2016). They first entered Lake Ontario due to the construction of the Erie Canal, and then accessed Lake Erie in 1953 via the Welland Canal (Fuller et al. 2016). Habitat preferences are level bottoms with silty substrates, but they may also occur over mud, sand and clay substrates (Stanley and Danie 1983). They commonly occur in open water, but utilize deeper water as daytime shelter. White perch move from 13 to 30 ft during the day to waters 3 to 4 ft deep at night. Seasonal movements are influenced primarily by temperature. Warming temperatures in the spring trigger inshore migrations, while falling temperatures in the fall result in offshore migrations, occasionally in large schools. After spawning, fish may retreat to deeper waters. Summer movements are local and random, rarely covering more than 12 miles. White perch overwinter in waters averaging 40 to

60 ft deep, but occur as deep as 131 ft. Most white perch mature at age-II, or at 2.8 to 3.1 inches for males and 3.5 to 3.9 inches for females (Stanley and Danie 1983).

Yellow perch – Yellow perch are associated with shoreline habitats of lakes and reservoirs where moderate amounts of vegetation are present (Krieger et al. 1983). Yellow perch are very tolerant fish that can adjust to a variety of conditions (Mecozzi 2008). They prefer sluggish currents or slack water habitats (Krieger et al. 1983). They are most common in clear waters, and are found in decreasing densities as turbidity increases (Krieger et al. 1983). They can tolerate a pH range of 3.9 to 9.5, but the optimum range is 6.5 to 8.5 for reproductive success. The preferred temperature range during growing season is between 63.7°F and 77.0°F (Krieger et al. 1983). Yellow perch are known to survive periods of low dissolved oxygen when other species such as bluegill, bass, and walleye die (Mecozzi 2008). They prefer sluggish currents or slack water habitats (Krieger et al. 1983). Lifespans are approximately seven years, and they reach maturity at the age of two or three (Mecozzi 2008). Yellow perch are active primarily during the day and form spindle shaped schools of 50 to 200 or more fish. Schools can be found at various depths, but feeding primarily occurs near the bottom. During the day, yellow perch are active in deeper water, but move nearshore to shallower depths at night. They display a behavior at night where the schools disperse and fish lay on the bottom motionless until daylight, when the school reforms and moves into deeper water. Yellow perch primarily feed on aquatic insects and zooplankton, but include small fish in their diet as they grow larger (Mecozzi 2008).

4.6 THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND OTHER PROTECTED SPECIES

Regulatory requirement at $\frac{122.21(r)(4)(vi)}{122.21(r)(4)(vi)}$: "Identification of all threatened, endangered, and other protected species that might be susceptible to impingement and entrainment at your cooling water intake structures."

The Rule requires that the USEPA or state permitting authority transmit permit applications to the appropriate Field Office of the United State Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and/or Regional Office of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) for review. The Services have the ability to recommend control measures, monitoring and reporting of take and other impacts to listed species and critical habitats. The Rule specifically addresses federally listed threatened and endangered (T&E) species. However, state-listed species are included for completeness. The federally and state-listed aquatic species that may be present near the intake were identified based on review of the USFWS Information, Planning, and Conservation System (IPaC) online database and ODNR online databases (USFWS 2016, ODNR 2016b). The results are summarized in Table 4-17.

Category	Species	Scientific Name	Status	Source	Susceptible to Impingement or Entrainment	
Federally Listed	snuffbox mussel	Epioblasma triquetra	E	USFWS (2016)	Likely extirpated (77 FR 8641),	
Species	salamander mussel	Simpsonaias ambigua	Under Review	USFWS (2016)	No record of occurrence near Perry	
Federal Critical Habitat	None	None	N/A	USFWS (2016)	N/A	
State-Listed Species	American eel	Anguilla rostrata	т	OSU (2016)		
	Eastern pondmussel	Ligumia nasuta	E	ODNR (2016c)	No record of occurrence near	
	Fawnsfoot	Truncilla donaciformis	т	FOC (2013) ODNR (2016c)	reny	
	Threehorn wartyback	Obliquaria reflexa	т	ODNR (2016c)		

Table	4-17.	Threatened	and	Endangered	A quatic S	Inecies	Potentially	Near	Perry
Table	4-1/.	Threateneu	allu	Enuangereu	Aqualic	precies	rotentially	Ivear	relly

4.7 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND AGENCY CONSULTATION

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(vii): "Documentation of any public participation or consultation with Federal or State agencies undertaken in development of the plan."

No public participation or consultation with Federal or State agencies related to CWA Section 316(b) was undertaken during the development of the §122.21(r) reports.

4.8 SUPPLEMENTAL FIELD STUDIES

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(viii): "If you supplement the information requested in paragraph (r)(4)(i) of this section with data collected using field studies, supporting documentation for the Source Water Baseline Biological Characterization must include a description of all methods and quality assurance procedures for sampling, and data analysis including a description of the study area; taxonomic identification of sampled and evaluated biological assemblages (including all life stages of fish and shellfish); and sampling and data analysis methods. The sampling and/or data analysis methods you use must be appropriate for a quantitative survey and based on consideration of methods used in other biological studies performed within the same source water body. The study area should include, at a minimum, the area of influence of the cooling water intake structure."

Not Applicable – No supplemental field studies have been performed, or are proposed, as part of this $\frac{122.21(r)}{4}$ Source Water Baseline Biological Characterization report.

4.9 REGULATORY REQUIREMENT

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(ix): "In the case of the owner or operator of an existing facility or new unit at an existing facility, the Source Water Baseline Biological Characterization Data is the information in paragraphs (r)(4)(i) through (xii) of this section."

Regulatory requirement cited for informational purposes only. No response necessary.

4.10 PROTECTIVE MEASURES AND STABILIZATION ACTIVITIES NEAR THE INTAKE

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(x): "For the owner or operator of an existing facility, identification of protective measures and stabilization activities that have been implemented, and a description of how these measures and activities affected the baseline water condition in the vicinity of the intake."

The CWIS at Perry uses offshore intakes located approximately 2,650-ft from shore at depths of 20.7 and 21.7-ft at low water elevation. Intakes were constructed to provide at least 13-ft of clearance from the water's surface to avoid obstruction of navigation. No protective or stabilizing activities are implemented at these intakes. Low AIF, low intake velocities, and the large volume of water available in Lake Erie demonstrate that protective and/or stabilization activities are not necessary and that the present CWIS configuration and operations do not affect the baseline water conditions.

4.11 FRAGILE SPECIES

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(xi): "For the owner or operator of an existing facility, a list of fragile species, as defined at 40 CFR 125.92(m), at the facility. The applicant need only identify those species not already identified as fragile at 40 CFR 125.92(m). New units at an existing facility are not required to resubmit this information if the cooling water withdrawals for the operation of the new unit are from an existing intake."

Fragile species are defined in 40 CFR §125.92(m) as...

"...a species of fish or shellfish that has an impingement survival rate of less than 30 percent even when the BTA technology of modified traveling screens are in operation."

Fragile species listed in the Rule include, but are not limited to: alewife, American shad, Atlantic herring, Atlantic long-finned squid, Atlantic menhaden, bay anchovy, blueback herring, bluefish, butterfish, gizzard shad, grey snapper, hickory shad, menhaden, rainbow smelt, round herring, and silver anchovy (79 FR 48432, August 15, 2014).

Fragile species at risk of impingement at Perry were determined by species collected during studies near Perry (Cooper et al. 1981; ERA 1987; FTG 2015; ODW 2015; USFWS 1982; USNRC 1982). Fragile species at risk for impingement at Perry include alewife, gizzard shad, and rainbow smelt. While no impingement data are available for Perry, data are available from the nearby Eastlake (ERA 1987). Gizzard shad dominated impingement, but most gizzard shad impinged were considered either moribund or dead at the time of impingent. The impingement of large numbers of dead or moribund gizzard shad is attributed to natural mortality events caused by low and/or sudden changes in water temperature (ERA 1987). Impinged gizzard shad are primarily young of year, which are more sensitive to low water temperature and sudden changes in water temperatures than adults (ERA 1987). Therefore, any gizzard shad impinged at Perry would likely be due to natural mortality.

Alewife impingement at Eastlake was less than 1% of total impingement when gizzard shad was excluded (ERA 1987). Rainbow smelt composed approximately half of the total impingement at Eastlake (ERA 1987). Both alewife and rainbow smelt are non-native species to the Lake Erie basin (USGS 2012).

A list of non-fragile species provided by the USEPA (2014) was compared to the species impinged at the nearby Eastlake and Ashtabula facilities (Appl. Biol. 1979e, ERA 1987), species collected in the nearshore communities of the central basin of Lake Erie (Goforth et al. 2002), and District 3 trawl surveys (ODW 2015). All species collected (other than those listed above as fragile species) were listed as non-fragile species, except brook stickleback, coho salmon, lake whitefish, and yellow bullhead. Impingement mortality data at intakes similar to Perry are not available for these species.

4.12 INCIDENTAL TAKE EXEMPTION OR AUTHORIZATION

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(4)(xii): "For the owner or operator of an existing facility that has obtained incidental take exemption or authorization for its cooling water intake structure(s) from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, any information submitted in order to obtain that exemption or authorization may be used to satisfy the permit application information requirement of paragraph 40 CFR 125.95(f) if included in the application."

Perry has not obtained an incidental take exemption of authorization for its cooling water intake structures from the USFWS or NMFS, nor is one believed to be required.

5.0 §122.21(r)(5) - COOLING WATER SYSTEM DATA

5.1 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COOLING WATER SYSTEM

Regulatory requirement at §122.21(r)(5)(i): "A narrative description of the operation of the cooling water system and its relationship to cooling water intake structures; the proportion of the design intake flow that is used in the system; the number of days of the year the cooling water system is in operation and seasonal changes in the operation of the system, if applicable; the proportion of design intake flow for contact cooling, non-contact cooling, and process uses; a distribution of water reuse to include cooling water reused as process water, process water reused for cooling, and the use of gray water for cooling; a description of reductions in total water withdrawals including cooling water intake flow reductions already achieved through minimized process water withdrawals; a description of any cooling water that is used in a manufacturing process either before or after it is used for cooling, including other recycled process water flows; the proportion of the source waterbody withdrawn (on a monthly basis)"

Perry is a base-load facility that normally operates year-round to produce electrical power, with the exception of scheduled outages (approximately once every 24 months for several weeks duration) for refueling and planned maintenance activities. In general, the cooling water system operates to support electrical generation. Perry employs a closed-cycle cooling system for condenser water cooling.

Water from the service water pump discharge line is utilized for circulating water makeup to the cooling tower basin (FirstEnergy 2015). Water is directed to the circulating water system to replace losses due to evaporation and blowdown. Circulating water flows from the condensers to one 516-foot high natural draft, hyperbolic cooling tower with counter flow design; concentrated dissolved solids in the system are maintained at a design of 2.5 cycles of concentration by discharging water from the cooling tower to the blowdown system (FirstEnergy 2015). Lake water chemistry restricts Perry's cycle of concentration to 2.5. The blowdown water is added to the service water discharge flow and conveyed through a discharge tunnel to Lake Erie.

Depending on atmospheric conditions, makeup flow can be up to 25,000 GPM and blowdown can be up to 10,000 GPM (FirstEnergy 2016a). Evaporation from the cooling tower can be up to 15,000 GPM.

5.2 DESIGN AND ENGINEERING CALCULATIONS

Regulatory requirement at (122.21(r)(5)(ii)): "Design and engineering calculations prepared by a qualified professional and supporting data to support the description required by paragraph (r)(5)(i) of this section"

5.2.1 Design Intake FLOW

The DIF is provided in Section 3.3.

5.2.2 THROUGH- SCREEN VELOCITY

The through-screen velocity has been calculated at the screens under low water elevation for both DIF and AIF. These are listed in Table 5-1.

		Low Water Elevation
Water Eleva	tion	567'-4" MSL
Pumphouse Floor Elevation		544'-7" MSL
Water Depth		22'-9"
Through Concern Vialacity	DIF	0.72 fps
Inrough-Screen velocity	Maximum AIF	0.72 fps

Table 5-1: Through-Screen Velocities

Through-screen velocity calculations are provided in Section 10.0 as Attachment B - Calculations.

5.2.3 PERCENT REDUCTION IN COOLING WATER FLOW

While Perry has two cooling towers, only one is utilized for the operating unit as part of the circulating water system (USNRC 1982). The natural draft, hyperbolic cooling tower is 516 ft high, and the water is counter flow with the air to cool water for reuse (FirstEnergy 2015). Due to the operation of the cooling tower, cooling water intake flow reductions are achieved through minimized makeup water withdrawals when compared to plant circulating water flow. Additional flow reduction is recognized during plant outages and planned maintenance activities. The cooling tower is operated at a design of 2.5 cycles of concentration with a circulating water flow rate of approximately 545,400 GPM (785 MGD) from three 185,000 GPM (266.4 MGD) pumps (FirstEnergy 2016a; USNRC 1982). The percent reduction in flow⁷ is listed in Table 5-2.

Table 5-2: Percent Reduction in Flow⁷

Total Makeup Water	Percent Flow Reduction			
DIF – 101.5 MGD	87.1%			
AIF – 54.6 MGD	93.0%			

Percent reduction in flow calculations are provided in Section 10.0 as Attachment B - Calculations.

The original once-through design for Perry called for a withdraw rate of approximately 1,150,000 GPM (1,656 MGD), however Perry was constructed with a closed cycle cooling tower. When comparing the current DIF of 101.5 MGD to the previous, once-through withdrawal rate of 1,656 MGD, Perry has reduced the intake flow by 93.9%.

5.2.4 PROPORTION OF THE SOURCE WATERBODY WITHDRAWN

The volume of Lake Erie is estimated to be approximately 116 cubic miles $(1.28 \times 10^{14} \text{ gallons}; \text{NOAA} 2016b)$. The design volume of water withdrawn on a daily basis at Perry is 101.5 million gallons $(1.015 \times 10^8 \text{ gallons})$, which is approximately 0.0001% of the volume of Lake Erie. The withdrawal of water at Perry is a *de minimis* withdrawal from the waterbody.

5.3 EXISTING IMPINGEMENT AND ENTRAINMENT TECHNOLOGIES

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(5)(ii): "Description of existing impingement and entrainment technologies or operational measures and a summary of their performance, including but not limited to reductions in impingement mortality and entrainment due to intake location and reductions in total water withdrawals and usage"

⁷ The percent reduction in flow has been calculated as: 1- Total Makeup Water Flow

Perry operates a closed-cycle cooling system as defined in \$125.92 to minimize make-up flows, and blowdown rates. The original once-through design for Perry called for a withdraw rate of approximately 1,150,000 GPM (1,656 MGD), however Perry was constructed with a closed cycle cooling tower. As such, Perry meets BTA standards for impingement mortality in \$125.94(c)(1) and BTA for entrainment under Best Professional Judgment. In addition to operation of the closed-cycle cooling system, Perry utilizes end caps located approximately 2,650-feet offshore with velocities at the end caps of approximately 0.23 fps. The location is greater than the minimum of 800 feet offshore required by the rule at 40 CFR \$125.92(v).

6.0 §122.21(r)(6) - CHOSEN METHOD OF COMPLIANCE WITH IMPINGEMENT MORTALITY STANDARD

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(6): "The owner or operator of the facility must identify the chosen compliance method for the entire facility; alternatively, the applicant must identify the chosen compliance method for each cooling water intake structure at its facility. The applicant must identify any intake structure for which a BTA determination for Impingement Mortality under 40 CFR 125.94 (c)(11) or (12) is requested."

Perry uses one 516-foot high natural draft, hyperbolic cooling tower for closed-cycle cooling. Therefore, Perry achieves the national BTA standard for impingement mortality at §125.94(c)(1) by operating a closed-cycle recirculating system. Additionally, Perry has a through-screen velocity (TSV) based on DIF or AIF less than 0.5 fps at the end caps. The Rule defines the entry point to the cooling water intake structure at §125.92(f)] "extends from the point at which water is first withdrawn from waters of the United States up to, and including the intake pumps." The Rule at §125.94(c)(3) allows compliance for intakes without screens requiring the maximum intake velocity perpendicular to the opening of the intake to not exceed 0.5 fps during minimum ambient source water surface elevations. Calculations of the TSVs at the end caps are approximately 0.23 fps based on DIF, and thus does not exceed 0.5 fps. This is a preapproved technology and does not have any monitoring requirements. Finally, Perry uses two multiport, offshore intake structures with circular end caps located approximately 2,650-feet offshore. The location is greater than the minimum of 800 feet offshore required by the rule at 40 CFR §125.92(v). The two multiport, circular intake structures do not employ the use of bar screens at the face of the intake. Per the regulations at §125.92(v), in order to be considered an offshore velocity cap, "the velocity cap must use bar screens or otherwise exclude marine mammals, sea turtles, and other large aquatic organisms." Perry is located in a fresh waterbody that does not contain marine animals, and bar screens may not be necessary. For this report the intake structures have been referred to as "end caps", however given the lack of marine animals they will function the same as velocity caps at Perry.

7.0 §122.21(r)(7) - ENTRAINMENT PERFORMANCE STUDIES

Regulatory requirement at §122.21(r)(7): "The owner or operator of an existing facility must submit any previously conducted studies or studies obtained from other facilities addressing technology efficacy, through-facility entrainment survival, and other entrainment studies. Any such submittals must include a description of each study, together with underlying data, and a summary of any conclusions or results. Any studies conducted at other locations must include an explanation as to why the data from other locations are relevant and representative of conditions at your facility. In the case of studies more than 10 years old, the applicant must explain why the data are still relevant and representative of conditions at the facility and explain how the data should be interpreted using the definition of entrainment at 40 CFR 125.92(h)."

No entrainment surveys were conducted at Perry previously because the station uses cooling towers which are parts of the closed-cycle recirculating system as defined in 40 CFR 125.83. The suspended CWA Section 316(b) Phase II Rule for existing facilities stated that closed-cycle recirculating systems were deemed to have met the applicable performance standards for both impingement mortality and entrainment and were not required to demonstrate further the achievement of performance standards.

USNRC (1982) conducted a comparative review of entrainment at five fossil-fueled power plants on Lake Erie located within a 50-mile radius of Perry between 1977 and 1978. Entrainment at Perry was expected to be similar to the Ashtabula C Power Plant because both stations have a submerged offshore intake. Based on the low number of eggs and larvae collected during entrainment sampling at Ashtabula C and the lower water withdrawal requirements at Perry, UNRC (1982) concluded that entrainment impacts should be insignificant.

Davis-Besse is a nuclear power station that uses a closed-cycle recirculating system with natural-draft cooling towers, low water volume requirements, low intake flow velocities, and a submerged offshore intake structure, on the southern shore of Lake Erie, similar to Perry. However, the one preoperational and one operational entrainment characterization study performed at Davis-Besse were completed in 1977 and 1978. These old data may not be representative of the current fish community in Lake Erie.

Historical entrainment data are available from Eastlake, a power station approximately 18 miles southwest of Perry. However, the data do not likely reflect current entrainment at Perry because they are nearly 40 years old and Eastlake uses once-through cooling and a shoreline intake.

No other studies addressing entrainment reduction technology efficacy, through-facility entrainment survival, or other entrainment studies applicable to Perry are available.

8.0 §122.21(r)(8) - OPERATIONAL STATUS

8.1 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF POWER PRODUCTION

Regulatory requirement at §122.21(r)(8)(i): "For power production or steam generation, descriptions of individual unit operating status including age of each unit, capacity utilization rate (or equivalent) for the previous 5 years, including any extended or unusual outages that significantly affect current data for flow, impingement, entrainment, or other factors, including identification of any operating unit with a capacity utilization rate of less than 8 percent averaged over a 24-month block contiguous period, and any major upgrades completed within the last 15 years, including but not limited to boiler replacement, condenser replacement, turbine replacement, or changes to fuel type"

Perry began commercial operation in 1986 and is a base-load nuclear plant that normally operates yearround to produce electrical power, with the exception of scheduled outages. Scheduled outages for refueling and planned maintenance occur every two years for a duration of several weeks. Based on annual operations from 2010 through 2015, Perry's average net facility capacity utilization rate was 89.05%. In general, the submerged surface water withdrawal system operates to support electrical generation with minor seasonal changes. Seasonal changes include operating two service water pumps in the winter and three in the summer.

A turbine upgrade was completed in 2013.

Values for the unit capacity factors are listed in Table 8-1 for the last five years. These values are based on net electrical generation. The factors are year-end values from December of each year.

Table 8-1: Capacity Utilization Factors			
Year	Capacity Utilization Factors		
2010	97.77%		
2011	78.89%		
2012	96.31%		
2013	77.78%		
2014	96.25%		
2015	87.30%		
Average	89.05%		

FirstEnergy (2016a)

8.2 DESCRIPTIONS OF UPRATES AND USNRC RELICENSING

Regulatory requirement at §122.21(r)(8)(ii): "Descriptions of completed, approved, or scheduled uprates and Nuclear Regulatory Commission relicensing status of each unit at nuclear facilities"

A power uprate was completed between 2000 and 2001. The current Perry license (Docket Number 05000440) was issued November 13, 1986 and expires in March 18, 2026.

8.3 New Unit Plans and Schedules

Regulatory requirement at \$122.21(r)(8)(v): "Descriptions of plans or schedules for any new units planned within the next 5 years"

There are no plans or schedules for new units at Perry within the next five years.

9.0 REFERENCES

- Applied Biology, Inc. 1979a. "Section 316(b) Intake Monitoring Program, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Avon Lake Plant, Final Report," prepared for Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Cleveland, Ohio. Cited as Appl. Biol. 1979a in USNRC (1982).
- Applied Biology, Inc. 1979b. "Section 316(b) Intake Monitoring Program, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Lake Shore Plant, Final Report," prepared for Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Cleveland, Ohio. Cited as Appl. Biol. 1979b in USNRC (1982).
- Applied Biology, Inc. 1979c. "Section 316(b) Intake Monitoring Program, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Eastlake Plant, Final Report," prepared for Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Cleveland, Ohio. Cited as Appl. Biol. 1979c in USNRC (1982).
- Applied Biology, Inc. 1979d. "Section 316(b) Intake Monitoring Program, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Ashtabula A and B Plant, Final Report," prepared for Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Cleveland, Ohio. Cited as Appl. Biol. 1979d in USNRC (1982).
- Applied Biology, Inc. 1979e. "Section 316(b) Intake Monitoring Program, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Ashtabula C Plant, Final Report," prepared for Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Cleveland, Ohio. Cited as Appl. Biol. 1979e in USNRC (1982).
- Baker, J.L. 2007. Health of Fish Impinged On Cooling-Water Intake Screens. Auburn University. Auburn, AL. May, 2007.
- Baker, K. 2001. Impact of Round Goby (*Neogobius melanaostomus*) Invasion on Zebra Mussel-Dominated Hard Substrate Communities. Lake Erie Protection Fund Project ID Number: SG 32/96, Final Report. March 26.
- Becker, G. 1983. Fishes of Wisconsin. Madison, Wisconsin: Univ. Wisconsin Press.
- Benito, J., L. Benejam, L. Zamora, and E. Garcıa-Berthou. 2015. Diel Cycle and Effects of Water Flow on Activity and Use of Depth by Common Carp. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 144:491–501, 2015.
- Buckley, J. L. 1989. Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environment; Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (North Atlantic) - Rainbow Smelt. U.S. Fish Wildlife. Service. Biological. Report. 82(11.106). U.S. Army Corps Engineers, TR EL-82-4. 11 pp.
- Burkhead, N. 2005. "FISC Center for Aquatic Re source Studies. Accessed February 9, 2016 at http://fl.biology.usgs.gov/Southeastern_Aquatic_Fauna/Freshwater_Fishes/Logperch/logperch.ht ml.
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI). 1974. Dwg: D-736-013-00000. Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Intake and Discharge Tunnel Profiled. 1974.
- CEI. 1977. Dwg: D-726-210-00000. Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Offshore Multiport Intake Structure. 21 January 1977.
- CEI. 1986. Dwg: D-726-201. Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Plan and Profile Intake Tunnel. 11 November 1986.
- Commercial Fisheries Review (Comm Fish Rev). 1961. Lake Erie fish population survey for 1961 season begins. 23(6):23-24. Cited in: USFWS (1982).
- Cooper, C.L., J.J. Mizera, and C.E. Herdendorf. 1981. Distribution, Abundance, and Entrainment Studies of Larval Fisheries in the Western and Central Basins of Lake Erie. Ohio State University Center for Lake Erie Area Research (CLEAR) Technical Report No. 222. October 1981.

- Edwards, E. A., and K. A. Twomey. 1982. Habitat Suitability Index Models: Common Carp. U.S. Dept. Int. Fish Wildl. Servo FWS/OBS-82/10.12. 27 pp.
- Envirex. 1976a. Dwg: H84217-1. General Arrangement of Two Rex Four Post Type Traveling Water Screen 8'-0 Basket. 1976.
- Envirex. 1976b. Dwg: H84217-3. Notes and Specifications for Rex Traveling Water Screen. 1976.
- Environmental Resource Associates (ERA). 1987. The Condition of Fishes Impinged at the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Eastlake and Avon Lake Plants Excluding the Gizzard Shad. The Centerior Energy Corp. 89 pp. May 1987.
- Etnier, D.A and W.C. Starnes. 2001. The Fishes of Tennessee. University of Tennessee Press. Knoxville, TN.
- FirstEnergy Corporation (FirstEnergy). 2012. Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Fact Sheet. February 2012. Available for download on-line at: https://www.firstenergycorp.com/content/fecorp/about/generation_system/FENOC/perry.html. Site last accessed January 25, 2016.
- FirstEnergy. 2015. Perry Nuclear Power Plant. License Renewal Application. Appendix E: Environmental Report. September 2015.
- FirstEnergy. 2016a. Multiple electronic communications between FirstEnergy and AECOM staff. February 2016.
- FirstEnergy. 2016b. Electronic communication between FirstEnergy and AECOM staff. 17 March 2016.
- FirstEnergy. 2016c. Daily service water flow from January 2013 through 18 Apr 2016.
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada (FOC). 2013. Aquatic Species at Risk Fawnsfoot. March 2013. http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/species-especes/fawnsfoot-troncille-eng.htm.
- Forage Task Group (FTG). 2015. Report of the Lake Erie Forage Task Group. Presented to Standing Technical Committee, Lake Erie Committee, Great Lakes Fishery Commission. March 2015.
- Fuller, P., E. Maynard, D. Raikow, J. Larson, A. Fusaro, and M. Neilson. 2016. Morone americana. USGS Nonindigenous Aquatic Species Database, Gainesville, FL. Revised 1/15/2016. http://nas.er.usgs.gov/queries/FactSheet.aspx?speciesID=777.
- Goforth, R.R., S.M. Carman, S. Mackey, J. Fuller, D. Guy, and D. Liebenthal. 2002. Ecological Characteristics of Nearshore Areas along Six Great Lakes Shorelines. Report Number 2002-19. Michigan State University Extension. August 5, 2002.
- Graham, L.F., M. Dorin, and P. Lin. 2008. Understanding Entrainment at Coastal Power Plants: Informing a Program To Study Impacts and Their Reduction. Prepared for California Energy Commission. CEC-500-2007-120. March, 2008.
- Hamilton, K., and P. C. Nelson. 1984. Habitat Suitability Index Models and Instream Flow Suitability Index Curves: White Bass. U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. Biological. Report. 82(10.89). 35 pp.
- Hardisty, C. 2007. Growth Dynamics of Freshwater Drum (*Aplodinotus grunniens*) in Manitoba. Honours Thesis, Department of Biology, The University of Winnipeg 2006/2007.
- Hatch, J. 1983. Comparative Growth, Reproduction, Habitat and Food Utilization of Darters of the St. Croix River Drainage. Minnesota: MN DNR, Section of Wildlife, Nongame Research Program. Cited in: Spalding (2006).

- Kornis, M.S. 2011. Distribution, Impact, and Life Histories of Round Gobies in the Laurentian Great Lakes and Their Tributaries: Lessons for Invasion Biology. PhD Dissertation. University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Krieger, D. A., J. W. Terrell, and P. C. Nelson. 1983. Habitat suitability information: Yellow perch. U.S. Fish Wildl. Servo FWS/OBS-83/10.55. 37 pp.
- Mansueti, R.J. 1961. Movements, Reproduction and Mortality of the White Perch, *Roccus americanus*, in the Patuxent Estuary, Mary-1 and. Chesapeake Sci. 2: 142-205. Cited in: Stanley and Danie (1983).
- Mecozzi, M. 2008. Yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*). Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Bureau of Fisheries Management. August 2008. http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/fishing/documents/species/yellowperch.pdf.
- Miller, R.R. 1960. Systematics and Biology of the Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*) and Related Fishes. U.S. Fish Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin. V 60: 371-392.
- National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA). 2016a. Station FAI01 9063053 Fairport, OH. National Data Buoy Center. Accessed February 8, 2016. http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/station_page.php?station=faio1.
- NOAA. 2016b. About Our Great Lakes: Lake by Lake Profile. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory. Accessed 25 February 2016. http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pr/ourlakes/lakes.html.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). 2005. A Strategy for Conserving New York's Fish and Wildlife Resources. New York State Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. Final Submission Draft. September 2005.
- NUS Corporation. 1975. Ichthyoplankton study report, 1974 data, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Proj. No. 2065. Cited in: USFWS (1982).
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR). 2016a. Lake Erie Steelhead Fishing. January 2014. Available on-line at: http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/fishing/fishing-forecasts-and-reports/lake-eriesteelhead-fishing. Site last accessed January 26, 2016.
- ODNR. 2016b. State-listed Species for Lake County. Ohio Division of Wildlife. Accessed January 2016. http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/portals/wildlife/pdfs/species%20and%20habitats/statelisted%20species/lake.pdf.
- ODNR. 2016c. Ohio's Mussel Species of Greatest Conservation Need. Accessed January 2016. http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/portals/wildlife/pdfs/species%20and%20habitats/mussel%20table.pdf.
- Ohio Division of Wildlife (ODW). 2015. Ohio's Lake Erie Fisheries, 2014. Annual status report. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Project F-69-P. Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, Lake Erie Fisheries Unites, Fairport and Sandusky. 104 pp.
- ODW. 2016. Ohio's Lake Erie Fisheries, 2015. Annual status report. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Project F-69-P. Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, Lake Erie Fisheries Units, Fairport and Sandusky. 106 pp.
- Ohio State University (OSU). 2016. OSU Fish Division Database. Accessed January 2016. Available online at: http://osuc.biosci.ohio-state.edu/Fishes/.
- Page, L. 1983. Hand book of Darters. Neptune City, New Jersey: T. F. H. Pub., Inc. Cited in: Spalding (2006).

- Page, L. and B. Burr. 1991. A Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes of North America North of Mexico. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company. Cited in: Spalding (2006).
- Perry Nuclear Power Plant (Perry). Undated. Dwg No. 015-0015-00000. Final Plant Layout Service Water Pump House.
- Rahman, M. M., and C. G. Meyer. 2009. Effects of Food Type on Diel Behaviours of Common Carp Cyprinus carpio in Simulated Aquaculture Pond Conditions. Journal of Fish Biology 74:2269– 2278. Cited in: Benito et al. (2015).
- Reutter, J.M. and C.E. Herdendorf. 1980. "Environmental Impact Appraisal of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 on the Aquatic Ecology of Lake Erie, 1973-1979," The Ohio State University Center for Lake Erie Area Research, Columbus, Ohio. Cited in USNRC (1982).
- Spalding, W. 2006. "Percina caprodes" (On-line), Animal Diversity Web. Accessed March 11, 2016 at http://animaldiversity.org/accounts/Percina_caprodes/
- Stanley, J.G., and D.S. Danie. 1983. Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environmental Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (North Atlantic - White Perch. U.S. Fish and Wild1ife Service, Division of Biological Services, FWS/OBS-82/11.7. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL- 82-4. 12 pp.
- United State Geological Survey (USGS). 2012. Nonindigenous Aquatic Species Database, Gainesville, FL. http://nas.er.usgs.gov.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2014. Technical Development Document for the Final Section 316(b) Existing Facilities Rule. EPA-821-R-14-002. May 2014.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1982. Atlas of the Spawning and Nursery Areas of Great Lakes Fishes. Volume IX-Lake Erie. Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway Navigation Season Extension Program. September 1982.
- USFWS. 2016. IPaC Information, Planning, and Conservation System. IPaC Trust Resource Report. Available on-line at: http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/. Report generated January 26, 2016.
- United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC). 1980. NUREG-0720 Hickey, C. R., Jr., "Power Plant Siting and Design: A Case Study of Minimal Entrainment and Impingement Impacts at Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station". Cited as NUREG-0720 in USNRC (1982).
- USNRC. 1982. Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. NUREG-0884, August 1982.
- Wallus, R. and T.P. Simon. 2006. Reproductive Biology and Early Life history of Fishes in the Ohio River Drainage. Aphredoderidae through Cottidae, Moronidae, and Sciaenidae. Volume 5. Talor & Francis Group. Boca Raton, FL.
- Wells, L. and R. House. 1974. Life History of Spottail Shiner (*Notropis hudsonius*) in Southeastern Lake Michigan, the Kalamazoo River, and Western Lake Erie. Research Report 78. U.S. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife. Washington D.C. Accessed 2/9/16 via http://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=mdp.39015086579805;view=1up;seq=3.
- Williamson, K. L., and P. C. Nelson. 1985. Habitat Suitability Index Models and Instream Flow Suitability Curves: Gizzard shad. U.S. Fish Wildlife Service Biological. Report. 82(10.112). 33 pp.
- Zuerlein, G. 1981. The White Perch in Nebraska. Nebr. Game Parks Comm. Tech. Ser. 8. 108 pp. Cited in: Stanley and Danie (1983)

10.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Locational Maps

- AECOM. 2016. Figure 1. Perry Nuclear Generating Station Aerial Map.
- AECOM. 2016. Figure 2. Perry Nuclear Generating Station Topographic Map.
- AECOM. 2016. Figure 3. Perry Nuclear Generating Station Navigation Map.
- NOAA. 1998. Bathymetry of Lake Erie and Lake Saint Clair.

Attachment B - Calculations

- AECOM. 2016. Area of Influence Calculations. FirstEnergy, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. June 2016.
- AECOM. 2016. Through-Screen Velocities. FirstEnergy, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. June 2016.
- AECOM. 2016. Percent Flow Reduction Calculations. FirstEnergy, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. June 2016.

Attachment C – Drawings and Schematics

- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Final Plant Site Topography, Rev G.
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Offshore Multiport Intake Structure, Rev I. 1978.
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Plan and Profile Intake Tunnel, Rev E. Nov 1986.
- Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Final Plant Layout Service Water Pump House. Drawing 015-0015-00000.
- Envirex. Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. General Arrangement of Two Rex Four Post Type Traveling Water Screen 8'-0 Basket. Drawing H84217-1. 1976.
- Envirex. Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Notes and Specifications for Rex Traveling Water Screen. Drawing H84217-3. 1976.
- Perry Nuclear Power Plant. Water Flow Diagram. Revised March 29, 2016.

ATTACHMENT A LOCATIONAL MAPS







S:\Projects\Private-Sector\FirstEnergy\20000579_316b_Fleet\Data\Plant Info\Perry\122.21r\Locational Ma



ATTACHMENT B CALCULATIONS

.

FirstEnergy Perry Nuclear Power Plant

Area of Influence Calculations

Design Inputs:					
Design Intake Flow	101.5 Million Gallons per Day, MGD	(Ref 1)			
	157.1 Cubic Feet per Second, CFS				
Actual Intake Flow	101.2 MGD	(Ref 2)			
	156.6 CFS				
Number of Intakes	2 Velocity caps	(Ref 3, 4)			
Openings per Intake	8 Openings per cap	(Ref 5)			
Width of Each Opening	12 Feet, FT	(Ref 3, 5)			
Height of Each Opening	3.6 FT	(Ref 3, 5)			
Area of Each Opening	43 Square Feet, SQ FT	(Calculated)			
Open Area per End Cap	346 SQ FT	(Calculated)			
Total Open Area	691 SQ FT	(Calculated)			
Assumptions:					
1. All pumps, screens, and ports are in continuous s	ervice and function similarly.				
2. Flow rates are pump design maximum and are m	ost conservative.				
3. DIF does not include screen wash water or fire se	ervice water use.				
References:					
1. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic communication bet	ween FirstEnergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 201	6.			
2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service water flow from January 2013 through 18 Apr 2016.					
3. USNRC. 1982. Final Environmental Statement rel	ated to the operation of Perry Nuclear Power P	lant, Units 1 and			
Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. 1986. D	wg No. D-726-201. Rev E. Plan and Profile: Inta	ke Tunnel. Perry			
Nuclear Power Plant. 11 Nov 1986.					
5. Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. 1986. D	wg No. D-726-210. Rev M. Offshore Multiport I	Intake Structure.			
Perry Nuclear Power Plant. 11 Nov 1986.					
Calculation:					
Area of Each Opening = (Opening Width x Opening	Height)				
Total Open Area = (Area of Fach Opening X Number	of Openings per Intake) x (Number of Intakes)				
Area of Influence = (Withdrawal Rate) / (Total Oper	n Area)				
Design Intake Flow - V	/elocity at Front of Intake 0.23 FPS				
A should be he the Plane A	(alonity at Front of Intelia 0.33 FDC				
Actual Intake Flow - V	velocity at Front of Intake 0.23 FPS				
Prepared By:					
AECOM					
Conshohocken, PA					
June 2016					
AECOM Conshohocken, PA

FirstEnergy Perry Nuclear Power Plant CWA 316(b)

THROUGH-SCREEN VELOCITIES

PREPARED FOR

FirstEnergy Perry Nuclear Power Plant

Prepared By: Wade Cope Staff Engineer Date: 6/23/2016

Date:

6/23/2016

6/23/2016

Reviewed By:

Ishan Jain Staff Engineer

Date:

Approved By: Joella Posey

Principal Engineer

Rev.	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by
0	6/23/2016	WC	IJ	JP

THROUGH-SCREEN VELOCITIES

Calculation Purpose:

1. Calculate the design and actual through-screen velocities for the cooling water intake structure.

2. Determine if CWA 316(b) performance standards for impingement mortality are met.

Calculation Objectives:

- 1. Identify the screen physical parameters and intake flow rates.
- 2. Calculate the proportion of open screen area to screen surface area.
- 3. Calculate the design and actual through-screen velocities.

System Description:

Perry Nuclear Power Plant's cooling water intake structure (CWIS) serves to provide a supply of water from Lake Erie to the facility. The CWIS includes the use of two end caps approximately 2,650-feet away from shore, a tunnel between the end caps and the onshore screenhouse, as well as two traveling water screens. The end caps are circular with a 36-foot diameter; the openings are 12-feet wide by approximately 3.6-feet high. The end caps are submerged under low water conditions. Water passes through the caps to a tunnel, which transports water into the onshore screenhouse. The screenhouse incorporates the use of two traveling water screens, each with 8-foot wide baskets and 3/8-inch square mesh openings.

Calculation Methodology:

The through-screen velocity will be calculated using the following formulas adapted from Pankrantz, 1988.

V = Q / WD * OA * TW * K

(Formula 1)

where:

Q = flow rate in gallons per minute (gpm) V = through-screen velocity in feet per second (fps) WD = water depth in feet (ft) OA = proportion of screen open area to total screen area TW = nominal screen tray width in ft K = constant = 396 for through-flow screen, or 740 for dual-flow screen

and $OA = (W \times L) / ((W + D) * (L + d))$

(Formula 2)

where:

d = screen horizontal (shute) wire diameter in inches (in)

D = screen vertical (warp) wire diameter (in)

W = width of screen opening (in)

L = vertical length of screen opening (in)

Impingement mortality standard will be met if the through-screen velocity is equal to or less than 0.5 feet per second.

		CWA THROUGH-	316 (b) Project SCREEN VELOCITIES			
Design Inputs:						
1. a. Design Intake Flow			(Rated Capacity)	Operating		
Design Water Withdrawal Rate	101.5	MGD		70,500	GPM	
Service Water Pump	33.8	MGD	(23,500)	23,500	GPM	(Ref 1)
Service Water Pump	33.8	MGD	(23,500)	23,500	GPM	(Ref 1)
Service Water Pump	33.8	MGD	(23,500)	23,500	GPM	(Ref 1)
Emergency Service Water Pump Loops A and B	-	MGD	(12,900)	-	GPM	(Ref 1)
Emergency Service Water Pump Loops A and B	-	MGD	(12,900)	-	GPM	(Ref 1)
Emergency Service Water Pump Loop C	-	MGD	(900)	-	GPM	(Ref 1)
Diesel Fire Service Pump	-	MGD	(2,500)	-	GPM	(Ref 1)
Motor Fire Service Pump	-	MGD	(2,500)	-	GPM	(Ref 1)
Fire Service Jockey Pump	-	MGD	(50)	-	GPM	(Ref 1)
Total	101.5	MGD		70,500	GPM	
	157.1	CFS				
1. b. Actual Intake Flow						
Actual Intake Flow	101.2	MGD		70,296	GPM	(Ref 2)
	156.6	CFS				
2. Number of screens			2		(Ref 3)
3a. Design Water Withdrawal Rate (per screen)			35,250 GPM			
3b. Actual Water Withdrawal Rate (per screen)			35,148 GPM			
4. Screen Width			8.0 feet		(Ref 4)
5. Floor Elevation - at TWS			544.6 feet		(Ref 3)
Floor Elevation - in forebay			544.6 feet		(Ref 3)
6. Waterbody Elevation -high in screenhouse			575.3 feet		(Ref 4)
Waterbody Elevation -low in screenhouse			567.3 feet		(Ref 4)
7. Water Height (Depth) -TWS high WL			30.8 feet		(Calcu	lated)
Water Height (Depth) -TWS low WL			22.8 feet		(Calcu	lated)
Water Height (Depth) -in forebay high WI			30.8 feet		(Calcu	lated)
Water Height (Depth) -in forebay low WI			22.8 feet		(Calcu	lated)
8 Mesh Size (Square)			0.375 inch		(Ref 5))
Wire Size - shute and warn			14 Gauge W&M		(Ref 5))
10 Wire Width					(Ref 5))
11 Intako Width			64 foot		(Pof 2))
12 TMS Port Width			04 feet		(Ref 2))
			5.2 Teel		(nel 5))
Assumptions:						
 No changes to as-built configuration after date: 	s of refer	ences used.				
2. All intake screens are normally in service.						
The constant for Formula 1 includes units converse.	ersion (g	pm to cfs) an	d screen efficiency factors.			
 The design flow is conservative assuming that a 	II pumps	are operatir	ng 24 hours per day.			
The actual intake flow is based on average flow	from th	e references.				
5. The wire size is Washburn & Moen gauge.						
7. Forebay velocity is just outside of TWS ports in	screenho	ouse.				
Intake, screen, and TWS port widths are estima	ted from	the reference	ces.			
Water elevation inside screenhouse is not the s	ame as v	waterbody. S	creenhouse is onshore.			
10. Design intake flow does not include fire service	e or scree	en wash pum	ps.			
References Used:						
1) FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic communication be	tween Fi	rstEnergy an	d AECOM staff. 11 Feb 2016.			
) FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service water flow from	Januarv	2013 throug	h 18 Apr 2016.			
Perry Nuclear Power Plant Dwg No. 015-0015-0	0000 Fir	nal Plant Law	out Service Water Pump House			
1) Enviroy 1976 Dwg: H84217-1 Constal Arrange	ment of	Two Rev Form	Post Type Traveling Water Serve	an 8'-0 Backet 1	076	
The sector of th	leation	for Day Tra	ling Water Screet 1070	and -o basket. I		

THROUGH-SCREEN VELOCITIES

Summary and Conclusions:

Design Intake Flow:		
 The calculated design through-screen velocity for the CWIS is 	0.53 fps	(HighWL)
	0.72 fps	(Low WL)
The calculated velocity in the forebay of the intake structure is	0.08 fps	(HighWL)
	0.11 fps	(Low WL)
3. The calculated velocity in each TWS port is	0.28 fps	(HighWL)
	0.38 fps	(Low WL)
Actual Intake Flow:		
1. The calculated actual through-screen velocity for the CWIS is	0.53 fps	(HighWL)
	0.72 fps	(Low WL)
The calculated velocity in the forebay of the intake structure is	0.08 fps	(HighWL)
	0.11 fps	(Low WL)
3. The calculated velocity in each TWS port is	0.28 fps	(HighWL)
	0.38 fps	(Low WL)

THROUGH-SCREEN VELOCITIES

Calculations:

1. Screen Physical Parameters and Intake Flow Rates

Formulas Used:

none

Given:

		DIF
Q=	35,250	GPM per screen
D=d=	0.0800	in
W=L=	0.375	in
high WD=	30.8	ft
low WD=	22.8	ft
K=	396	
TW=	8.0	ft

	AIF
35,148	GPM per screen
0.0800	in
0.375	in
30.8	ft
22.8	ft
396	
8.0	ft

Calculate:

N/A

2. Proportion of Open Screen Area to Total Screen Area

Formulas Used: Formula 2

Given:

screen parameters as above

Calculate:

 $OA = (W \times L) / ((W + D) * (L + d)) =$

0.6793

3. Through-screen Velocities

Formulas Used: Formula 1

Given:

screen parameters as above and calculated screen open area proportion

Calculate:

	DIF		AIF
/ = Q / WD * OA * TW * K =	0.53 fps	high WL	0.53 fps high WL
	0.72 fps	low WL	0.72 fps low WL

THROUGH-SCREEN VELOCITIES

Calculations:

4. Velocity in Forebay

Formulas Used:

Area at Face of Intake Structure = (Intake Width) x (Water Depth) Velocity = (Withdrawal Rate) / (Area at Face of Intake Structure)

Given:

parameters as in input section

Calculate:

		DIF			AIF	
rea of Intake Structure =	1968 f	ft ²	high W/I	1968	ft ²	high W/I
elocity =	0.08 f	fps	IIIgii WL	0.08	fps	IIIBII AAF
	1456 f	ft ²	low M/I	1456	ft ²	
	0.11 f	fps	IOW WL	0.11	fps	IOW WL

5. Velocity in Traveling Water Screen Port

Formulas Used:

Area of TWS Port = (TWS Port Width) x (Water Depth) Velocity = (Withdrawal Rate) / (Area of TWS Port)

Given:

parameters as in input section

Calculate:

		DIF		AIF	
Area of TWS Port =	282	ft ²	high WI	282 ft ²	high W/I
Velocity =	0.28	fps	Ingi WL	0.28 fps	Ingi vvL
	209	ft ²		209 ft ²	
	0.38	fps		0.38 fps	1000 001

	FirstEnergy	V	
Per	ry Nuclear Pow	ver Plant	
Percent	Flow Reduction	n Calculation	
Design Inputs:			
Plant Circulating Water (CW) Flow:	784.8 MGD	Design	(Ref 1)
	545,000 gpm	Averge Circ. Water flow	(Ref 1)
Total Make-Up (MU) Water:	101.5 MGD	Design Intake Flow	(Ref 2)
	54.6 MGD	Actual Intake Flow	(Ref 3)
ssumptions:			
1. All pumps, screens, and ports are in	continuous service and	function similarly.	
2. Flow rates are pump design maximu	um and are most conserv	vative.	
3. Plant CW Flow and DIF does not inc	lude screen wash water o	or fire service water use.	
eferences:			
1 LISNEC 1982 Final Environmental S			
1. OSINIC. 1982. Final Environmental S	tatement related to the	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow	ver Plant, Units
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441	. Office of Nuclear React	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic comm	. Office of Nuclear React unication between FirstE	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
 and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic commun. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service water 	. Office of Nuclear React unication between FirstE er flow from January 201	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016.	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic commu 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate	. Office of Nuclear React unication between FirstE er flow from January 201	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016.	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service water alculation:	. Office of Nuclear React unication between FirstE er flow from January 201	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016.	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water	. Office of Nuclear React unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. 	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water	. Office of Nuclear React unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow	. Office of Nuclear Reacto unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow	Office of Nuclear Reactor unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV MU Water as a Percent	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow	. Office of Nuclear Reacto unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV MU Water as a Percent Percer	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% at Reduction: 87.1%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow	Office of Nuclear Reactor Unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV MU Water as a Percent Percer	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% ht Reduction: 87.1%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow Actual Intake Flow	Office of Nuclear Reactor unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV MU Water as a Percent Percer MU Water as a Percent	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% ht Reduction: 87.1%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow Actual Intake Flow	Office of Nuclear Reactor Unication between FirstE er flow from January 201 (Total MU Water / Plant r as a Percent of Plant CV MU Water as a Percent Percer MU Water as a Percent	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% at Reduction: 87.1% of Plant CW: 7.0% at Reduction: 93.0%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow Actual Intake Flow	MU Water as a Percent MU Water as a Percent Percen	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% at Reduction: 87.1% of Plant CW: 7.0% at Reduction: 93.0%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic common 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate alculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow Actual Intake Flow	MU Water as a Percent MU Water as a Percent Percen	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% nt Reduction: 87.1% of Plant CW: 7.0% nt Reduction: 93.0%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.
and 2. Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 2. FirstEnergy. 2016. Electronic commons 3. FirstEnergy. 2016. Daily service wate Calculation: MU Water as a Percent of Plant CW = Percent Reduction = 100% - MU Water Design Intake Flow Actual Intake Flow	MU Water as a Percent MU Water as a Percent Percen	operation of Perry Nuclear Pow or Regulation. NUREG-0884, Au nergy and AECOM staff. 11 Feb 3 through 18 Apr 2016. CW) X 100 V of Plant CW: 12.9% nt Reduction: 87.1% of Plant CW: 7.0% nt Reduction: 93.0%	ver Plant, Units Igust 1982. 2016.











Cruce Cruce Ca



Parties con. 10-100 iter - attent . Arian' and the second of the second second second ----

We Table Constant 1. de e.e.

States and the same states