From: Lamb, John
To: Poole, Justin; Bower, Fred; Deborah Grinnell ([redacted])
Subject: Fw: Seabrook Cores
Date: Thursday, July 28, 2016 11:01:01 AM
Attachments: Re Counterproposal From Seabrook on ASR Meeting (003).pdf

---

Ms. Grinnell,

I am no longer the PM for Seabrook. I forwarded your email to Justin and Fred. They will handle the response with some of your other emails.

Thanks.
John

From: Debbie - Gmail <[redacted]>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 10:54:04 AM
To: Lamb, John
Subject: [External_Sender] Seabrook Cores

Hello John,

I owe you the email from Conte to promised that was sent to NRC staff in a FOIA.

Where are the five cores I requested in an NRC response. I asked you " when the NRC's negotiation with NextEra to test cores with petrography and material properties and failed to have the cores tested, where are the cores? Did the NRC request that they be tested as agreed on tensile properties.

With no regulation, you have no power to protect us and fail the public. NRC NRR staff stated it needed to be done over and over again to Region 1 staff.

Thank you, Debbie

16

Sakai, Stacie

From: Morey, Dennis (RI NRC)
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 4:02 PM
To: Conte, Richard; Burritt, Arthur; Murphy, Martin; Sakai, Stacie; Chernoff, Harold
Cc: Chaudhary, Suresh; Cline, Leonard; Ferrer, Nathaniel; Lehman, Bryce; Manoly, Kamal; Miller, Barry; Miller, Ed; Thomas, George; Sheikh, Abdul; Raymond, William; Plasse, Richard; Khanna, Meena; Auluck, Rajender; Modes, Michael; Galloway, Melanie; Delligatti, Mark
Subject: RE: Counterproposal From Seabrook on ASR Meeting

Rich,

Meeting in Headquarters **Yes**

Open Meeting

Preferred week 12/19/11 or 1/2/12 and day like Wednesday of the week. **January would probably be best.**

Thanks,
Dennis Morey

From: Conte, Richard (RI)
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 3:38 PM
To: Burritt, Arthur; Morey, Dennis; Murphy, Martin; Sakai, Stacie; Chernoff, Harold
Cc: Chaudhary, Suresh; Cline, Leonard; Ferrer, Nathaniel; Lehman, Bryce; Manoly, Kamal; Miller, Barry; Miller, Ed; Thomas, George; Sheikh, Abdul; Raymond, William; Plasse, Richard; Khanna, Meena; Auluck, Rajender; Modes, Michael
Subject: Counterproposal From Seabrook on ASR Meeting

Last night I asked Mike Collins to explore NextEra's voluntary willingness to hand over the 5 concrete cores that were destined to be tensile strength testing but held back based on a new direction they were heading. The new direction is being motivated by a Uni. Of Texas proposal to do some kind of testing that will address as-found mechanical properties of tensile strength, Poisson's Ratio, Modulus of Elasticity in addition to compressive strength. In case you were not following, the learning this week was that 15 cores in a lab in Illinois was only being tested to compressive strength only.

(b)(5)

Ex. 5

Mike Collins and Michael O'Keefe got back to me by cell today. They said they need more time along with higher ups to make the decision on giving the cores to NRC but came in with a counterproposal. I asked what they intend to do with the cores and they could not respond. They would like to come down for a management meeting in Headquarter (open or not is not relevant to them, but a level I subject to public observation only) in order to discuss in detail the Uni. Of Texas approach along with a summary of the literature research they have conducted. The week of Dec 19 is proposed to give them time to prepare but the would prefer the first or second week in January 2012. Once the methods or deltas are addressed they are also agreeable to an open meeting local to Seabrook for each party (NextEra and NRC to present how we are all proceeding. They were hoping a mutual agreeable path or paths could be reached at the headquarters meeting.

So you all can give me you alternate views but I would like only one vote per cognizant branch chief (Addressees above) – 3 projects and 3 technical. Hopefully you will brief your cognizant executive as those executives would have a great opportunity to participant and learn the issues so that reasonable decisions can be made. The decision on cores to the NRC would be at the headquarters meeting. Please respond before Nov. 23 so I can deliberate with management Monday Nov. 28. I am agreeable to an executive brief about a week before xmas either way whether the meeting is voted in or not and it is before or after Xmas.

9/10

Meeting in Headquarters (Yes/No)

Open or Not Preferred week 12/19/11 or 1/2/12 and day like Wednesday of the week

Meeting Locally to discuss agreed upon paths in an open public forum (q&a's) at the end (Yes/No/Defer to the License Renewal safety review meeting later in the year)

If you need a briefing sheet for latest development, see attached.