

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Orenak, Michael
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 4:35 PM
To: arthur.zaremba@duke-energy.com
Subject: NRC Acceptance Review for Amendment Request Regarding TSTF-427

Art,

By letter dated June 23, 2016, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Inc. submitted a license amendment request for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Catawba Nuclear Station (CNS), Units 1 and 2; McGuire Nuclear Station (MNS), Units 1 and 2; Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS), Units 1, 2 and 3; Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant (HNP), Unit 1; and H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant (RNP), Unit No. 2. (ADAMS Accession No. ML16175A292; CAC Nos. MF8039, MF8040, MF8041, MF8042, MF8043, MF8044, MF8045, MF8046, MF8047, MF8048, and MF8049). The amendment request would modify TS requirements for unavailable barriers by adding Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.9 to the TS for BSEP, ONS, and RNP. The same changes are added as LCO 3.0.10 to the TS for CNS and MNS. For HNP, the proposed amendment would modify TS requirements for unavailable barriers by adding LCO 3.0.6 to the TS. The proposed changes are consistent with TSTF-427, Revision 2, "Allowance for Non Technical Specification Barrier Degradation on Supported System OPERABILITY," subject to stated variations.

The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Michael D. Orenak
Hatch and Catawba Project Manager
NRR - Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Phone: 301-415-3229
e-mail: Michael.Orenak@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 2956

Mail Envelope Properties (Michael.Orenak@nrc.gov20160725163400)

Subject: NRC Acceptance Review for Amendment Request Regarding TSTF-427
Sent Date: 7/25/2016 4:34:39 PM
Received Date: 7/25/2016 4:34:00 PM
From: Orenak, Michael

Created By: Michael.Orenak@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"arthur.zaremba@duke-energy.com" <arthur.zaremba@duke-energy.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2664	7/25/2016 4:34:00 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: